Theory and Applications of Categories, Vol. 1, No. 4, 1995, pp. 72–77.

KAN EXTENSIONS ALONG PROMONOIDAL FUNCTORS

BRIAN DAY AND ROSS STREET

Transmitted by R. J. Wood

ABSTRACT. Strong promonoidal functors are defined. Left Kan extension (also called "existential quantification") along a strong promonoidal functor is shown to be a strong monoidal functor. A construction for the free monoidal category on a promonoidal category is provided. A Fourier-like transform of presheaves is defined and shown to take convolution product to cartesian product.

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a complete, cocomplete, symmetric, closed, monoidal category. We intend that all categorical concepts throughout this paper should be $\mathcal{V}$-enriched unless explicitly declared to be "ordinary". A reference for enriched category theory is [10], however, the reader unfamiliar with that theory can read this paper as written with $\mathcal{V}$ the category of sets and $\otimes$ for $\mathcal{V}$ as cartesian product; another special case is obtained by taking all categories and functors to be additive and $\mathcal{V}$ to be the category of abelian groups. The reader will need to be familiar with the notion of promonoidal category (used in [2], [6], [3], and [1]): such a category $\mathcal{A}$ is equipped with functors $P : \mathcal{A}^{op}\otimes\mathcal{A}^{op}\otimes\mathcal{A}\longrightarrow\mathcal{V}$, $J : \mathcal{A}\longrightarrow\mathcal{V}$, together with appropriate associativity and unit constraints subject to some axioms. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a cocomplete monoidal category whose tensor product preserves colimits in each variable. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a small promonoidal category then the functor category $[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}]$ has the *convolution* monoidal structure given by

$$F{*}G = \int^{A,A'} P(A, A', -)\otimes(FA\otimes GA')$$

(see [7], Example 2.4).

Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are promonoidal categories. A *promonoidal functor* is a functor $\Phi : \mathcal{A}\longrightarrow\mathcal{B}$ together with natural transformations

$$\phi_{AA'A''} : P(A, A', A'')\longrightarrow P(\Phi A, \Phi A', \Phi A''), \qquad \phi_A : JA\longrightarrow J\Phi A$$

satisfying two axioms; see [2], [5] for details. When $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$ are small it means that the functor

$$[\Phi, 1] : [\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{V}]\longrightarrow[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}]$$

is canonically (via the natural transformations ϕ) a monoidal functor in the sense of [8]. In particular, if $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$ are monoidal categories, promonoidal functors $\Phi : \mathcal{A}\longrightarrow\mathcal{B}$ are precisely monoidal functors.

Received by the editors 19 May 1995.

Published on 15 June 1995

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification : 18D10.

Key words and phrases: monoidal functor, promonoidal category, Kan extension, Fourier transform, convolution tensor product.

© Brian Day and Ross Street 1995. Permission to copy for private use granted.

Our purpose here is to define and discuss "existential quantification" along promonoidal functors. For any promonoidal functor $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$, the natural transformations

$$P(A, A', A'') \otimes \mathcal{B}(\Phi A'', B) \xrightarrow{\phi \otimes 1} P(\Phi A, \Phi A', \Phi A'') \otimes \mathcal{B}(\Phi A'', B) \xrightarrow{\mu} P(\Phi A, \Phi A', B)$$

$$JA \otimes \mathcal{B}(\Phi A, B) \xrightarrow{\phi \otimes 1} J\Phi A \otimes \mathcal{B}(\Phi A, B) \xrightarrow{\mu} JB$$

(where the arrows μ are part of the functoriality of P, J) induce natural transformations

$$\int^{A''} P(A, A', A'') \otimes \mathcal{B}(\Phi A'', B) \xrightarrow{\rho} P(\Phi A, \Phi A', B)$$

$$\int^{A} JA \otimes \mathcal{B}(\Phi A, B) \xrightarrow{\rho} JB.$$

We call $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ *strong* when these arrows ρ are all invertible. In particular, when $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$ are monoidal, strong promonoidal amounts to strong monoidal (= tensor-and-unit-preserving up to coherent natural isomorphism).

It may appear that, in the above definitions, we need $\mathcal{A}$ to be small and $\mathcal{V}$ or $\mathcal{C}$ to be cocomplete. We have written this way for ease of reading. Sometimes the necessary weighted (= "indexed") colimits exist for other reasons.

1 PROPOSITION. *If $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a strong promonoidal functor then "existential quantification"*

$$\exists_\Phi : [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}] \longrightarrow [\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}],$$

given by

$$\exists_\Phi(F)(B) = \int^{A} \mathcal{B}(\Phi A, B) \otimes FA,$$

has the structure of a strong monoidal functor.

PROOF. Starting with the definitions of $\exists_\Phi$ and $*$, we have the calculation

$$\exists_\Phi(F * G)(B) = \int^{A} \mathcal{B}(\Phi A, B) \otimes \int^{A', A''} P(A', A'', A) \otimes (FA' \otimes GA'')$$

$$\cong \int^{A', A''} \int^{A} \mathcal{B}(\Phi A, B) \otimes P(A', A'', A) \otimes (FA' \otimes GA'')$$

by commuting colimits,

$$\cong \int^{A', A''} P(\Phi A', \Phi A'', B) \otimes (FA' \otimes GA'')$$

since Φ is strong,

$$\cong \int^{A', A''} \int^{B', B''} \mathcal{B}(\Phi A', B') \otimes \mathcal{B}(\Phi A'', B'') \otimes P(B', B'', B) \otimes (FA' \otimes GA'')$$

by the Yoneda Lemma,

$$\cong \int^{B',B''} P(B',B'',B)\otimes \int^{A'} \mathcal{B}(\Phi A',B')\otimes FA'\otimes \int^{A''} \mathcal{B}(\Phi A'',B'')\otimes GA''$$

by commuting colimits,

$$\cong (\exists_\Phi(F) * \exists_\Phi(G)(B) \qquad \text{by definitions.}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\exists_\Phi(J)(B) = \int^{A} \mathcal{B}(\Phi A,B)\otimes J(A) \cong J(B).$$

■

The *cartesian* monoidal structure on a category with finite products has binary product as tensor product and the terminal object as unit. Dually, a category with finite coproducts has a *cocartesian* monoidal structure. If $\mathcal{A}$ is cocartesian monoidal and $\mathcal{C}$ is cartesian monoidal, then convolution on $[\mathcal{A},\ \mathcal{C}]$ is cartesian. Proposition 1 has the corollary that existential quantification $\exists_\Phi$ along a finite-coproduct-preserving functor Φ preserves finite products; compare [11], Proposition 2.7.

For any promonoidal category $\mathcal{A}$, the Yoneda embedding $Y : \mathcal{A}\longrightarrow[\mathcal{A},\mathcal{V}]^{op}$ is a promonoidal functor (just use the definition and the Yoneda Lemma). The closure in $[\mathcal{A},\mathcal{V}]^{op}$ of the representables $Y(A) = \mathcal{A}(A,-)$ under tensor products and unit (as in [4]) gives a full monoidal subcategory $\mathcal{A}'$ of $[\mathcal{A},\mathcal{V}]^{op}$, and Y factors through the inclusion via a promonoidal functor $N : \mathcal{A}\longrightarrow\mathcal{A}'$. This construction has a universal property: to describe it we introduce the ordinary category $PMon(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ whose objects are promonoidal functors $\Phi : \mathcal{A}\longrightarrow\mathcal{B}$ and whose arrows are promonoidal natural transformations ([2] and [5]); if $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$ are both monoidal, we write $Mon(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ for this same ordinary category. (Later we shall use the ordinary category $SPMon(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ of *strong* promonoidal functors.)

2 Proposition. *For each promonoidal category $\mathcal{A}$ and each monoidal category $\mathcal{B}$, restriction along $N : \mathcal{A}\longrightarrow\mathcal{A}'$ provides an equivalence of ordinary categories*

$$Mon(\mathcal{A}',\mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{\sim} PMon(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}).$$

Proof. To see that restriction along N is essentially surjective, take a promonoidal functor $\Phi : \mathcal{A}\longrightarrow\mathcal{B}$. We obtain the following diagram where regions commute up to canonical natural isomorphisms.



The functor $\exists_\Phi^{op}$ is monoidal. Thus, so is its restriction $\Phi' : \mathcal{A}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}'$. Since $\mathcal{B}$ is monoidal, the functor $N : \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}'$ is an equivalence of monoidal categories. So we obtain a promonoidal functor $\Psi : \mathcal{A}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ with $\Psi N \cong \Phi$. The remaining details are left to the reader; they will require the reader to know the definition of promonoidal natural transformation. ∎

Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is a small promonoidal category. Observe that a strong promonoidal functor $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{op}$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$\int^{A''} P(A, A', A'') \otimes \mathcal{C}(B, \Phi A'') \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{C}(B, \Phi A \otimes \Phi A')$$

$$\int^{A} JA \otimes \mathcal{C}(B, \Phi A) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{C}(B, I).$$

On tensoring both sides with B and using the Yoneda lemma, we obtain the conditions:

$$\int^{A''} P(A, A', A'') \otimes \Phi A'' \xrightarrow{\cong} \Phi A \otimes \Phi A'$$

$$\int^{A} JA \otimes \Phi A \xrightarrow{\cong} I.$$

Let $\mathcal{M} = SPMon(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}^{op})^{op}$. There is a forgetful functor $\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow [\mathcal{A}^{op}, \mathcal{C}]$. The transform of a functor $F : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is the functor $\mathcal{T}(F) : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ given by the coend

$$\mathcal{T}(F)(\Phi) = \int^{A} FA \otimes \Phi A \cong (\exists_\Phi F)(I).$$

Notice that this is the colimit of Φ weighted (or indexed) by F. We have defined a functor $\mathcal{T} : [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}] \longrightarrow [\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C}]$. As usual, we regard $[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}]$ as monoidal via convolution, but we regard $[\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C}]$ as monoidal via pointwise tensor product in $\mathcal{C}$.

3 PROPOSITION *The transform enriches to a strong monoidal functor*

$$\mathcal{T} : [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}] \longrightarrow [\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C}].$$

That is, the transform takes convolution to pointwise tensor product.

PROOF. For all $F, G : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}$, we have the calculations

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}(F * G)(\Phi) &= \int^{A} (F * G)(A) \otimes \Phi(A) \\
&\cong \int^{AA'A''} P(A', A'', A) \otimes F(A') \otimes G(A'') \otimes \Phi(A) \\
&\cong \int^{A', A''} F(A') \otimes G(A'') \otimes \Phi(A') \otimes \Phi(A'') \\
&\cong \mathcal{T}(F)(\Phi) \otimes \mathcal{T}(G)(\Phi)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\cong (\mathcal{T}(F)\otimes\mathcal{T}(G))(\Phi)$$

$$\mathcal{T}(J)(\Phi) = \int^A J(A)\otimes\Phi(A) \cong I.$$

■

In particular, if $\mathcal{C}$ is cartesian closed, the transform takes convolution into cartesian product.

REMARK One can also trace through the steps in [9] and obtain a generalisation to promonoidal structures using promonoidal functors.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. We are grateful to Todd Trimble for his assistance in typesetting this paper in LaTeX.

References

[1] B.J. Day. *Biclosed bicategories: localisation of convolution.* Technical Report 81-0030, Macquarie Math Reports, April 1981.

[2] B.J. Day. *Construction of Biclosed Categories.* PhD thesis, University of New South Wales, Australia, 1970.

[3] B.J. Day. *An embedding of bicategories.* Technical Report 262, The University of Sydney, 1976.

[4] B.J. Day. An embedding theorem for closed categories. In *Lecture Notes in Mathematics 420*, pages 55–64, Springer, 1974.

[5] B.J. Day. Note on monoidal monads. *Journal of the Australian Math Society*, 23:292–311, 1977.

[6] B.J. Day. On closed categories of functors. In *Lecture Notes in Mathematics 137*, pages 1–38, Springer, 1970.

[7] B.J. Day. Promonoidal functor categories. *Journal of the Australian Math Society*, 23:312–328, 1977.

[8] S. Eilenberg and G.M. Kelly. Closed categories. In *Proc. Conf. Categorical Algebra at La Jolla 1965*, pages 421–562, Springer, 1966.

[9] G.B. Im and G.M. Kelly. A universal property of the convolution monoidal structure. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 43:75–88, 1986.

[10] G.M. Kelly. *Basic Concepts of Enriched Category Theory.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge; New York, 1982.

[11] G.M. Kelly and S. Lack. Finite-product-preserving functors, kan extensions, and strongly-finitary 2-monads. *Applied Categorical Structures*, 1:85–94, 1993.

Mathematics Department
Macquarie University
New South Wales 2109
AUSTRALIA
Email: bday@mpce.mq.edu.au

street@macadam.mpce.mq.edu.au

This article may be accessed via WWW at http://www.tac.mta.ca/tac/ or by anonymous ftp at ftp://ftp.tac.mta.ca/pub/tac/volumes/1995/n4/v1n4.{dvi,ps}

THEORY AND APPLICATIONS OF CATEGORIES (ISSN 1201-561X) will disseminate articles that significantly advance the study of categorical algebra or methods, or that make significant new contributions to mathematical science using categorical methods. The scope of the journal includes: all areas of pure category theory, including higher dimensional categories; applications of category theory to algebra, geometry and topology and other areas of mathematics; applications of category theory to computer science, physics and other mathematical sciences; contributions to scientific knowledge that make use of categorical methods.

Articles appearing in the journal have been carefully and critically refereed under the responsibility of members of the Editorial Board. Only papers judged to be both significant and excellent are accepted for publication.

The method of distribution of the journal is via the Internet tools `WWW/gopher/ftp`. The journal is archived electronically and in printed paper format.

Subscription information. Individual subscribers receive (by e-mail) abstracts of articles as they are published. Full text of published articles is available in .dvi and Postscript format. Details will be e-mailed to new subscribers and are available by `WWW/gopher/ftp`. To subscribe, send e-mail to `tac@mta.ca` including a full name and postal address. For institutional subscription, send enquiries to the Managing Editor, Robert Rosebrugh, `rrosebrugh@mta.ca`.

Information for authors. The typesetting language of the journal is TEX, and LATEX is the preferred flavour. TEX source of articles for publication should be submitted by e-mail directly to an appropriate Editor. They are listed below. Please obtain detailed information on submission format and style files from the journal's WWW server at `URL http://www.tac.mta.ca/tac/` or by anonymous ftp from `ftp.tac.mta.ca` in the directory `pub/tac/info`. You may also write to `tac@mta.ca` to receive details by e-mail.

Editorial board.
John Baez, University of California, Riverside: `baez@math.ucr.edu`
Michael Barr, McGill University: `barr@triples.math.mcgill.ca`
Lawrence Breen, Université de Paris 13: `breen@dmi.ens.fr`
Ronald Brown, University of North Wales: `r.brown@bangor.ac.uk`
Jean-Luc Brylinski, Pennsylvania State University: `jlb@math.psu.edu`
Aurelio Carboni, University of Genoa: `carboni@vmimat.mat.unimi.it`
P. T. Johnstone, University of Cambridge: `ptj@pmms.cam.ac.uk`
G. Max Kelly, University of Sydney: `kelly_m@maths.su.oz.au`
Anders Kock, University of Aarhus: `kock@mi.aau.dk`
F. William Lawvere, State University of New York at Buffalo: `mthfwl@ubvms.cc.buffalo.edu`
Jean-Louis Loday, Université de Strasbourg: `loday@math.u-strasbg.fr`
Ieke Moerdijk, University of Utrecht: `moerdijk@math.ruu.nl`
Susan Niefield, Union College: `niefiels@gar.union.edu`
Robert Paré, Dalhousie University: `pare@cs.dal.ca`
Andrew Pitts, University of Cambridge: `ap@cl.cam.ac.uk`
Robert Rosebrugh, Mount Allison University: `rrosebrugh@mta.ca`
Jiri Rosicky, Masaryk University: `rosicky@math.muni.cz`
James Stasheff, University of North Carolina: `jds@charlie.math.unc.edu`
Ross Street, Macquarie University: `street@macadam.mpce.mq.edu.au`
Walter Tholen, York University: `tholen@mathstat.yorku.ca`
R. W. Thomason, Université de Paris 7: `thomason@mathp7.jussieu.fr`
Myles Tierney, Rutgers University: `tierney@math.rutgers.edu`
Robert F. C. Walters, University of Sydney: `walters_b@maths.su.oz.au`
R. J. Wood, Dalhousie University: `rjwood@cs.da.ca`