

A URYSOHN TYPE LEMMA FOR GROUPOIDS

MĂDĂLINA ROXANA BUNECI

ABSTRACT. Starting from the observation that through groupoids we can express in a unified way the notions of fundamental system of entourages of a uniform structure on a space X , respectively the system of neighborhoods of the unity of a topological group that determines its topology, we introduce in this paper a notion of G -uniformity for a groupoid G . The topology induced by a G -uniformity turns G into a topological locally transitive groupoid.

We also prove a Urysohn type lemma for groupoids and obtain metrization theorems for groupoids unifying in two ways the Alexandroff–Urysohn Theorem and Birkhoff–Kakutani Theorem.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

The notion of groupoid is a natural generalization of the notion of group in the following sense: a groupoid is a set G endowed with partially defined product operation $(x, y) \mapsto xy$ [$: G^{(2)} \rightarrow G$] (where $G^{(2)} \subset G \times G$) and an inversion operation $x \mapsto x^{-1}$ [$: G \rightarrow G$] satisfying the subsequent weaker versions of the group axioms:

G1 If $(x, y) \in G^{(2)}$ and $(y, z) \in G^{(2)}$, then $(xy, z) \in G^{(2)}$, $(x, yz) \in G^{(2)}$ and $(xy)z = x(yz)$.

G2 $(x^{-1})^{-1} = x$ for all $x \in G$.

G3 For all $x \in G$, $(x, x^{-1}) \in G^{(2)}$, and if $(z, x) \in G^{(2)}$, then $(zx)x^{-1} = z$.

G4 For all $x \in G$, $(x^{-1}, x) \in G^{(2)}$, and if $(x, y) \in G^{(2)}$, then $x^{-1}(xy) = y$.

The maps r and d on G , defined by the formulae $r(x) = xx^{-1}$ and $d(x) = x^{-1}x$, are called the range (target) map, respectively the domain (source) map. They have a common image called the unit space of G and denoted $G^{(0)}$. The fibres of the range and the domain maps are denoted $G^u = r^{-1}(\{u\})$ and $G_v = d^{-1}(\{v\})$, respectively. Also for $u, v \in G^{(0)}$, $G_v^u = G^u \cap G_v$.

A topological groupoid is a groupoid G together with a topology on G such that the product operation $(x, y) \mapsto xy$ [$: G^{(2)} \rightarrow G$] (where $G^{(2)} \subset G \times G$ is endowed with the topology induced by the product topology on $G \times G$) and the inversion operation

Received by the editors 2016-11-03 and, in final form, 2017-07-31.

Transmitted by Ronald Brown. Published on 2017-08-02.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 22A22; 54E15, 54E35.

Key words and phrases: groupoid, Urysohn-type lemma, metrization theorem.

© Mădălina Roxana Buneci, 2017. Permission to copy for private use granted.

$x \mapsto x^{-1} [: G \rightarrow G]$ are continuous functions. A family $\{W_j\}_{j \in J}$ of neighborhoods of the unit space is said to be compatible with the topology of the r -fibres (respectively, d -fibres) if for every $u \in G^{(0)}$ and every open neighborhood U of u , there is $j \in J$ such that $W_j \cap G^u \subset U \cap G^u$ and u is in the interior of $W_j \cap G^u$ with respect to the topology on G^u coming from G (respectively, $W_j \cap G_u \subset U \cap G_u$ and u is in the interior of $W_j \cap G_u$ with respect to the topology on G_u coming from G).

Let us also recall that a uniform space is a set X endowed with a uniform structure. A fundamental system of symmetric entourages of a uniform structure on X is a nonempty family \mathcal{W} of subsets of the Cartesian product $X \times X$ that satisfies the following conditions:

- U1** if W is in \mathcal{W} , then W contains the diagonal $\Delta = \{(x, x) : x \in X\}$.
- U2** if W_1 and W_2 are in \mathcal{W} , then there is $W_3 \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $W_3 \subset W_1 \cap W_2$.
- U3** if W_1 is in \mathcal{W} , then there exists W_2 in \mathcal{W} such that, whenever (x, y) and (y, z) are in W_2 , then $(x, z) \in W_1$.
- U4** if $W \in \mathcal{W}$, then $W = W^{-1} = \{(y, x) : (x, y) \in W\}$ (W is a symmetric entourage).

The uniform space X becomes a topological space by defining a subset $A \subset X$ to be open if and only if for every $x \in A$ there is $W_x \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $\{y : (x, y) \in W_x\} \subset A$.

The Cartesian product $X \times X$ can be viewed as a trivial groupoid G under the operations: $(x, y)(y, z) = (x, z)$ and $(x, y)^{-1}$. In the settings of groupoids condition U1 can be written as " $G^{(0)} \subset W \subset G$ for all $W \in \mathcal{W}$ " and condition U3 as "for every $W_1 \in \mathcal{W}$ there is $W_2 \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $W_2W_2 \subset W_1$ ".

In this paper we work with a collection of subsets of a groupoid G mimicking the properties of fundamental system of symmetric entourages of a uniform structure on X . Such a collection will be called in this paper G -uniformity. We prove that a G -uniformity induces a topology on G that turns G into a topological locally transitive groupoid. Let us recall that a topological locally transitive groupoid is a topological groupoid G with the property that for all $u \in G^{(0)}$ the maps r_u are open, where $r_u : G_u \rightarrow G^{(0)}$, $r_u(x) = r(x)$ for all $x \in G_u$ and G_u is endowed with the topology coming from G (see [12]). If we begin with a topological groupoid (G, τ) and with a G -uniformity given by a fundamental system of neighborhoods of the unit space, then the topology induced by de G -uniformity is finer than τ and coincides with τ if and only if (G, τ) is locally transitive. The main result of this paper is a Urysohn type lemma for groupoids (Theorem 2.5). The existence of a function with properties 1 – 3 in Theorem 2.5 could also be obtained taking into account that a G -uniformity is a base for a uniform structure on G . However the topology defined by the G -uniformity do not necessarily coincides with the groupoid topology, even if the G -uniformity is given by a fundamental system of neighborhoods of the unit space. The construction in Theorem 2.5 allows us to get a function with additional properties. In particular, in the case of a topological groupoid with open range map and a G -uniformity given by a fundamental system of neighborhoods of the unit space, our construction allows us to put out a connection with the groupoid topology: the functions f associated

in Theorem 2.5 with open subsets of G or with $G^{(0)}$ are upper semi-continuous on G and their restrictions to the r -fibres as well as to the d -fibres of the groupoid are continuous functions. Thus these functions can be used to construct convolutions algebras as in [4] and possibly to extend the construction of a C^* -algebra associated to a topological locally compact groupoid with continuous Haar system introduced in [11]. Moreover the property 9 in Theorem 2.5 allows us to obtain metrization theorems for groupoids and thus to express in an unified way Alexandroff–Urysohn Theorem and Birkhoff-Kakutani Theorem as we explain below. Let us consider the following two theorems:

1.1. THEOREM. [Alexandroff–Urysohn Theorem] *A topological Hausdorff space X is metrizable if and only if its topology is given by a uniformity with countable base. [1]*

1.2. THEOREM. [Birkhoff-Kakutani Theorem] *A topological group G is metrizable if and only if there is a countable base for the topology at identity element in G . Furthermore, in such a case, the distance function may be taken to be either left-invariant or right-invariant. ([2], [6])*

Let us remark that the space X , respectively the group G can be viewed as r -fibres (as well as d -fibres) of a groupoid ($X \times X$ in the first case and G itself in the second case). We prove in this paper that the previous two results can be express in an unified way in the groupoid language:

1.3. THEOREM. *Let G be a topological groupoid. Then there are left (respectively, right) invariant metrics compatible with the topology on r -fibres (respectively, the d -fibres) of the groupoid if and only if there is a countable G -uniformity $\{W_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ compatible with the topology of the r -fibres (respectively, d -fibres) such that $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_n = G^{(0)}$. (Proposition 3.14 and Proposition 3.15)*

The proof of this theorem is based on the construction of a function on G satisfying the hypothesis of [8, Theorem 3.26]. This function is obtained as a particular case of Urysohn Lemma for groupoids (Theorem 2.5).

We also prove in this paper that:

1.4. THEOREM. *For a topological locally transitive groupoid G the following statements are equivalent:*

(a) *G is metrizable*

(b) *For every neighborhood W of $G^{(0)}$ there is a neighborhood W' of $G^{(0)}$ such that $W'W' \subset W$ and $G^{(0)}$ has a countable fundamental system $\{W_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of neighborhoods such that $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_n = G^{(0)}$ and $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (r, d)(W_n) = \text{diag}(G^{(0)})$.*

(c) *There is a countable G -uniformity $\{W_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ compatible with the topology of the fibres such that $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_n = G^{(0)}$ and $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (r, d)(W_n) = \text{diag}(G^{(0)})$. Each W_n may be taken to be a neighborhood of the unit space.*

Moreover the distance function ρ may be taken to satisfy the following properties:

1. $\rho(x, y) = \rho(x^{-1}, y^{-1})$ for all $x, y \in G$.
2. $\rho(x, r(x)) = \rho(x, d(x))$ for all $x \in G$.
3. $\rho(xy, r(x)) \leq \rho(x, r(x)) + \rho(y, r(y))$ for all $(x, y) \in G^{(2)}$.
4. $\rho(x, y) \leq \rho(x^{-1}y, d(x))$ for all $x, y \in G$ such that $r(x) = r(y)$.
5. $\rho(d(x), d(y)) \leq 2\rho(x, y)$ and $\rho(r(x), r(y)) \leq 2\rho(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in G$. (Theorem 3.16)

2. Urysohn's lemma for groupoids

2.1. DEFINITION. Let G be a groupoid. By a G -uniformity we mean a collection $\{W\}_{W \in \mathcal{W}}$ of subsets of G satisfying the following conditions:

1. $G^{(0)} \subset W \subset G$ for all $W \in \mathcal{W}$.
2. If $W_1, W_2 \in \mathcal{W}$, then there is $W_3 \subset W_1 \cap W_2$ such that $W_3 \in \mathcal{W}$.
3. For every $W_1 \in \mathcal{W}$ there is $W_2 \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $W_2W_2 \subset W_1$.
4. $W = W^{-1}$ for all $W \in \mathcal{W}$.

2.2. DEFINITION. Let G be a groupoid. Two G -uniformities \mathcal{W} and \mathcal{W}' are said to be equivalent if for every $W \in \mathcal{W}$ there is $W' \in \mathcal{W}'$ such that $W' \subset W$ and conversely, for every $W' \in \mathcal{W}'$ there is $W \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $W \subset W'$.

Let \mathcal{W} be a family of subsets of a groupoid G satisfying conditions 1–4 from Definition 2.1 and let

$$I = \left\{ \frac{1}{2^n}, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

Let $W_0 \in \mathcal{W}$ and $W_1 \in \mathcal{W}$ be such that $W_1W_1 \subset W_0$. Inductively we can construct an I -indexed family $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$. Suppose that for $W_i \in \mathcal{W}$ has already been built. Then according condition 3 in Definition 2.1, there is a $W'_i \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $W'_iW'_i \subset W_i$. Let $W_{i/2} = W'_i$. Thus we obtain an I -indexed family $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$ satisfying the following properties:

1. $W_i \in \mathcal{W}$ for all $i \in I$.
2. $W_iW_i \subset W_{2i}$ for all $i \in I, i \leq \frac{1}{2}$.
3. $W_1W_1 \subset W_0$.

Hence $W_i \subset W_i W_i \subset W_{2i}$ for all $i \in I$, $i \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and
 $\dots W_{1/2^n} \subset W_{1/2^n} W_{1/2^n} \subset W_{1/2^{n-1}} \subset W_{1/2^{n-1}} W_{1/2^{n-1}} \subset \dots W_{1/2} \subset W_{1/2} W_{1/2} \subset W_1$
 Let us note that:

1. If $i, j \in I$, then $i < j$ iff there is $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $j = 2^p i$.
2. If $i, j \in I$ and $i < j$, then $2i \leq j$.
3. If $i, j \in I$ and $i \leq j$, then $W_i \subset W_j$.
4. If $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k \in I$ and $i_k \leq i_{k-1} < i_{k-2} < \dots < i_1 < 1$, then $W_{i_k} W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1} \subset W_{2i_1}$ and $W_{i_1} \dots W_{i_{k-1}} W_{i_k} \subset W_{2i_1}$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} W_{i_k} W_{i_{k-1}} W_{i_{k-2}} \dots W_{i_1} &\subset W_{i_{k-1}} W_{i_{k-1}} W_{i_{k-2}} \dots W_{i_1} \\ &\subset W_{2i_{k-1}} W_{i_{k-2}} \dots W_{i_1} \\ &\subset W_{i_{k-2}} W_{i_{k-2}} \dots W_{i_1} \\ &\subset \dots \subset W_{2i_1} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $W_{i_1} \dots W_{i_{k-1}} W_{i_k} \subset W_{i_1} \dots W_{i_{k-1}} W_{i_{k-1}} \subset W_{i_1} \dots W_{i_{k-2}} W_{2i_{k-1}} \subset \dots W_{2i_1}$.

5. If $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k, j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m \in I$, $i_k < i_{k-1} < \dots < i_1 \leq 1$, $j_m < j_{m-1} < \dots < j_1 \leq 1$ and $i_k + i_{k-1} + \dots + i_1 \leq j_m + j_{m-1} + \dots + j_1$, then

$$W_{i_k} W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1} \subset W_{j_m} W_{j_{m-1}} \dots W_{j_1}.$$

Indeed, let us remark that $i_k + i_{k-1} + \dots + i_1 = \frac{1}{2^{n_k}} + \frac{1}{2^{n_{k-1}}} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^{n_1}}$ is the conversion into decimal system of the following number in base 2: $b_0, b_1 b_2 \dots b_{n_k}$ where $b_i = 1$ if $i \in \{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k\}$ and $b_i = 0$ otherwise. Thus if $i_k + i_{k-1} + \dots + i_1 = j_m + j_{m-1} + \dots + j_1$, then $m = k$ and $i_k = j_k, \dots, i_1 = j_1$. If $i_k + i_{k-1} + \dots + i_1 < j_m + j_{m-1} + \dots + j_1$, then there is $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $i_1 = j_1, \dots, i_{p-1} = j_{p-1}$ and $i_p < j_p$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} W_{i_k} W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_p} W_{i_{p-1}} \dots W_{i_1} &\subset W_{2i_p} W_{i_{p-1}} \dots W_{i_1} \\ &\subset W_{j_p} W_{i_{p-1}} \dots W_{i_1} \\ &= W_{j_p} W_{j_{p-1}} \dots W_{j_1} \\ &\subset W_{j_m} W_{j_{m-1}} \dots W_{j_1}. \end{aligned}$$

2.3. LEMMA. *Let G be a groupoid, \mathcal{W} be a G -uniformity (in the sense of Definition 2.1) and let*

$$I = \left\{ \frac{1}{2^n}, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Let us consider an I -indexed family $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$ satisfying the following properties:

1. $W_i \in \mathcal{W}$ for all $i \in I$.

2. $W_i W_i \subset W_{2i}$ for all $i \in I$, $i \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

For $W_{i_k}, W_{i_{k-1}}, \dots, W_{i_1} \in \{W_i\}_{i \in I}$, let us denote

$$s(W_{i_k} W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) = i_k + i_{k-1} + \dots + i_1.$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k \in I$ be such that $i_k < i_{k-1} < i_{k-2} < \dots < i_1 < 1$. Then there are $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_r \in I$ such that

$$1. j_r < j_{r-1} < i_{r-2} < \dots < j_1 \leq \max\left\{\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}, 2i_1\right\} \leq 1$$

$$2. W_{1/2^n} W_{i_k} W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1} \subset W_{j_r} W_{j_{r-1}} \dots W_{j_1}$$

$$3. 0 < s(W_{j_r} W_{j_{r-1}} \dots W_{j_1}) - s(W_{i_k} W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) \leq \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$$

Moreover $j_1 < s(W_{i_k} W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) + \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$ and if $j_r \neq \frac{1}{2^n}$, then $j_r \geq \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$. Also if $\frac{1}{2^n} \leq i_k$, then $s(W_{j_r} W_{j_{r-1}} \dots W_{j_1}) - s(W_{i_k} W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) \leq \frac{1}{2^n}$.

PROOF. Case 1: $\frac{1}{2^n} < i_k$. Obviously, $\frac{1}{2^n} < i_k < i_{k-1} < i_{k-2} < \dots < i_1 < 1$ and $s(W_{1/2^n} W_{i_k} W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) = s(W_{i_k} W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) + \frac{1}{2^n}$.

Case 2: There is $m \in \{2, 3, \dots, k\}$ such that $i_m = \frac{1}{2^n} < \frac{i_{m-1}}{2}$. Then

$$W_{1/2^n} W_{i_k} W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_m} W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{i_1} \subset W_{1/2^n} W_{2i_m} W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{i_1}.$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned} & s(W_{1/2^n} W_{2i_m} W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) = \\ &= s(W_{i_k} \dots W_{i_m} W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) - (i_k + \dots + i_m) + 2i_m + \frac{1}{2^n} \\ &\leq s(W_{i_k} \dots W_{i_m} W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) + i_m + \frac{1}{2^n} \\ &= s(W_{i_k} \dots W_{i_m} W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) + \frac{2}{2^n}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover $i_k + \dots + i_m \leq \left(\frac{1}{2^{k-m}} + \frac{1}{2^{k-m}} + \dots + \frac{1}{2} + 1\right) i_m < 2i_m < 2i_m + \frac{1}{2^n}$. Consequently, $s(W_{1/2^n} W_{2i_m} W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) > s(W_{i_k} \dots W_{i_m} W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{i_1})$.

Case 3: There is $m \in \{2, 3, \dots, k\}$ such that $i_m = \frac{1}{2^n} = \frac{i_{m-1}}{2}$ and there is $q \in \{2, 3, \dots, m-1\}$ such that $4i_q \leq i_{q-1}$. Let p be the greatest element of the set

$$\{q : 2 \leq q \leq m-1, 4i_q \leq i_{q-1}\}.$$

Then $W_{1/2^n} W_{i_k} \dots W_{i_1} \subset W_{1/2^n} W_{2i_m} W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{i_1} \subset W_{1/2^n} W_{2i_p} W_{i_{p-1}} \dots W_{i_1}$. Moreover

$$s(W_{2i_p} W_{i_{p-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) = s(W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{i_p} W_{i_{p-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) - (i_{m-1} + \dots + i_p) + 2i_p$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= s(W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{i_p} W_{i_{p-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) - (i_{m-1} + 2i_{m-1} + \dots + 2^{m-p-1}i_{m-1}) + 2^{m-p}i_{m-1} \\
 &= s(W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{i_p} W_{i_{p-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) - i_{m-1}(2^{m-p} - 1) + i_{m-1}2^{m-p} \\
 &= s(W_{i_k} \dots W_{i_p} W_{i_{p-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) - (i_k + \dots + i_m) + i_{m-1},
 \end{aligned}$$

and since $\frac{1}{2^{n-1}} = i_{m-1}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 s(W_{1/2^n} W_{2i_p} W_{i_{p-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) &= s(W_{2i_p} W_{i_{p-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) + \frac{1}{2^n} \\
 &= s(W_{i_k} W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) - (i_k + \dots + i_m) + i_{m-1} + \frac{1}{2^n} \\
 &= s(W_{i_k} W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) - (i_k + \dots + i_{m+1}) - \frac{1}{2^n} + \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} + \frac{1}{2^n} \\
 &= s(W_{i_k} W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) - (i_k + \dots + i_{m+1}) + \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $i_k + \dots + i_m \leq (\frac{1}{2^{k-m+1}} + \frac{1}{2^{k-m}} + \dots + \frac{1}{2}) i_{m-1} < i_{m-1}$ and therefore $s(W_{1/2^n} W_{2i_p} W_{i_{p-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) > s(W_{i_k} W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1})$.

Case 4: There is $m \in \{2, 3, \dots, k\}$ such that $i_m = \frac{1}{2^n} = \frac{i_{m-1}}{2} = \frac{i_{m-2}}{2^2} = \dots = \frac{i_1}{2^{m-1}}$. Then $W_{1/2^n} W_{i_k} \dots W_{i_1} \subset W_{1/2^n} W_{2i_m} W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{i_1} \subset W_{1/2^n} W_{2i_1}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 s(W_{1/2^n} W_{2i_1}) &= s(W_{i_m} \dots W_{i_1}) - (i_m + \dots + i_1) + 2i_1 + \frac{1}{2^n} \\
 &= s(W_{i_m} \dots W_{i_1}) - (i_m + 2i_m + \dots + 2^{m-1}i_m) + 2^m i_m + \frac{1}{2^n} \\
 &= s(W_{i_m} \dots W_{i_1}) - i_m(2^m - 1) + i_m 2^m + \frac{1}{2^n} \\
 &= s(W_{i_k} \dots W_{i_{m+1}} W_{i_m} \dots W_{i_1}) - (i_k + \dots + i_{m-1}) + i_m + \frac{1}{2^n} \\
 &< s(W_{i_k} W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) + \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Also $s(W_{1/2^n} W_{2i_1}) = \frac{1}{2^n} + 2i_1 > 2i_1 > s(W_{i_k} W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1})$ and

$$j_1 = 2i_1 < s(W_{i_k} W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) + \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}.$$

Case 5: There is $m \in \{2, 3, \dots, k\}$ such that $i_m < \frac{1}{2^n} < \frac{i_{m-1}}{2}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 W_{1/2^n} W_{i_k} W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_m} W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{i_1} &\subset W_{1/2^n} W_{2i_m} W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{i_1} \\
 &\subset W_{1/2^n} W_{1/2^n} W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{i_1} \\
 &\subset W_{1/2^{n-1}} W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{i_1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 s(W_{1/2^{n-1}} W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) &= s(W_{i_k} \dots W_{i_m} W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) - (i_k + \dots + i_m) + \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \\
 &< s(W_{i_k} W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) + \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover $i_k + \dots + i_m \leq \left(\frac{1}{2^{k-m}} + \frac{1}{2^{k-m}} + \dots + \frac{1}{2} + 1\right) i_m < 2i_m \leq \frac{1}{2^n} < \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$. Consequently, $s(W_{1/2^{n-1}}W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) > s(W_{i_k} \dots W_{i_m} W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{i_1})$.

Case 6: There is $m \in \{2, 3, \dots, k\}$ such that $i_m < \frac{1}{2^n} = \frac{i_{m-1}}{2}$ and there is $q \in \{2, 3, \dots, m-1\}$ such that $4i_q \leq i_{q-1}$. If p is the greatest element of the set

$$\{q : 2 \leq q \leq m-1, 4i_q \leq i_{q-1}\},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} W_{1/2^n}W_{i_k}W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_m}W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{i_1} &\subset W_{1/2^n}W_{2i_m}W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{i_1} \\ &\subset W_{1/2^n}W_{1/2^n}W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{i_1} \\ &\subset W_{1/2^{n-1}}W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{i_1} \\ &\subset W_{2i_p}W_{i_{p-1}} \dots W_{i_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} s(W_{2i_p}W_{i_{p-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) &= s(W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{i_p}W_{i_{p-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) - (i_{m-1} + \dots + i_p) + 2i_p \\ &= s(W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{i_p}W_{i_{p-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) - (i_{m-1} + 2i_{m-1} + \dots + 2^{m-p-1}i_{m-1}) + 2^{m-p}i_{m-1} \\ &= s(W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{i_p}W_{i_{p-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) - i_{m-1}(2^{m-p} - 1) + i_{m-1}2^{m-p} \\ &= s(W_{i_k} \dots W_{i_m}W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) - (i_k + \dots + i_m) + i_{m-1} \\ &= s(W_{i_k}W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) - (i_k + \dots + i_m) + \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$s(W_{2i_p}W_{i_{p-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) < s(W_{i_k}W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) + \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}.$$

Since we have $i_k + \dots + i_m \leq \left(\frac{1}{2^{k-m+1}} + \frac{1}{2^{k-m}} + \dots + \frac{1}{2}\right) i_{m-1} < i_{m-1}$, it follows that $i_{m-1} - (i_k + \dots + i_m) > 0$. Thus $s(W_{2i_p}W_{i_{p-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) > s(W_{i_k} \dots W_{i_m}W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{i_1})$. We also have $y_r = 2i_p \geq \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$.

Case 7: There is $m \in \{2, 3, \dots, k\}$ such that $i_m < \frac{1}{2^n} = \frac{i_{m-1}}{2} = \frac{i_{m-2}}{2^2} = \dots = \frac{i_1}{2^{m-1}}$. Then $W_{1/2^n}W_{i_k} \dots W_{i_1} \subset W_{1/2^n}W_{2i_m}W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{i_1} \subset W_{1/2^{n-1}}W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{i_1}W_{1/2^n}W_{2i_1} \subset \dots W_{2i_1}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} s(W_{2i_1}) &= s(W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) - (i_{m-1} + \dots + i_1) + 2i_1 \\ &= s(W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) - (i_{m-1} + 2i_{m-1} + \dots + 2^{m-2}i_{m-1}) + 2^{m-1}i_{m-1} \\ &= s(W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) - i_{m-1}(2^{m-1} - 1) + i_{m-1}2^{m-1} + \frac{1}{2^n} \\ &= s(W_{i_k} \dots W_{i_m}W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) - (i_k + \dots + i_m) + i_{m-1} \\ &< s(W_{i_k}W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) + \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Also $s(W_{2i_1}) = 2i_1 > (\frac{1}{2^{k-1}} + \dots + \frac{1}{2} + 1) i_1 \geq i_k + i_{k-1} + \dots i_1 \geq s(W_{i_k} W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1})$.
 Moreover $j_1 = 2i_1 = s(W_{2i_1}) < s(W_{i_k} W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) + \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$.

Case 8: $\frac{1}{2^n} = i_1$. We have

$$W_{1/2^n} W_{i_k} W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1} \subset W_{1/2^n} W_{2i_1},$$

$$\begin{aligned} s(W_{1/2^n} W_{2i_1}) &= \frac{1}{2^n} + 2i_1 \leq s(W_{i_k} W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) + \frac{1}{2^n} + i_1 \\ &= s(W_{i_k} W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) + \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

and $\frac{1}{2^n} + 2i_1 > 2i_1 > (\frac{1}{2^{k-1}} + \dots + \frac{1}{2} + 1) i_1 \geq i_k + i_{k-1} + \dots i_1 = s(W_{i_k} W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1})$. We also have $j_1 = 2i_1 < s(W_{1/2^n} W_{2i_1}) \leq s(W_{i_k} W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) + \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$.

Case 9: $\frac{1}{2^n} > i_1$. We have

$$W_{1/2^n} W_{i_k} W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1} \subset W_{1/2^n} W_{2i_1} \subset W_{1/2^n} W_{1/2^n} \subset W_{1/2^{n-1}},$$

$$s(W_{1/2^{n-1}}) = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} < s(W_{i_k} W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) + \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$$

and $\frac{1}{2^{n-1}} > 2i_1 > (\frac{1}{2^{k-1}} + \dots + \frac{1}{2} + 1) i_1 \geq i_k + i_{k-1} + \dots i_1 = s(W_{i_k} W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1})$. Moreover $j_1 = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} < s(W_{i_k} W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) + \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$.

Let us also remark that if $\frac{1}{2^n} = i_k$, then $W_{1/2^n} W_{i_k} W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1} \subset W_{2i_m} W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{i_1}$, where m is the greatest element of the set $\{q : 2 \leq q \leq k, 4i_q \leq i_{q-1}\}$ if the set is not empty or $m = 1$, otherwise. We have

$$\begin{aligned} s(W_{2i_m} W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) &= s(W_{i_k} \dots W_{i_m} W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) - (i_k + \dots + i_m) + 2i_m \\ &= s(W_{i_k} W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) - (1 + 2 + \dots + 2^{k-m}) \frac{1}{2^n} + \frac{2^{k-m+1}}{2^n} \\ &= s(W_{i_k} W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) + \frac{1}{2^n}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover $i_k + \dots + i_m \leq (\frac{1}{2^{k-m}} + \frac{1}{2^{k-m}} + \dots + \frac{1}{2} + 1) i_m < 2i_m$. Consequently,

$$s(W_{2i_m} W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{i_1}) > s(W_{i_k} \dots W_{i_m} W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{i_1}).$$

■

2.4. REMARK. In the preceding lemma since $W_{1/2^n} W_{i_k} W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1} \subset W_{j_r} W_{j_{r-1}} \dots W_{j_1}$, it follows that $(W_{1/2^n} W_{i_k} W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1})^{-1} \subset (W_{j_r} W_{j_{r-1}} \dots W_{j_1})^{-1}$ and consequently,

$$W_{i_1} W_{i_2} \dots W_{i_k} W_{1/2^n} \subset W_{j_1} W_{j_2} \dots W_{j_r}.$$

2.5. THEOREM. Let G be a groupoid, \mathcal{W} be a G -uniformity (in the sense of Definition 2.1) and let $W \in \mathcal{W}$. Let us consider an $I = \{\frac{1}{2^n}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ -indexed subfamily $\mathcal{W}_I = \{W_i\}_{i \in I}$ of \mathcal{W} as in Lemma 2.3 such that $W_1 \subset W$. Then for every subset A of G there is a function $f = f_{A, \mathcal{W}_I} : G \rightarrow [0, 1]$ satisfying the following conditions:

1. If $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2, x \in G$ and $y \in W_{1/2^n}xW_{1/2^n}$, then $|f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{1}{2^{n-2}}$.
2. $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in A$.
3. $f(x) = 1$ for all $x \notin WAW$.
4. If $A = A^{-1}$, then $f(x) = f(x^{-1})$ for all $x \in G$.
5. If G is endowed with a topology such that $W_{i_k}W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1}A W_{i_1} \dots W_{i_{k-1}}W_{i_k}$ is open for all $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k \in I, i_k < i_{k-1} < \dots < i_1 < 1$, then f is upper semi-continuous.
6. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$, we have

$$W_{1/2^{n+1}}AW_{1/2^{n+1}} \subset \left\{ x : f(x) < \frac{1}{2^n} \right\} \subset W_{1/2^{n-1}}AW_{1/2^{n-1}}.$$

In particular, if $A = G^{(0)}$, then

$$W_{1/2^{n+1}}W_{1/2^{n+1}} \subset \left\{ x : f(x) < \frac{1}{2^n} \right\} \subset W_{1/2^{n-1}}W_{1/2^{n-1}} \subset W_{1/2^{n-2}}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$.

7. If $A = G^{(0)}$, then $f(xy) \leq 3f(x) + f(y)$ for all $(x, y) \in G^{(2)}$.
8. If $A = G^{(0)}$, then $f(xy) \leq 2(f(x) + f(y))$ for all $(x, y) \in G^{(2)}$.
9. If $A = G^{(0)}$, then $f(x_1x_2 \dots x_n) \leq 3(f(x_1) + f(x_2) + \dots + f(x_n))$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in G$ such that $d(x_i) = r(x_{i+1})$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$.
10. If $A = G^{(0)}$ and for every $x \in G \setminus G^{(0)}$ there is $i_x \in I$ such that $x \notin W_{i_x}$ (or equivalently, $\bigcap_n W_{1/2^n} = G^{(0)}$), then $f^{-1}(\{0\}) = G^{(0)}$.

PROOF. For each $x \in G$, let us define

$$i(x) = \inf \left\{ i_k + i_{k-1} + \dots + i_1 : \begin{array}{l} i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k \in I, i_k < i_{k-1} < \dots < i_1, \\ x \in W_{i_k}W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1}A W_{i_1} \dots W_{i_{k-1}}W_{i_k} \end{array} \right\}$$

(with convention $\inf \emptyset = \infty$) and

$$f(x) = \min \{i(x), 1\}.$$

1. Let $x \in G$ and $y \in W_{1/2^n}xW_{1/2^n}$. If $i(x) \geq 1$ and $i(y) \geq 1$, then $f(x) = f(y) = 1$. Let us suppose that $i(x) < 1$ or $i(y) < 1$.

Case 1: $i(x) < 1$. Then there are $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k \in I, i_k < i_{k-1} < \dots < i_1 < 1$ such that $x \in W_{i_k}W_{i_{k-1}}\dots W_{i_1}AW_{i_1}\dots W_{i_{k-1}}W_{i_k}$ and $i_k + i_{k-1} + \dots + i_1 < i(x) + \frac{1}{2^n}$. By Lemma 2.3, there are $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_r \in I, j_r < j_{r-1} < i_{r-2} < \dots < j_1 \leq 1$ such that $W_{1/2^n}W_{i_k}W_{i_{k-1}}\dots W_{i_1} \subset W_{j_r}W_{j_{r-1}}\dots W_{j_1}$ and

$$0 < (j_r + \dots + j_1) - (i_k + i_{k-1} + \dots + i_1) < \frac{3}{2^n}$$

Hence

$$i_k + i_{k-1} + \dots + i_1 \leq j_r + \dots + j_1 < i(x) + \frac{1}{2^{n-2}}$$

and since

$$\begin{aligned} y &\in W_{1/2^n}xW_{1/2^n} \subset \\ &\subset W_{1/2^n}W_{i_k}W_{i_{k-1}}\dots W_{i_1}AW_{i_1}\dots W_{i_{k-1}}W_{i_k}W_{1/2^n} \\ &\subset W_{j_r}W_{j_{r-1}}\dots W_{j_1}AW_{j_1}W_{j_2}\dots W_{j_r} \end{aligned}$$

it follows that $i(y) < i(x) + \frac{1}{2^{n-2}}$. If $i(y) < 1$, then since $y \in W_{1/2^n}xW_{1/2^n}$ is equivalently to $x \in W_{1/2^n}yW_{1/2^n}$ it follows that $i(x) < i(y) + \frac{1}{2^{n-2}}$. Therefore $|f(x) - f(y)| = |i(x) - i(y)| < \frac{1}{2^{n-2}}$. If $i(y) \geq 1$, then $|f(x) - f(y)| = |i(x) - 1| = 1 - i(x) \leq i(y) - i(x) < \frac{1}{2^{n-2}}$.

Case 2: $i(y) < 1$. Since $y \in W_{1/2^n}xW_{1/2^n}$ is equivalently to $x \in W_{1/2^n}yW_{1/2^n}$, the case $i(y) < 1$ can be treated similarly as the case $i(x) < 1$.

2. Let us prove that $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in A$. Since $A \subset W_{1/2^n}AW_{1/2^n}$ for all n , it follows that $i(x) = 0$, and consequently, $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in A$.

3. Let us prove that $f(x) = 1$ for all $x \notin WAW$. Let $x \notin WAW$. By contradiction, let us suppose $f(x) < 1$. We necessarily have $i(x) < 1$, and hence there are $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k \in I, i_k < i_{k-1} < \dots < i_1 < 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} x &\in W_{i_k}W_{i_{k-1}}\dots W_{i_1}AW_{i_1}\dots W_{i_{k-1}}W_{i_k} \subset W_{2i_1}AW_{2i_1} \\ &\subset W_1AW_1 \subset WAW \end{aligned}$$

This is in contradiction to the hypothesis $x \notin WAW$.

4. Since $A = A^{-1}$, it follows that

$$(W_{i_k}W_{i_{k-1}}\dots W_{i_1}AW_{i_1}\dots W_{i_{k-1}}W_{i_k})^{-1} = W_{i_k}W_{i_{k-1}}\dots W_{i_1}AW_{i_1}\dots W_{i_{k-1}}W_{i_k}.$$

Thus $x \in W_{i_k}W_{i_{k-1}}\dots W_{i_1}AW_{i_1}\dots W_{i_{k-1}}W_{i_k}$ if and only if

$$x^{-1} \in W_{i_k}W_{i_{k-1}}\dots W_{i_1}AW_{i_1}\dots W_{i_{k-1}}W_{i_k}.$$

Therefore $f(x) = f(x^{-1})$ for all $x \in G$.

5. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and let us consider the set

$$U_\alpha = \{x \in G : f(x) < \alpha\}.$$

If $\alpha > 1$, then $U_\alpha = G$, hence U_α is an open set. Let us consider $\alpha \leq 1$ and let $x \in U_\alpha$. Then $f(x) < 1$. Thus $i(x) < 1$, and hence there are $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k \in I$, $i_k < i_{k-1} < \dots < i_1 < 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} x &\in W_{i_k} W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1} A W_{i_1} \dots W_{i_{k-1}} W_{i_k} \\ i_k + i_{k-1} + \dots + i_1 &< \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

For all $y \in W_{i_k} W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1} A W_{i_1} \dots W_{i_{k-1}} W_{i_k}$ we have $i(y) < \alpha$. Consequently,

$$x \in W_{i_k} W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1} A W_{i_1} \dots W_{i_{k-1}} W_{i_k} \subset U_\alpha.$$

Therefore U_α is open.

6. If $x \in W_{1/2^{n+1}} A W_{1/2^{n+1}}$, then $i(x) \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$. Thus $f(x) \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} < \frac{1}{2^n}$. If $f(x) < \frac{1}{2^n} < 1$, then $i(x) < \frac{1}{2^n}$ and there are $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k \in I$, $i_k < i_{k-1} < \dots < i_1 < 1$ such that $x \in W_{i_k} W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1} A W_{i_1} \dots W_{i_{k-1}} W_{i_k}$ and $i_k + i_{k-1} + \dots + i_1 < i(x) + \frac{1}{2^n} < \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$. Hence $i_1 < \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$ and therefore $x \in W_{2i_1} A W_{2i_1} \subset W_{1/2^{n-1}} A W_{1/2^{n-1}}$.

7. Let $(x, y) \in G^{(2)}$. If $3f(x) + f(y) \geq 1$, then obviously, $f(xy) \leq 3f(x) + f(y)$. Let us suppose that $3f(x) + f(y) < 1$ or equivalently, $3i(x) + i(y) < 1$ (consequently, $i(x) < \frac{1}{3}$ and $i(y) < 1$). Let $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\varepsilon < 1 - 3i(x) - i(y)$. Then there are $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k \in I$, $i_k < i_{k-1} < \dots < i_1 \leq \frac{1}{4}$ such that $x \in W_{i_k} W_{i_{k-1}} \dots W_{i_1} W_{i_1} \dots W_{i_{k-1}} W_{i_k}$, $i_k + i_{k-1} + \dots + i_1 < i(x) + \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ and there are $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m \in I$, $j_m < j_{m-1} < \dots < j_1 \leq \frac{1}{2}$ such that $y \in W_{j_m} W_{j_{m-1}} \dots W_{j_1} W_{j_1} \dots W_{j_{m-1}} W_{j_m}$, $j_m + j_{m-1} + \dots + j_1 < i(y) + \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. By Lemma 2.3, there are $q_1^1, q_2^1, \dots, q_{r_1}^1 \in I$, $q_{r_1}^1 < q_{r_1-1}^1 < q_{r_1-2}^1 < \dots < q_1^1 \leq 1$ such that $W_{i_k} W_{j_m} W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{j_1} \subset W_{q_{r_1}^1} W_{q_{r_1-1}^1} \dots W_{q_1^1}$,

$$0 < (q_{r_1}^1 + \dots + q_1^1) - (j_m + j_{m-1} + \dots + j_1) \leq 2i_k.$$

and $q_1^1 \leq j_m + j_{m-1} + \dots + j_1 + 2i_k < i(y) + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + 2i(x) + \frac{2\varepsilon}{3} < 1$. Repeatedly applying Lemma 2.3, for $p = 2, 3, \dots, k$ there are $q_1^p, q_2^p, \dots, q_{r_p}^p \in I$, $q_{r_p}^p < q_{r_p-1}^p < q_{r_p-2}^p < \dots < q_1^p \leq 1$ such that $W_{i_{k-p+1}} W_{q_{r_p}^p} W_{q_{r_p-1}^p} \dots W_{q_1^p} \subset W_{q_{r_p}^p} W_{q_{r_p-1}^p} \dots W_{q_1^p}$,

$$0 \leq (q_{r_p}^p + \dots + q_1^p) - (q_{r_{p-1}}^{p-1} + \dots + q_1^{p-1}) \leq 2i_{k-p+1}.$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} q_1^{p-1} &< q_{r_{p-1}}^{p-1} + \dots + q_1^{p-1} + 2i_{k-p+1} \\ &< q_{r_{p-2}}^{p-2} + \dots + q_1^{p-2} + 2i_{k-p} + 2i_{k-p+1} \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ &< j_m + j_{m-1} + \dots + j_1 + 2i_k + \dots + 2i_{k-p} + 2i_{k-p+1} \\ &< i(y) + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + 2i(x) + \frac{2\varepsilon}{3} < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Applying again Lemma 2.3, there are $q_1^{k+1}, q_2^{k+1}, \dots, q_{r_{k+1}}^{k+1} \in I, q_{r_{k+1}}^{k+1} < q_{r_{k+1}-1}^{k+1} < q_{r_{k+1}-2}^{k+1} < \dots < q_1^{k+1} \leq 1$ such that $W_{i_1} W_{q_{r_k}^k} W_{q_{r_k-1}^k} \dots W_{q_1^k} \subset W_{q_{r_{k+1}}^{k+1}} W_{q_{r_{k+1}-1}^{k+1}} \dots W_{q_1^{k+1}}$ and

$$0 < \left(q_{r_{k+1}}^{k+1} + \dots + q_1^{k+1} \right) - \left(q_{r_k}^k + \dots + q_1^k \right) < i_1$$

Moreover $q_{r_{k+1}}^{k+1} \geq i_1$. Hence $W_{i_1} W_{i_1} W_{i_2} \dots W_{i_k} W_{j_m} W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{j_1} \subset W_{q_{r_{k+1}}^{k+1}} W_{q_{r_{k+1}-1}^{k+1}} \dots W_{q_1^{k+1}}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \left(q_{r_{k+1}}^{k+1} + \dots + q_1^{k+1} \right) - \left(j_m + j_{m-1} + \dots + j_1 \right) \\ &< 2 \left(i_k + i_{k-1} + \dots + i_1 \right) + i_1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $W_{i_k} \dots W_{i_2} W_{i_1} W_{i_1} W_{i_2} \dots W_{i_k} W_{j_m} W_{i_{m-1}} \dots W_{j_1} \subset W_{i_k} \dots W_{i_2} W_{q_{r_{k+1}}^{k+1}} W_{q_{r_{k+1}-1}^{k+1}} \dots W_{q_1^{k+1}}$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} xy &\in W_{i_k} \dots W_{i_2} W_{i_1} W_{i_1} W_{i_2} \dots W_{i_k} W_{j_m} W_{j_{m-1}} \dots W_{j_1} W_{j_1} \dots W_{j_m} \\ &\subset W_{i_k} \dots W_{i_2} W_{q_{r_{k+1}}^{k+1}} W_{q_{r_{k+1}-1}^{k+1}} \dots W_{q_1^{k+1}} W_{q_1^{k+1}} \dots W_{q_{r_{k+1}}^{k+1}} W_{i_2} \dots W_{i_k} \end{aligned}$$

and $i_k < i_{k-1} < \dots < i_2 < q_{r_{k+1}}^{k+1} < q_{r_{k+1}-1}^{k+1} < q_{r_{k+1}-2}^{k+1} < \dots < q_1^{k+1} \leq 1$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} i(xy) &\leq i_k + i_{k-1} + \dots + i_2 + \left(q_{r_{k+1}}^{k+1} + \dots + q_1^{k+1} \right) \\ &< i_k + i_{k-1} + \dots + i_2 + 2 \left(i_k + i_{k-1} + \dots + i_1 \right) + i_1 + \left(j_m + j_{m-1} + \dots + j_1 \right) \\ &\leq 3 \left(i_k + i_{k-1} + \dots + i_1 \right) + \left(j_m + j_{m-1} + \dots + j_1 \right) \\ &< 3i(x) + i(y) + \frac{4}{3}\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Therefore $i(xy) \leq 3i(x) + i(y)$ for all $(x, y) \in G^{(2)}$. Thus $f(xy) \leq 3f(x) + f(y)$ for all $(x, y) \in G^{(2)}$.

8. Let $(x, y) \in G^{(2)}$. We proved in 7 that

$$f(xy) \leq 3f(x) + f(y).$$

On the other hand we have $f(x^{-1}) = f(x), f(y^{-1}) = f(y)$ and

$$f(xy) = f(y^{-1}x^{-1}) \leq \frac{3}{7} \left(3f(y^{-1}) + f(x^{-1}) \right) = 3f(y) + f(x).$$

Adding the last inequalities we obtain

$$2f(xy) \leq 3 \left(f(x) + f(y) \right) + f(x) + f(y) = 4 \left(f(x) + f(y) \right).$$

9. We prove the inequality by mathematical induction. For $n = 2$ is true, since by 7 we have $f(x_1x_2) \leq 3f(x_1) + f(x_2) \leq 3f(x_1) + 3f(x_2)$. Let us suppose that the inequality is true for some n and let us prove that it is true for $n + 1$. Using 7 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1x_2\dots x_nx_{n+1}) &\leq \frac{3f(x_1) + f(x_2\dots x_nx_{n+1})}{7} \\ &\leq 3f(x_1) + 3(f(x_2) + f(x_3) + \dots + f(x_n)). \end{aligned}$$

10. If $x \in G^{(0)}$ then by 2, $f(x) = 0$. Conversely, if $f(x) = 0$, then for all n , we have $i(x) < \frac{1}{2^n}$. Thus there are $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k \in I, i_k < i_{k-1} < \dots < i_1 \leq \frac{1}{2^n}$ such that $x \in W_{i_k}W_{i_{k-1}}\dots W_{i_1}W_{i_1}\dots W_{i_{k-1}}W_{i_k}$ and $i_k + i_{k-1} + \dots + i_1 < \frac{1}{2^n}$. Since $W_{i_k}W_{i_{k-1}}\dots W_{i_1} \subset W_{2i_1}$, it follows that $x \in W_{4i_1} \subset W_{1/2^{n-2}}$ for all $n \geq 2$. Thus $x \in \bigcap_n W_{1/2^n} = G^{(0)}$. ■

2.6. PROPOSITION. Let G be a groupoid, \mathcal{W} be a G -uniformity and $f : G \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a function satisfying conditions 2, 4, 9 and 10 in Theorem 2.5 (f associated to $A = G^{(0)}$). Then there is a function $f_{reg} : G \rightarrow [0, 1]$ satisfying the following conditions:

1. $\frac{1}{3}f \leq f_{reg} \leq f$.
2. $f_{reg}(x) = f_{reg}(x^{-1})$ for all $x \in G$.
3. $f_{reg}(xy) \leq f_{reg}(x) + f_{reg}(y)$ for all $(x, y) \in G^{(2)}$.
4. $|f_{reg}(sxt) - f_{reg}(x)| \leq f_{reg}(s) + f_{reg}(t)$ for all $s, t, x \in G$ with $x \in G_{r(t)}^{d(s)}$.
5. $W_{1/2^{n+1}} \subset W_{1/2^{n+1}}W_{1/2^{n+1}} \subset \{x : f_{reg}(x) < \frac{1}{2^n}\} \subset W_{1/2^{n=3}}W_{1/2^{n-3}} \subset W_{1/2^{n-4}}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$.

PROOF. In the spirit of [8, Theorem 3.26] let us define $f_{reg} : G \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$f_{reg}(x) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) : x_1x_2\dots x_n = x \right\} \text{ for all } x \in G.$$

Then f_{reg} obviously satisfies conditions 1 – 3.

4. Let $s, t, x \in G$ such that $x \in G_{r(t)}^{d(s)}$. Then $f_{reg}(sxt) \leq f_{reg}(s) + f_{reg}(x) + f_{reg}(t)$ and consequently, $f_{reg}(sxt) - f_{reg}(x) \leq f_{reg}(s) + f_{reg}(t)$. On the other hand $f_{reg}(x) = f_{reg}(s^{-1}sxxt^{-1}) \leq f_{reg}(s^{-1}) + f_{reg}(sxt) + f_{reg}(t^{-1}) = f_{reg}(s) + f_{reg}(sxt) + f_{reg}(t)$ and therefore $f_{reg}(x) - f_{reg}(sxt) \leq f_{reg}(s) + f_{reg}(t)$.

5. Let $x \in W_{1/2^{n+1}}W_{1/2^{n+1}}$. Then $f_{reg}(x) \leq f(x) < \frac{1}{2^n}$. Conversely, let x be such that $f_{reg}(x) < \frac{1}{2^n}$. Then $\frac{1}{4}f(x) \leq \frac{1}{3}f(x) \leq f_{reg}(x) < \frac{1}{2^n}$. Hence $f(x) < \frac{1}{2^{n-2}}$ and therefore $x \in W_{1/2^{n-3}}W_{1/2^{n-3}}$. ■

3. A groupoid generalization of Alexandroff–Urysohn Theorem

As we remark in [5, p. 57], if G is a topological groupoid whose unit space is a T_1 -space (the points are closed in $G^{(0)}$), then the topologies of the r -fibres, as well as the topologies of the d -fibres, are determined by a fundamental system of neighborhoods $\{W\}_{W \in \mathcal{W}}$ of $G^{(0)}$. More precisely, for each $u \in G^0$ and each $x \in G^u$ (respectively, $x \in G_u$), $\{xW\}_{W \in \mathcal{W}}$ (respectively, $\{Wx\}_{W \in \mathcal{W}}$) is a local basis for x with respect to the topology induced by G on G^u (respectively, G_u). We also prove in [5, p. 59] that if \mathcal{W} satisfies the conditions imposed to a G -uniformity, then there is a topology denoted $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}^r$ (respectively, $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}^d$) on G such that for all $x \in G$, $\mathcal{V}^r(x)$ (respectively, $\mathcal{V}^d(x)$) is a neighborhood basis for x , where

$$\mathcal{V}^r(x) = \{V \subset G : \text{there is } W \in \mathcal{W} \text{ such that } xW \subset V\}.$$

respectively,

$$\mathcal{V}^d(x) = \{V \subset G : \text{there is } W \in \mathcal{W} \text{ such that } Wx \subset V\}.$$

Unlike the case of a group, a groupoid G (that isn't a group) is generally not a topological groupoid with respect to $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}^r$ or $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}^d$. That is why we define a new topology associated to a G -uniformity.

3.1. DEFINITION. *Let G be a groupoid endowed with a G -uniformity \mathcal{W} . The topology $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}$ induced by the G -uniformity \mathcal{W} is the topology on G defined in the following way: $A \in \tau_{\mathcal{W}}$ if and only if for every $x \in A$ there is $W_x \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $W_x x W_x \subset A$.*

For each $x \in G$ let us write

$$\mathcal{V}(x) = \{V \subset G : \text{there is } W \in \mathcal{W} \text{ such that } WxW \subset V\}.$$

In order to see that $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}$ is indeed a topology it is enough to prove that for all $V \in \mathcal{V}(x)$, there is $U \in \mathcal{V}(x)$ such that $V \in \mathcal{V}(y)$ for all $y \in U$. Since $V \in \mathcal{V}(x)$, it follows that there is $W_x \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $W_x x W_x \subset V$. Let $W'_x \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $W'_x W'_x \subset W_x$. If we take $U = W'_x x W'_x$, then for all $y \in U$ there is $s \in W'_x \cap G^{d(x)}$ and $t \in W'_x \cap G_{r(x)}$ such that $y = txs$ and

$$W'_x y W'_x = W'_x txs W'_x \subset W'_x W'_x x W'_x W'_x \subset W_x x W_x.$$

Alternatively, we can note that $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}$ is the topology on G induced by the following uniform structure $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{W}}$ associated with the G -uniformity \mathcal{W} : $U \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{W}}$ if and only if there is $W \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $\{x\} \times WxW \subset U$ for all $x \in G$.

Let us remark that for two equivalent G -uniformities \mathcal{W} and \mathcal{W}' in the sense of Definition 2.2 we have $\tau_{\mathcal{W}} = \tau_{\mathcal{W}'}$.

In [5] we introduced the notions of left uniform continuity on fibres and right uniform continuity on fibres reformulating the definition of left and right uniform continuity [3, Definition 3.1/p. 39] in the setting of a groupoid endowed with a family of subsets satisfying the conditions imposed to a G -uniformity. Let us define a new notion of uniform continuity with respect to a G -uniformity.

3.2. DEFINITION. Let G be a groupoid endowed with a G -uniformity \mathcal{W} , $A \subset G$ and E be a Banach space. The function $h : A \rightarrow E$ is said to be uniformly continuous on fibres (with respect to \mathcal{W}) if and only if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $W_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{W}$ such that:

$$\|h(x) - h(sxt)\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } s, t \in W_\varepsilon \text{ and } x \in A \cap G_{r(t)}^{d(s)} \text{ such that } sxt \in A.$$

3.3. REMARK. The function f defined in Theorem 2.5 as well as the function f_{reg} in Proposition 2.6 are uniformly continuous on fibres with respect to the corresponding G -uniformity.

We will prove (Proposition 3.8) that if there is an appropriate connection between the G -uniformity and the topology of G , then the restrictions of a uniformly continuous on fibres function to r -fibres as well as to d -fibres are continuous functions.

3.4. DEFINITION. Let G be a groupoid endowed with a topology τ . Let $\{W_j\}_{j \in J}$ be a collection of subsets of G such that for all $j \in J$, $G^{(0)} \subset W_j$ and $W_j = W_j^{-1}$. The collection $\{W_j\}_{j \in J}$ is said to be compatible with the topology of the r -fibres (respectively, d -fibres) if for every $u \in G^{(0)}$ and every open neighborhood U of u , there is $j \in J$ such that $W_j \cap G^u \subset U \cap G^u$ and u is in the interior of $W_j \cap G^u$ with respect to the topology on G^u coming from (G, τ) (respectively, $W_j \cap G_u \subset U \cap G_u$ and u is in the interior of $W_j \cap G_u$ with respect to the topology on G_u coming from (G, τ)).

The collection $\{W_j\}_{j \in J}$ is said to be compatible with the topology of the fibres if it is compatible with the topology of the r -fibres and d -fibres.

3.5. REMARK. If G is groupoid endowed with a topology τ such that the inverse map is continuous, then a collection $\{W_j\}_{j \in J}$ is compatible with the topology of the r -fibres if and only if it is compatible with the topology of the d -fibres.

If G is a topological groupoid and $G^{(0)}$ is a T_1 -space (the points are closed in $G^{(0)}$), then any fundamental system of symmetric neighborhoods of $G^{(0)}$ is compatible with the topology of the fibres. Indeed, let $u \in G^{(0)}$. Since $G^{(0)}$ is a T_1 -space, $G \setminus G^u$ is open for all u . If U is an open subset of G containing u , then $U \cup (G \setminus G^u)$ is an open neighborhood of $G^{(0)}$. Thus there is $W \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $W \subset U \cup (G \setminus G^u)$, and $W \cap G^u \subset U \cap G^u$.

If G is a topological groupoid and $\{W_j\}_{j \in J}$ is compatible with the topology of the r -fibres (and hence to d -fibres), then the topologies of the r -fibres and d -fibres are determined by $\{W_j\}_{j \in J}$: for each $u \in G^0$ and each $x \in G^u$ (respectively, $x \in G_u$), $\{xW_j\}_{j \in J}$ (respectively, $\{W_jx\}_{j \in J}$) is a local basis for x with respect to the topology induced by G on G^u (respectively, G_u).

3.6. PROPOSITION. If G is a groupoid endowed with a topology such that for all $x \in G$ the map $y \mapsto xyx^{-1} \left[: G_{d(x)}^{d(x)} \rightarrow G_{r(x)}^{r(x)} \right]$ is continuous at $d(x)$ and if \mathcal{W} is compatible with the topology of the r -fibres or d -fibres, then for every $W_1 \in \mathcal{W}$ and $x \in G$ there is $W_2 \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $W_2 \cap G_{d(x)}^{d(x)} \subset x^{-1}W_1x$ (or equivalently, $xW_2x^{-1} \subset W_1$).

PROOF. Let $W_1 \in \mathcal{W}$ and $x \in G$. Since $xr(x)x^{-1} \in W_1 \cap G_{r(x)}^{r(x)}$, it follows that there is an open neighborhood V of $d(x)$ such that $xVx^{-1} \subset W_1 \cap G_{r(x)}^{r(x)}$. Let $W_2 \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $W_2 \cap G^{d(x)} \subset V \cap G^{d(x)}$ or $W_2 \cap G_{d(x)} \subset V \cap G_{d(x)}$. Then $xW_2x^{-1} \subset xVx^{-1} \subset W_1$. ■

A topological groupoid is said to be locally transitive (see [12]) if for all $u \in G^{(0)}$ the maps r_u are open, where $r_u : G_u \rightarrow G^{(0)}$ is defined by $r_u(x) = r(x)$ for all $x \in G_u$ and G_u is endowed with the topology coming from G . Hence the maps d_u are open, where $d_u : G^u \rightarrow G^{(0)}$, $d_u(x) = d(x)$ for all $x \in G^u$ and G^u is endowed with the topology coming from G . Topological groups and pair groupoids $X \times X$ (X topological space) are topological locally transitive groupoids. More general any trivial groupoid $X \times G \times X$ (X topological space and G topological group) is locally transitive. Any transitive Polish groupoid with open range map is locally transitive [10] (see [9, p. 8] for transitive locally compact second countable groupoids with open range maps).

3.7. PROPOSITION. *Let G be a groupoid and \mathcal{W} be a G -uniformity such that for every $W_1 \in \mathcal{W}$ and $x \in G$ there is $W_2 \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $W_2 \cap G_{d(x)}^{d(x)} \subset x^{-1}W_1x$ (or equivalently, $xW_2x^{-1} \subset W_1$). Then G is a topological locally transitive groupoid with respect to the topology $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}$ induced by the G -uniformity \mathcal{W} (in the sense of Definition 3.1). The topologies $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}^r$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}^d$ are finer than $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}$. However the topologies induced by $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}^r$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}$ on r -fibres (respectively, by $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}^d$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}$ on d -fibres) coincide.*

PROOF. Let us show that the inversion map and the product map are continuous with respect to $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}$. The fact that $(WxW)^{-1} = Wx^{-1}W$ ($x \in G$ and $W \in \mathcal{W}$) implies that the inversion is a homeomorphism. For all $W \in \mathcal{W}$, there is $W_1 \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $W_1W_1 \subset W$ and for all $y \in G$ there is $W_y \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $W_y \subset W$ and $W_y \cap G_{r(y)}^{r(y)} \subset yW_1y^{-1}$ or equivalently, $y^{-1}W_yy \subset W_1$. If $W'_y \in \mathcal{W}$ is such that $W'_yW'_y \subset W_y$ and $x \in G_{r(y)}$, then

$$W'_y x W'_y W'_y y W'_y \subset W'_y x y y^{-1} W_y y W'_y \subset W'_y x y W_1 W'_y \subset W x y W,$$

Therefore the product map is continuous.

Obviously, the topologies $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}^r$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}^d$ are finer than $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}$ ($xW \subset WxW$ and $Wx \subset WxW$). For every $u \in G^{(0)}$, $x \in G^u$ and $W \in \mathcal{W}$ there is $W_1 \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $W_1W_1 \subset W$ and there is $W_x \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $W_x \subset W_1$ and $W_x \cap G_{r(x)}^{r(x)} \subset xW_1x^{-1}$ or equivalently, $x^{-1}W_x x \subset W_1$. Thus

$$W_x x W_x \cap G^u = x x^{-1} W_x x W_x \subset x W_1 W_x \subset x W.$$

Hence the topologies induced by $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}^r$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}$ on r -fibres coincide. Similarly, the topologies induced by $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}^d$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}$ on d -fibres coincide.

Let $u \in G^{(0)}$. In order to prove that $d_u : G^u \rightarrow G^{(0)}$ is open it suffices to note that if $x \in G^u$ and $W \in \mathcal{W}$, then

$$d_u(G^u \cap WxW) = d_u(G^{d(x)} \cap W) = (Wd(x)W) \cap G^{(0)}.$$

■

3.8. PROPOSITION. *Let (G, τ) be a topological groupoid and \mathcal{W} be a G -uniformity compatible with the topology of the fibres. Then:*

1. *The topology $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}$ (induced by the G -uniformity \mathcal{W}) is finer than τ (the original topology of G).*
2. *The topologies induced by τ and $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}$ on r -fibres (respectively, on d -fibres) coincide.*
3. *If (G, τ) is locally transitive, then the topology $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}$ coincides with τ on G .*

PROOF. 1. Let U be an open subset of G with respect to τ and let $x \in U$. Since $xd(x) \in U$, it follows that there is an open neighborhood $U_1 \in \tau$ of x and an open neighborhood $V_1 \in \tau$ of $d(x)$ such that $U_1V_1 \subset U$. Moreover since $r(x)x \in U_1$, it follows that there is an open neighborhood $V_2 \in \tau$ of $r(x)$ such that $V_2x \subset U_1$. Hence $V_2xV_1 \subset U$. Let $W_1 \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $W_1 \cap G^{d(x)} \subset V_1 \cap G^{d(x)}$, $W_2 \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $W_2 \cap G_{r(x)} \subset V_2 \cap G_{r(x)}$ and let $W \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $W \subset W_1 \cap W_2$. Then $WxW \subset V_2xV_1 \subset U$. Thus U is open with respect to $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}$.

2. Since $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}$ is finer than τ , it suffices to prove that for all $u \in G^{(0)}$, $x \in G^u$ (respectively, $x \in G_u$) and all $W \in \mathcal{W}$, $WxW \cap G^u$ (respectively, $WxW \cap G_u$) is a neighborhood of x with respect to the topology on G^u (respectively, G_u) induced by τ . Since the map $y \mapsto xy [: G^{d(x)} \rightarrow G^{r(x)}]$ (respectively, $y \mapsto yx [: G_{r(x)} \rightarrow G_{d(x)}]$) is a homeomorphism (with respect to τ), it follows that $x(W \cap G^{d(x)}) = xW$ (respectively, $(W \cap G_{r(x)})x = Wx$) is a neighborhood of x in $G^{r(x)}$ (respectively, $G_{d(x)}$) with respect to the topology induced by τ . Therefore $WxW \cap G^u \supset xW$ (respectively, $WxW \cap G_u \supset Wx$) is a neighborhood of x with respect to the topology on G^u (respectively, G_u) induced by τ .

3. Let us assume that (G, τ) is locally transitive, or equivalently, that for all $u \in G^{(0)}$, $d_u : G^u \rightarrow G^{(0)}$ ($d_u(x) = d(x)$) is open. Since $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}$ is finer than τ , in order to show that $\tau_{\mathcal{W}} = \tau$ it suffices to prove for all $x \in G$ and all $W \in \mathcal{W}$, x is in the interior of WxW with respect to τ . For each $u \in G^{(0)}$ let W^u be the interior of $G^u \cap W$ seen as a subset of the topological space G^u and let $W_0 = \bigcup_{u \in G^{(0)}} W^u$ and $W_1 = \bigcup_{u \in G^{(0)}} (W^u)^{-1}$.

Then $G^{(0)} \subset W_0 \subset W$ and $G^{(0)} \subset W_1 \subset W$. We prove that W_1xW_0 is open with respect to τ . Let $s \in W_1$, $t \in W_0$ and $(y_i)_i$ be a net in G converging to sxt (with respect to τ). Then $d(y_i) \rightarrow d(t) = d_{r(t)}(t)$. Since $d_{r(t)} : G^{r(t)} \rightarrow G^{(0)}$ is open, we may pass to a subnet and assume that there are $t_i \in G^{r(t)}$ such that $t_i \rightarrow t$ and $d(t_i) = d(y_i)$ for all i . If $s_i = y_it_i^{-1}x^{-1}$, then $s_i \rightarrow sxtt^{-1}x^{-1} = s$. Since $t_i \rightarrow t \in W_0 \cap G^{r(t)}$ and $s_i \rightarrow s \in W_1 \cap G_{r(x)}$, it follows that s_i are eventually in W_1 and t_i are eventually in W_0 . Therefore $y_i = s_it_it_i$ is eventually in W_1xW_0 . Thus x is in the interior of WxW . ■

3.9. PROPOSITION. *Let G be a groupoid endowed with a pseudometric ρ satisfying the following conditions:*

1. $\rho(x, r(x)) = \rho(x^{-1}, d(x))$ for all $x \in G$.
2. $\rho(xy, r(x)) \leq \rho(y, r(y)) + \rho(x^{-1}, d(x))$ for all $(x, y) \in G^{(2)}$.

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$W_n := \left\{ x \in G : \rho(x, r(x)) < \frac{1}{2^n} \right\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{W} = \{W_n\}_n$ is a G -uniformity compatible with the topology of r -fibres (induced by the pseudometric ρ).

PROOF. Obviously, satisfies condition 1, 2 and 4 from Definition 2.1. Also let us note that $W_{n+1}W_{n+1} \subset W_n$ for all n (since $\rho(xy, r(x)) \leq \rho(y, r(y)) + \rho(x^{-1}, d(x)) = \rho(y, r(y)) + \rho(x, r(x))$ for all $(x, y) \in G^{(2)}$). Since for all u , $W_n \cap G^u = B(u, \frac{1}{2^n}) \cap G^u$, it follows that \mathcal{W} is compatible with the topology of r -fibres. ■

3.10. PROPOSITION. Let G be a groupoid endowed with a pseudometric ρ satisfying the following conditions:

1. $\rho(x, d(x)) = \rho(x^{-1}, r(x))$ for all $x \in G$.
2. $\rho(xy, d(y)) \leq \rho(x, d(x)) + \rho(y^{-1}, r(y))$ for all $(x, y) \in G^{(2)}$.

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$W_n := \left\{ x \in G : \rho(x, d(x)) < \frac{1}{2^n} \right\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{W} = \{W_n\}_n$ is a G -uniformity compatible with the topology of d -fibres (induced by the pseudometric ρ).

PROOF. The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 3.9. ■

3.11. DEFINITION. Let G be a groupoid endowed with a pseudometric ρ satisfying conditions 1 and 2 in Proposition 3.9 or in Proposition 3.10. Then the G -uniformity constructed in Proposition 3.9 as well as the G -uniformity constructed in Proposition 3.10 will be called the G -uniformity associated to the pseudometric ρ .

3.12. REMARK. If ρ is a left invariant pseudometric on a groupoid G (in the sense that $\rho(zx, zy) = \rho(x, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in G$ with $d(z) = r(x) = r(y)$), then $\rho(xy, r(x)) \leq \rho(x^{-1}, d(x)) + \rho(y, r(y))$ for all $(x, y) \in G^{(2)}$ and $\rho(x, r(x)) = \rho(x^{-1}, d(x))$ for all $x \in G$. Indeed, $\rho(xy, r(x)) = \rho(xy, xx^{-1}) = \rho(y, x^{-1}) \leq \rho(y, r(y)) + \rho(x^{-1}, r(y)) = \rho(y, r(y)) + \rho(x^{-1}, d(x))$ for all $(x, y) \in G^{(2)}$. Also $\rho(x, r(x)) = \rho(xd(x), xx^{-1}) = \rho(d(x), x^{-1})$ for all $x \in G$.

Also if ρ is a right invariant pseudometric on a groupoid G (in the sense that $\rho(xz, yz) = \rho(x, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in G$ with $r(z) = d(x) = d(y)$), then $\rho(xy, d(x)) \leq \rho(x, d(x)) + \rho(y^{-1}, r(y))$ for all $(x, y) \in G^{(2)}$ and $\rho(x, d(x)) = \rho(x^{-1}, r(x))$ for all $x \in G$.

3.13. REMARK. Any topological groupoid that is paracompact admits a fundamental system \mathcal{W} of neighborhoods that is a G -uniformity compatible with the topology of fibres [10]. The same is true for a topological groupoid with paracompact unit space [5].

3.14. PROPOSITION. *Let G be a groupoid and $\mathcal{W} = \{W_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a countable G -uniformity. Then G can be endowed with a pseudometric ρ satisfying the following conditions:*

1. ρ is left invariant in the sense that $\rho(zx, zy) = \rho(x, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in G$ with $d(z) = r(x) = r(y)$.
2. ρ induces a G -uniformity equivalent to \mathcal{W} .
3. For every $u \in G^{(0)}$ the restriction of ρ to G^u is compatible with the topology induced by $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}^r$ on G^u .
4. If $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_n = G^{(0)}$, then ρ is a metric.

PROOF. Let $I = \{\frac{1}{2^n}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Let $W_0 \in \{W_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $W'_1 \in \mathcal{W}$ be such that $W'_1 W'_1 \subset W_0$ and $W'_1 \subset W_1$. Inductively we construct an I -indexed family $\{W'_i\}_{i \in I}$. Suppose that for $W'_{1/2^n} \in \mathcal{W}$ has already been built. Then there is a $W'' \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $W'' W'' \subset W'_{1/2^n}$ and $W'' \subset W_{n+2}$. Let $W'_{1/2^{n+1}} = W''$. Thus we obtain an I -indexed family $\mathcal{W}' = \{W'_i\}_{i \in I}$ as in Theorem 2.5 and if $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_n = G^{(0)}$, then $G^{(0)} = \bigcap_{i \in I} W'_i$. Let $f = f_{G^{(0)}, \mathcal{W}'}$ be the function defined in Theorem 2.5 and f_{reg} the function associated to f in Proposition 2.6. Thus as in [8] we may define the following distance $\rho(x, y) = f_{reg}(x^{-1}y)$ if $r(x) = r(y)$ and $\rho(x, y) = 1$ otherwise. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 4$ and $u \in G^{(0)}$. For $x \in G^u$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} B\left(x, \frac{1}{2^n}\right) &= \left\{y \in G^u : f_{reg}(x^{-1}y) < \frac{1}{2^n}\right\} \\ &\subset xW'_{1/2^{n-4}} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand according Proposition 2.6 $W'_{1/2^{n+1}} \subset \{z : f_{reg}(z) < \frac{1}{2^n}\}$. Hence $xW'_{1/2^{n+1}} \subset \{y : f_{reg}(x^{-1}y) < \frac{1}{2^n}\} = B(x, \frac{1}{2^n})$. Thus the topologies induced by $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}^r$ and the metric $\rho|_{G^u}$ on G^u coincide. ■

3.15. PROPOSITION. *Let G be a groupoid and $\mathcal{W} = \{W_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a countable G -uniformity. Then G can be endowed with a pseudometric ρ satisfying the following conditions:*

1. ρ is right invariant in the sense that $\rho(xz, yz) = \rho(x, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in G$ with $r(z) = d(x) = d(y)$.
2. ρ induces a G -uniformity equivalent to \mathcal{W} .
3. For every $u \in G^{(0)}$ the restriction of ρ to G_u is compatible with the topology induced by $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}^d$ on G_u .
4. If $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_n = G^{(0)}$, then ρ is a metric.

PROOF. Similar as in the proof of Proposition 3.14 we may define the following distance $\rho(x, y) = f_{reg}(xy^{-1})$ if $d(x) = d(y)$ and $\rho(x, y) = 1$ otherwise. ■

3.16. THEOREM. *A topological locally transitive groupoid. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) *G is metrizable*
- (b) *G is paracompact and $G^{(0)}$ has a countable fundamental system $\{W_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of neighborhoods such that $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_n = G^{(0)}$ and $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (r, d)(W_n) = \text{diag}(G^{(0)})$.*
- (c) *For every neighborhood W of $G^{(0)}$ there is a neighborhood W' of $G^{(0)}$ such that $W'W' \subset W$ and $G^{(0)}$ has a countable fundamental system $\{W_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of neighborhoods such that $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_n = G^{(0)}$ and $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (r, d)(W_n) = \text{diag}(G^{(0)})$.*
- (d) *There is a countable G -uniformity $\{W_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ compatible with the topology of the fibres such that $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_n = G^{(0)}$ and $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (r, d)(W_n) = \text{diag}(G^{(0)})$. Each W_n may be taken to be a neighborhood of the unit space.*

Moreover the distance function ρ may be taken to satisfy the following properties:

1. $\rho(x, y) = \rho(x^{-1}, y^{-1})$ for all $x, y \in G$.
2. $\rho(x, r(x)) = \rho(x, d(x))$ for all $x \in G$.
3. $\rho(xy, r(x)) \leq \rho(x, r(x)) + \rho(y, r(y))$ for all $(x, y) \in G^{(2)}$.
4. $\rho(x, y) \leq \rho(x^{-1}y, d(x))$ for all $x, y \in G$ such that $r(x) = r(y)$.
5. $\rho(d(x), d(y)) \leq 2\rho(x, y)$ and $\rho(r(x), r(y)) \leq 2\rho(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in G$.

PROOF. (a) \Rightarrow (b). Let us assume that G is a metrizable locally transitive topological groupoid. Then G is paracompact topological groupoid. According to [10, p. 361-362], for each neighborhood W of $G^{(0)}$, there is a neighborhood W' of $G^{(0)}$ such that $W'W' \subset W$. Then the family \mathcal{W} of symmetric neighborhoods of the unit space is a G -uniformity. By Proposition 3.8, the topology $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}$ induced by the G -uniformity \mathcal{W} coincides with the topology of G . Applying [7, Metrization Theorem 13, p. 186] G is pseudometrizable if and only if its uniformity has a countable base. Since a base for the uniform structure $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{W}}$ induced the topology $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}$ is $\{U_W\}_{W \in \mathcal{W}}$, where

$$U_W = \{(x, y) \in G \times G : y \in WxW\}$$

there is a countable family $\{W'_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that each W'_n is a neighborhood of $G^{(0)}$ and for each $W \in \mathcal{W}$ there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $U_{W'_n} \subset U_W$ or equivalently, $W'_n x W'_n \subset WxW$ for all $x \in G$. In particular, for each $W \in \mathcal{W}$ there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $W'_n W'_n \subset WW$. Since for each $W \in \mathcal{W}$, there is $W_1 \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $W_1 W_1 \subset W$ and for W_1 there is $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $W'_{n_1} W'_{n_1} \subset W_1 W_1$, it follows that in fact for each for each $W \in \mathcal{W}$, there is

$n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $W'_{n_1} \subset W'_{n_1} W'_{n_1} \subset W$. Thus $\{W'_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a fundamental system of neighborhoods of $G^{(0)}$. Since G is Hausdorff, for each $x \notin G^{(0)}$ there is a neighborhood V of $r(x)$ such that $x \notin V$. Furthermore $x \notin V \cup (G \setminus G^{r(x)})$ and $V \cup (G \setminus G^{r(x)})$ is a neighborhood of $G^{(0)}$. Thus $\bigcap_{W \in \mathcal{W}} W = G^{(0)}$ and therefore $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W'_n = G^{(0)}$. Let $u, v \in G^{(0)}$ be such that $u \neq v$. Since G is Hausdorff, G_v^u is closed and $G \setminus G_v^u$ is a neighborhood of $G^{(0)}$. Hence $\bigcap_{W \in \mathcal{W}} (r, d)(W) = \text{diag}(G^{(0)})$. Consequently, $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (r, d)(W'_n) = \text{diag}(G^{(0)})$.

(b) \Rightarrow (c) Since G is a paracompact topological groupoid, [10, p. 361-362], for each neighborhood W of $G^{(0)}$, there is a neighborhood W' of $G^{(0)}$ such that $W'W' \subset W$.

(c) \Rightarrow (d) Let $\{W_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a fundamental system of neighborhoods of $G^{(0)}$ such that $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_n = G^{(0)}$ and $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (r, d)(W_n) = \text{diag}(G^{(0)})$. Replacing W_n with $W_n \cap W_n^{-1}$, we may assume that $W_n = W_n^{-1}$ for all n . Let $W'_0 = W_0$. Inductively we construct a G -uniformity $\{W'_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ consisting in neighborhoods of $G^{(0)}$. Suppose a symmetric neighborhood W'_n of $G^{(0)}$ has already been built. Let W'' be a symmetric neighborhood of $G^{(0)}$ such that $W''W'' \subset W'_n$. Let W'_{n+1} be a neighborhood of $G^{(0)}$ such that $W'_{n+1} \subset W'' \cap W_{n+1}$. Thus $\{W'_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a G -uniformity. Moreover $\{W'_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a fundamental system of neighborhoods of $G^{(0)}$. Therefore it is compatible with the topology of the fibres and $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W'_n = G^{(0)}$ as

well as $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (r, d)(W'_n) = \text{diag}(G^{(0)})$.

(d) \Rightarrow (a). Let $\mathcal{W} = \{W_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be countable G -uniformity compatible with the topology of the fibres such that $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_n = G^{(0)}$ and $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (r, d)(W_n) = \text{diag}(G^{(0)})$. Let $I = \{\frac{1}{2^n}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Let $W_{n_0} \in \mathcal{W}$ be such that $W_{n_0} W_{n_0} \subset W_0$. Let $W'_0 = W_{n_0}$. Inductively we construct an I -indexed family $\{W'_i\}_{i \in I}$. Suppose that $W'_{1/2^n} \in \mathcal{W}$ has already been built. Since \mathcal{W} is a G -uniformity, there is a $W_{m_n} \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $W_{m_n} W_{m_n} \subset W'_{1/2^n}$. Let $W'_{1/2^{n+1}} \in \mathcal{W}$ be such that $W'_{1/2^{n+1}} \subset W_{m_n} \cap W_{n+1}$. Thus we obtain an I -indexed family $\mathcal{W}' = \{W'_i\}_{i \in I}$ as in Theorem 2.5 that in addition satisfies $G^{(0)} = \bigcap_{i \in I} W'_i$ and

$\bigcap_{i \in I} (r, d)(W'_i) = \text{diag}(G^{(0)})$. Moreover $\mathcal{W}' = \{W'_i\}_{i \in I}$ is compatible with the topology of the fibres. Thus for every $x \in G$ and every $W'_i \in \mathcal{W}'$ there is $W'_{i_x} \in \mathcal{W}'$ such that $xW'_{i_x}x^{-1} \subset W'_i$. Let f_{reg} be the function associated in Proposition 2.6 to $f = f_{G^{(0)}, \mathcal{W}'}$, where $f = f_{G^{(0)}, \mathcal{W}'}$ is the function constructed in Theorem 2.5. For all $x, y \in G$, let us define

$$\rho(x, y) := \frac{1}{2} \inf \left\{ f_{reg}(x^{-1}sy) + f_{reg}(s) : s \in G_{r(y)}^{r(x)} \right\},$$

if $G_{r(y)}^{r(x)} \neq \emptyset$ and $\rho(x, y) := 1$ otherwise. Let us note that $G_{r(x)}^{r(y)} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if

$G_{r(y)}^{r(x)} \neq \emptyset$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x, y) &= \frac{1}{2} \inf \left\{ f_{reg}(x^{-1}sy) + f_{reg}(s) : s \in G_{r(y)}^{r(x)} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \inf \left\{ f_{reg}(y^{-1}s^{-1}x) + f_{reg}(s^{-1}) : s \in G_{r(y)}^{r(x)} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \inf \left\{ f_{reg}(y^{-1}tx) + f_{reg}(t) : t \in G_{r(x)}^{r(y)} \right\} \\ &= \rho(y, x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\rho(x, y) = \rho(y, x)$.

Let us prove that if $r(x) = r(y)$, then $\rho(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{2}f_{reg}(x^{-1}y)$. Indeed,

$$\rho(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{2} (f_{reg}(x^{-1}r(x)y) + f_{reg}(r(x))) = \frac{1}{2}f_{reg}(x^{-1}y).$$

If $x = y$, then $\rho(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{2}f_{reg}(x^{-1}y) = 0$.

Let $x, y, z \in G$ and let us prove that $\rho(x, z) \leq \rho(x, y) + \rho(y, z)$. If $\rho(x, y) = 1$ or $\rho(y, z) = 1$, then obviously, $\rho(x, z) \leq 1 \leq \rho(x, y) + \rho(y, z)$. If $\rho(x, y) < 1$ and $\rho(y, z) < 1$, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there are $s_1 = s_1(\varepsilon) \in G_{r(y)}^{r(x)}$ and $s_2 = s_2(\varepsilon) \in G_{r(z)}^{r(y)}$ such that $\rho(x, y) > \frac{1}{2}f_{reg}(x^{-1}s_1y) + \frac{1}{2}f_{reg}(s_1) - \varepsilon$ and $\rho(y, z) > \frac{1}{2}f_{reg}(y^{-1}s_2x) + \frac{1}{2}f_{reg}(s_2) - \varepsilon$. Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x, z) &\leq \frac{1}{2}f_{reg}(x^{-1}s_1s_2z) + \frac{1}{2}f_{reg}(s_1s_2) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}f_{reg}(x^{-1}s_1yy^{-1}s_2z) + \frac{1}{2}f_{reg}(s_1) + \frac{1}{2}f_{reg}(s_2) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}f_{reg}(x^{-1}s_1y) + \frac{1}{2}f_{reg}(y^{-1}s_2z) + \frac{1}{2}f_{reg}(s_1) + \frac{1}{2}f_{reg}(s_2) \\ &< \rho(x, y) + \rho(y, z) + 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\rho(x, z) \leq \rho(x, y) + \rho(y, z)$.

Let us show that if $\rho(x, y) = 0$, then $x = y$. If $\rho(x, y) = 0$, for every n there is $s_n \in G_{r(y)}^{r(x)}$ such that $f_{reg}(s_n) < \frac{1}{2^n}$ and $f_{reg}(x^{-1}s_ny) < \frac{1}{2^n}$. Taking into account that $f_{reg}(s_n) < \frac{1}{2^n}$ applying Proposition 2.6, it follows that $s_n \in W'_{1/2^{n-4}}$ and consequently, $(r(x), r(y)) = (r, d)(s_n) \in (r, d)\left(W'_{1/2^{n-4}}\right)$. Since $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (r, d)\left(W'_{1/2^n}\right) = \text{diag}(G^{(0)})$, it follows that $r(x) = r(y)$. Moreover since $f_{reg}(x^{-1}s_ny) < \frac{1}{2^n}$, it follows that $y \in W'_{1/2^{n-4}}xW'_{1/2^{n-4}}$ for all $n \geq 4$. Let $W'_{x,n} \in \mathcal{W}'$ be such that $x^{-1}W'_{x,n}x \subset W'_{1/2^{n+1}}$ and $W'_{x,n} \subset W'_{1/2^{n+1}}$. We have $y \in W'_{x,n}xW'_{x,n}$. Consequently, $y \in xx^{-1}W'_{x,n}xW'_{x,n} \subset xW'_{1/2^{n+1}}W'_{1/2^{n+1}} \subset xW'_{1/2^n}$. Hence $x^{-1}y \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W'_{1/2^n} = G^{(0)}$. Thus $x = y$.

We have proved that ρ is a metric on G . Let us prove that the topology defined by ρ coincides with the topology induced by the G -uniformity \mathcal{W}' and consequently,

with the topology of G . Let $y \in B(x, \frac{1}{2^n})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 6$. Then there is $s \in G_{r(y)}^{r(x)}$ such that $f_{reg}(s) < \frac{1}{2^{n-2}}$ and $f_{reg}(x^{-1}sy) < \frac{1}{2^{n-2}}$. By Proposition 2.6, it follows that $s \in W'_{1/2^{n-6}}$ and $x^{-1}sy \in W'_{1/2^{n-6}}$. Therefore $y \in W'_{1/2^{n-6}}xW'_{1/2^{n-6}}$ and $B(x, \frac{1}{2^n}) \subset W'_{1/2^{n-6}}xW'_{1/2^{n-6}}$. On the other hand for every n and x , if $y \in W'_{1/2^n}xW'_{1/2^n}$, then there are $s, t \in W'_{1/2^n}$ such that $y = sxt$. Hence $f_{reg}(x^{-1}s^{-1}y) = f_{reg}(x^{-1}xt) = f_{reg}(t) < \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$. Also $\rho(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{2}(f_{reg}(x^{-1}s^{-1}y) + f_{reg}(s^{-1})) = \frac{1}{2}(f_{reg}(t) + f_{reg}(s)) < \frac{1}{2^{n-3}}$. Therefore $W'_{1/2^n}xW'_{1/2^n} \subset B(x, \frac{1}{2^{n-3}})$.

Let us prove that $\rho(x, y) = \rho(x^{-1}, y^{-1})$ for all $x, y \in G$. We have $G_{r(y)}^{r(x)} = \emptyset$ if and only if $G_{r(y^{-1})}^{r(x^{-1})} = \emptyset$. Thus if $G_{r(y)}^{r(x)} = \emptyset$, then $\rho(x, y) = 1 = \rho(x^{-1}, y^{-1})$. Let us assume that $G_{r(y)}^{r(x)} \neq \emptyset$. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $s_\varepsilon \in G_{r(y)}^{r(x)}$ such that $\rho(x, y) > \frac{1}{2}f_{reg}(x^{-1}s_\varepsilon y) + \frac{1}{2}f_{reg}(s_\varepsilon) - \varepsilon$. Let $t = x^{-1}s_\varepsilon y$. Then $\rho(x^{-1}, y^{-1}) \leq \frac{1}{2}f_{reg}(xty^{-1}) + \frac{1}{2}f_{reg}(t) = \frac{1}{2}f_{reg}(s_\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{2}f_{reg}(x^{-1}s_\varepsilon y) \leq \rho(x, y) + \varepsilon$. Similarly, $\rho(x, y) \leq \rho(x^{-1}, y^{-1}) + \varepsilon$. Hence $\rho(x, y) = \rho(x^{-1}, y^{-1})$.

Let us show that $\rho(x, r(x)) = \rho(x^{-1}, d(x)) = \rho(x, d(x)) = \frac{1}{2}f_{reg}(x)$ for all $x \in G$. We have $\rho(x, r(x)) \leq \frac{1}{2}f_{reg}(x^{-1}r(x)) = \frac{1}{2}f_{reg}(x)$. For all $s \in G_{r(x)}^{r(x)}$ we have $\frac{1}{2}f_{reg}(x) = \frac{1}{2}f_{reg}(x^{-1}) = \frac{1}{2}f_{reg}(x^{-1}sr(x)s^{-1}) \leq \frac{1}{2}f_{reg}(x^{-1}sr(x)) + \frac{1}{2}f_{reg}^{1/2}(s^{-1})$. Thus $\rho(x, r(x)) = \frac{1}{2}f_{reg}(x)$.

Also $\rho(x^{-1}, d(x)) = \frac{1}{2}f_{reg}(x^{-1}) = \frac{1}{2}f_{reg}(x) = \rho(x, r(x))$. Moreover $\rho(x, d(x)) = \rho(x^{-1}, d(x))$ for all $x \in G$.

For all $(x, y) \in G^{(0)}$ we have $\rho(xy, r(x)) = \frac{1}{2}f_{reg}(xy) \leq \frac{1}{2}f_{reg}(x) + \frac{1}{2}f_{reg}(y) = \rho(x, r(x)) + \rho(y, r(y))$.

If $r(x) = r(y)$, then $\rho(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{2}f_{reg}^{1/2}(x^{-1}y) = \rho(x^{-1}y, d(x)) = \rho(y^{-1}x, d(y))$.

Let us prove that $\rho(d(x), d(y)) \leq 2\rho(x, y)$ and $\rho(r(x), r(y)) \leq 2\rho(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in G$. Obviously, if $G_{r(y)}^{r(x)} = \emptyset$, then $\rho(d(x), d(y)) = \rho(r(x), r(y)) = \rho(x, y) = 1$. If $G_{r(y)}^{r(x)} \neq \emptyset$, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $s_\varepsilon \in G_{r(y)}^{r(x)}$ such that $\rho(x, y) > \frac{1}{2}f_{reg}(x^{-1}s_\varepsilon y) + \frac{1}{2}f_{reg}(s_\varepsilon) - \varepsilon$. Let $t = x^{-1}s_\varepsilon y$. Then $\rho(d(x), d(y)) \leq \frac{1}{2}f_{reg}(t) + \frac{1}{2}f_{reg}(t) = f_{reg}(x^{-1}s_\varepsilon y) \leq f_{reg}(x^{-1}s_\varepsilon y) + f_{reg}(s_\varepsilon) < 2\rho(x, y) + 2\varepsilon$. Hence $\rho(d(x), d(y)) \leq 2\rho(x, y)$. We also have

$$\rho(r(x), r(y)) = \rho(d(x^{-1}), d(y^{-1})) \leq \rho(x^{-1}, y^{-1}) = \rho(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in G$. ■

References

- [1] P. Alexandroff and Uryhson, Une condition necessaire and suffisante pour qu'une class (\mathcal{L}) soit une class (\mathcal{D}), C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 177 (1923), 1274-1277.
- [2] G. Birkhoff, A note on topological groups. Compositio Math. 3 (1956), 427-430.
- [3] M. Buneci, Haar systems and homomorphism on groupoids, Operator algebras and mathematical physics (Constanta, 2001), 35-49, Theta, Bucharest, 2003.

- [4] M. Buneci, Convolution algebras for topological groupoids with locally compact fibres, *Opuscula Mathematica* *31* (2011), 159-172.
- [5] M. Buneci, Various notions of amenability for not necessarily locally compact groupoids, *Surveys in Mathematics and its Applications*, *9* (2014), 55-78.
- [6] S. Kakutani, Über die Metrisation der topologischen Gruppen, *Proc. Imp. Acad. Jpn.* *12* (1936), 82-84.
- [7] J. Kelley, *General Topology*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1955.
- [8] D. Mitrea, I. Mitrea, M. Mitrea and S. Monniaux, *Groupoid metrization theory. With applications to analysis on quasi-metric spaces and functional analysis*, Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis. New York, NY: Birkhäuser Springer, 2013.
- [9] P. Muhly, J. Renault and D. Williams, Equivalence and isomorphism for groupoid C^* -algebras, *J. Operator Theory* *17* (1987), 3-22.
- [10] A. Ramsay, The Mackey-Glimm dichotomy for foliations and other Polish groupoids, *J. Funct. Anal.* *94* (1990), 358-374.
- [11] J. Renault, A groupoid approach to C^* -algebras, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Springer-Verlag, *793*, 1980.
- [12] A. K. Seda, A continuity property of Haar systems of measures, *Ann. Soc. Sei. Bruxelles* *89* (1975), 429-433.

University Constantin Brâncuși of Târgu-Jiu
Calea Eroilor No.30, 210135 Târgu-Jiu, România.

Email: mbuneci@yahoo.com, ada@utgjiu.ro

This article may be accessed at <http://www.tac.mta.ca/tac/>

THEORY AND APPLICATIONS OF CATEGORIES (ISSN 1201-561X) will disseminate articles that significantly advance the study of categorical algebra or methods, or that make significant new contributions to mathematical science using categorical methods. The scope of the journal includes: all areas of pure category theory, including higher dimensional categories; applications of category theory to algebra, geometry and topology and other areas of mathematics; applications of category theory to computer science, physics and other mathematical sciences; contributions to scientific knowledge that make use of categorical methods.

Articles appearing in the journal have been carefully and critically refereed under the responsibility of members of the Editorial Board. Only papers judged to be both significant and excellent are accepted for publication.

Full text of the journal is freely available from the journal's server at <http://www.tac.mta.ca/tac/>. It is archived electronically and in printed paper format.

SUBSCRIPTION INFORMATION Individual subscribers receive abstracts of articles by e-mail as they are published. To subscribe, send e-mail to tac@mta.ca including a full name and postal address. For institutional subscription, send enquiries to the Managing Editor, Robert Rosebrugh, rrosebrugh@mta.ca.

INFORMATION FOR AUTHORS The typesetting language of the journal is $\text{T}_{\text{E}}\text{X}$, and $\text{L}^{\text{A}}\text{T}_{\text{E}}\text{X}2\text{e}$ is required. Articles in PDF format may be submitted by e-mail directly to a Transmitting Editor. Please obtain detailed information on submission format and style files at <http://www.tac.mta.ca/tac/>.

MANAGING EDITOR. Robert Rosebrugh, Mount Allison University: rrosebrugh@mta.ca

$\text{T}_{\text{E}}\text{X}$ NICAL EDITOR. Michael Barr, McGill University: barr@math.mcgill.ca

ASSISTANT $\text{T}_{\text{E}}\text{X}$ EDITOR. Gavin Seal, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne: gavin_seal@fastmail.fm

TRANSMITTING EDITORS.

Clemens Berger, Université de Nice-Sophia Antipolis: cberger@math.unice.fr

Richard Blute, Université d' Ottawa: rblute@uottawa.ca

Lawrence Breen, Université de Paris 13: breen@math.univ-paris13.fr

Ronald Brown, University of North Wales: [ronnie.profbrown\(at\)btinternet.com](mailto:ronnie.profbrown(at)btinternet.com)

Valeria de Paiva, Nuance Communications Inc: valeria.depaiva@gmail.com

Ezra Getzler, Northwestern University: [getzler\(at\)northwestern\(dot\)edu](mailto:getzler(at)northwestern(dot)edu)

Kathryn Hess, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne: kathryn.hess@epfl.ch

Martin Hyland, University of Cambridge: M.Hyland@dpmms.cam.ac.uk

Anders Kock, University of Aarhus: kock@imf.au.dk

Stephen Lack, Macquarie University: steve.lack@mq.edu.au

F. William Lawvere, State University of New York at Buffalo: wlawvere@buffalo.edu

Tom Leinster, University of Edinburgh: Tom.Leinster@ed.ac.uk

Ieke Moerdijk, Utrecht University: i.moerdijk@uu.nl

Susan Niefield, Union College: niefiels@union.edu

Robert Paré, Dalhousie University: pare@mathstat.dal.ca

Jiri Rosicky, Masaryk University: rosicky@math.muni.cz

Giuseppe Rosolini, Università di Genova: rosolini@disi.unige.it

Alex Simpson, University of Ljubljana: Alex.Simpson@fmf.uni-lj.si

James Stasheff, University of North Carolina: jds@math.upenn.edu

Ross Street, Macquarie University: ross.street@mq.edu.au

Walter Tholen, York University: tholen@mathstat.yorku.ca

Myles Tierney, Université du Québec à Montréal : tierney.myles4@gmail.com

R. J. Wood, Dalhousie University: rjwood@mathstat.dal.ca