Theory and Applications of Categories, Vol. 31, No. 13, 2016, pp. 384–387.

A COTRIPLE CONSTRUCTION OF A SIMPLICIAL ALGEBRA USED IN THE DEFINITION OF HIGHER CHOW GROUPS

JASON K.C. POLAK

Abstract. We present a brief and simple cotriple description of the simplicial algebra used in Bloch's construction of the higher Chow groups.

1. A Simplicial Algebra

Let Δ be the category of finite ordered sets and nondecreasing functions. For each natural number n, we let $[n]$ denote the object $\{0 < \cdots < n\}$ of Δ. Let R be a commutative ring and let

$$D[n] = R[t_0, \ldots, t_n] / \left(\sum t_i - 1 \right).$$

For each $\rho : [m] \to [n]$, we define $D[n] \to D[m]$ as the R-algebra homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} D(\rho) : \frac{R[t_0, \ldots, t_n]}{\sum t_i - 1} &\longrightarrow \frac{R[t_1, \ldots, t_m]}{\sum t_i - 1} \\ t_i &\longmapsto \sum_{\rho(j)=i} t_j. \end{aligned}$$

The cosimplicial scheme $[n] \mapsto \mathrm{Spec}(D[n])$ arises in Bloch's definition of higher Chow groups [Blo86]. Given this definition, one needs to go through a straightforward but somewhat tedious checking that such a simplicial R-algebra is actually simplicial, which involves verifying a few identities.

In this paper an alternative way to construct the simplicial R-algebra as above in terms of a cotriple that additionally obviates the need to check simplicial identities. In §2 we review the basic notions involving simplicial objects and cotriples, and in §3 we present our construction.

Acknowledgements. The author wishes to thank Michael Makkai for an interesting discussion related to this paper and an anonymous referee for reading and correcting a few typographical errors.

Received by the editors 2016-02-01 and, in final form, 2016-04-27.
Transmitted by Jiri Rosicky. Published on 2016-04-29.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 18C15.
Key words and phrases: cotriple, simplicial algebra.
© Jason K.C. Polak, 2016. Permission to copy for private use granted.

2. Simplicial Objects and Cotriples

The material in this section is standard and we merely review it to fix notation. The reader should consult [Wei94] or [BW00] for more details. A **simplicial object** A with values in a category $\mathscr{C}$ is a contravariant functor $A : \Delta \to \mathscr{C}$. We define the map $\varepsilon_i : [n-1] \to [n]$ to be the unique map whose image does not contain $i \in [n]$ and $\eta_i : [n+1] \to [n]$ to be the unique map such that two elements of $[n+1]$ map to i. The **face maps** of a simplicial object A are defined to be $\partial_i := A(\varepsilon_i)$ and the **degeneracy maps** are defined to be $\sigma_i := A(\eta_i)$. We recall that a simplicial object is determined by the ∂_i and σ_i maps.

Given a simplicial object A, the **dual** $A^\vee$ to A is the simplicial object that is the same as A except that $\partial_i^\vee = \partial_{n-i}$ and $\sigma_i^\vee = \sigma_{n-i}$.

2.1. Definition. *A **cotriple** $(\bot, \varepsilon, \delta)$ on a category $\mathscr{C}$ is a functor $\bot : \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{C}$ together with two natural transformations $\varepsilon : \bot \to \mathbf{1}_{\mathscr{C}}$ and $\delta : \bot \to \bot\bot$ such that for every object X in $\mathscr{C}$, the diagrams*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bot X & \xrightarrow{\delta_X} & \bot(\bot X) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \delta_X} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \delta_{\bot X}} \\ \bot(\bot X) & \xrightarrow{\bot\delta_X} & \bot\bot\bot X \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \bot X & & \\ & \swarrow^{=} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \delta_X} & \searrow^{=} & \\ \bot X & \xleftarrow[\bot\varepsilon_X]{} & \bot(\bot X) & \xrightarrow[\varepsilon_{\bot X}]{} & \bot X \end{array}$$

commute.

One may construct simplicial objects out of a cotriple using the following:

2.2. Proposition. *If $(\bot, \varepsilon, \delta)$ is a cotriple in $\mathscr{C}$, then one can construct a simplicial object $[n] \mapsto \bot_n X$ for each object $X \in \mathscr{C}$ by setting $\bot_n X := \bot^{n+1} X$ and*

$$\partial_i := \bot^i \varepsilon \bot^{n-i} : \bot_n X \to \bot_{n-1} X,$$
$$\sigma_i := \bot^i \delta \bot^{n-i} : \bot_n X \to \bot_{n+1} X.$$

3. The Construction

Let R be a commutative ring. We write R-$\mathbf{Alg}_*$ for the category of *pointed* R-algebras. The objects of R-$\mathbf{Alg}_*$ are pairs (A, a) where A is an R-algebra and $a \in A$. A morphism $f : (A, a) \to (B, b)$ in R-$\mathbf{Alg}_*$ is an R-algebra homomorphism $f : A \to B$ such that $f(a) = b$.

3.1. Definition. *We define the functor* $\perp : R\text{-}\mathbf{Alg}_* \to R\text{-}\mathbf{Alg}_*$ *on objects by* $\perp(A,a) = (A[t], t+a)$*, and on morphisms* $f : (A,a) \to (B,b)$ *by*

$$\perp(f)(a_0 + \cdots + a_n t^n) = f(a_0) + \cdots + f(a_n)t^n.$$

We introduce two natural transformations $\varepsilon : \perp \to \mathbf{1}$ and $\delta : \perp \to \perp\perp$ given for each pointed R-algebra (A,a) by

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_A : (A[t], t+a) &\longrightarrow (A,a) \\ t &\longmapsto 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_A : (A[t], t+a) &\longrightarrow (A[t,s], s+t+a) \\ t &\longmapsto s+t. \end{aligned}$$

We note we have abused notation by writing ε_A and δ_A when the notation $\varepsilon_{(A,a)}$ and $\delta_{(A,a)}$ would be more accurate and more horrible as well.

3.2. Theorem. *The tuple* $(\perp, \varepsilon, \delta)$ *is a cotriple.*

Proof. We need to verify the commutativity of two diagrams. Let (A,a) be an arbitrary object of $R\text{-}\mathbf{Alg}_*$. The first diagram is

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (A[t], t+a) & \xrightarrow{\quad\delta_A\quad} & (A[t,s], s+t+a) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \delta_A} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \delta_{\perp A}} \\ (A[t,s], s+t+a) & \xrightarrow{\perp\delta_A} & (A[u,t,s], s+t+u+a) \end{array}$$

The clockwise direction corresponds to $t \mapsto s+t \mapsto (s+u)+t$, whereas the counterclockwise direction corresponds to $t \mapsto s+t \mapsto s+(t+u)$. The second diagram is similar: it corresponds to $s+t \mapsto 0+t \mapsto 0+0$ in one direction, and $s+t \mapsto s+0 \mapsto 0+0$ in the other. ∎

Since $(\perp, \varepsilon, \delta)$ is a cotriple, for each (A,a) there is a corresponding simplicial object $\perp_n(A,a)$. To recover Bloch's simplicial algebra, apply the cotriple to the object $(R,-1)$. Then $\perp_n(R,-1) = (R[t_0,\ldots,t_n], \sum t_i - 1)$. The face operator ∂_{n-i} is then

$$\partial_{n-i}(t_j) = \begin{cases} t_j & \text{if } j < i \\ 0 & \text{if } j = i \\ t_{j-1} & \text{if } j > i. \end{cases}$$

and the degeneracy operator σ_{n-i} is the map

$$\sigma_{n-i}(t_j) = \begin{cases} t_j & \text{if } j < i \\ t_j + t_{j+1} & \text{if } j = i \\ t_{j+1} & \text{if } j > i \end{cases}$$

3.3. THEOREM. *Let $Q : R\text{-}\mathbf{Alg}_* \to R\text{-}\mathbf{Alg}$ be the functor to R-algebras defined by $Q(A, a) = A/(a)$. Then Bloch's simplicial algebra D is isomorphic to $Q(\perp_*(R, -1))^\vee$.*

PROOF. The ith face map of D comes from applying the functor D to the map ε_i:

$$D(\varepsilon_i)(t_j) = \sum_{\varepsilon_i(j)=k} t_k$$

which one sees gives the same *formula* as the formula for ∂_{n-i}. Similarly, the ith degeneracy map of D comes from applying D to the map η_i and we see that $D(\eta_i)$ is given by the same formula as the σ_{n-i} map as above. ∎

Although the construction is straightforward, it is arguably a more natural and categorical approach to our simplicial algebra. We remark that there is no obvious adjunction lying around that gives the cotriple we have constructed, even though many standard or obvious cotriples do come from adjunctions.

References

[Blo86] Spencer Bloch. Algebraic cycles and higher k-theory. *Advances in Mathematics*, 61 (3), pp. 267–304, 1986.

[BW00] Michael Barr and Charles Wells. *Toposes, Triples, and Theories*. 2000.

[Wei94] Charles Weibel. *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*. Cambridge University Press, 1994.

School of Mathematics and Statistics
The University of Melbourne
Parkville, VIC, 3010
Australia
Email: jpolak@jpolak.org

This article may be accessed at http://www.tac.mta.ca/tac/

THEORY AND APPLICATIONS OF CATEGORIES (ISSN 1201-561X) will disseminate articles that significantly advance the study of categorical algebra or methods, or that make significant new contributions to mathematical science using categorical methods. The scope of the journal includes: all areas of pure category theory, including higher dimensional categories; applications of category theory to algebra, geometry and topology and other areas of mathematics; applications of category theory to computer science, physics and other mathematical sciences; contributions to scientific knowledge that make use of categorical methods.
Articles appearing in the journal have been carefully and critically refereed under the responsibility of members of the Editorial Board. Only papers judged to be both significant and excellent are accepted for publication.
Full text of the journal is freely available from the journal's server at `http://www.tac.mta.ca/tac/`. It is archived electronically and in printed paper format.

SUBSCRIPTION INFORMATION Individual subscribers receive abstracts of articles by e-mail as they are published. To subscribe, send e-mail to `tac@mta.ca` including a full name and postal address. For institutional subscription, send enquiries to the Managing Editor, Robert Rosebrugh, `rrosebrugh@mta.ca`.

INFORMATION FOR AUTHORS The typesetting language of the journal is TEX, and LATEX2e is required. Articles in PDF format may be submitted by e-mail directly to a Transmitting Editor. Please obtain detailed information on submission format and style files at `http://www.tac.mta.ca/tac/`.

MANAGING EDITOR. Robert Rosebrugh, Mount Allison University: `rrosebrugh@mta.ca`

TEXNICAL EDITOR. Michael Barr, McGill University: `barr@math.mcgill.ca`

ASSISTANT TEX EDITOR. Gavin Seal, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne: `gavin_seal@fastmail.fm`

TRANSMITTING EDITORS.
Clemens Berger, Université de Nice-Sophia Antipolis: `cberger@math.unice.fr`
Richard Blute, Université d' Ottawa: `rblute@uottawa.ca`
Lawrence Breen, Université de Paris 13: `breen@math.univ-paris13.fr`
Ronald Brown, University of North Wales: `ronnie.profbrown(at)btinternet.com`
Valeria de Paiva: Nuance Communications Inc: `valeria.depaiva@gmail.com`
Ezra Getzler, Northwestern University: `getzler(at)northwestern(dot)edu`
Kathryn Hess, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne: `kathryn.hess@epfl.ch`
Martin Hyland, University of Cambridge: `M.Hyland@dpmms.cam.ac.uk`
Anders Kock, University of Aarhus: `kock@imf.au.dk`
Stephen Lack, Macquarie University: `steve.lack@mq.edu.au`
F. William Lawvere, State University of New York at Buffalo: `wlawvere@buffalo.edu`
Tom Leinster, University of Edinburgh: `Tom.Leinster@ed.ac.uk`
Ieke Moerdijk, Radboud University Nijmegen: `i.moerdijk@math.ru.nl`
Susan Niefield, Union College: `niefiels@union.edu`
Robert Paré, Dalhousie University: `pare@mathstat.dal.ca`
Jiri Rosicky, Masaryk University: `rosicky@math.muni.cz`
Giuseppe Rosolini, Università di Genova: `rosolini@disi.unige.it`
Alex Simpson, University of Ljubljana: `Alex.Simpson@fmf.uni-lj.si`
James Stasheff, University of North Carolina: `jds@math.upenn.edu`
Ross Street, Macquarie University: `street@math.mq.edu.au`
Walter Tholen, York University: `tholen@mathstat.yorku.ca`
Myles Tierney, Université du Québec à Montréal : `tierney.myles4@gmail.com`
R. J. Wood, Dalhousie University: `rjwood@mathstat.dal.ca`