

THE OPERADIC NERVE, RELATIVE NERVE AND THE GROTHENDIECK CONSTRUCTION

JONATHAN BEARDSLEY AND LIANG ZE WONG

ABSTRACT. We relate the relative nerve $N_f(\mathcal{D})$ of a diagram of simplicial sets $f: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}$ with the Grothendieck construction $\mathbf{Gr}F$ of a simplicial functor $F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{sCat}$ in the case where $f = NF$. We further show that any strict monoidal simplicial category \mathcal{C} gives rise to a functor $\mathcal{C}^\bullet: \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{sCat}$, and that the relative nerve of $N\mathcal{C}^\bullet$ is the operadic nerve $N^\otimes(\mathcal{C})$. Finally, we show that all the above constructions commute with appropriately defined opposite functors.

1. Introduction

Given a simplicial colored operad \mathcal{O} , 2.1.1 of [Lurie, 2012] introduces the *operadic nerve* $N^\otimes(\mathcal{O})$ to be the nerve of a certain simplicial category \mathcal{O}^\otimes . This has a canonical fibration $N^\otimes(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow N(\mathcal{F}in_*)$ to the nerve of the category of finite pointed sets which describes the ∞ -operad associated to \mathcal{O} .

A special case of the above arises when one attempts to produce the *underlying monoidal ∞ -category* of a simplicial monoidal category \mathcal{C} . Following the constructions of [Lurie, 2007, 1.6] and [Lurie, 2012, 4.1.7.17], one first forms a simplicial category \mathcal{C}^\otimes from a monoidal simplicial category \mathcal{C} , then takes its nerve to get $N^\otimes(\mathcal{C}) := N(\mathcal{C}^\otimes)$. We call this the *operadic nerve of \mathcal{C}* , where the monoidal structure of \mathcal{C} will always be clear from context. To be more precise, we should call this construction the operadic nerve of the underlying non-symmetric simplicial colored operad, or simplicial multicategory, of \mathcal{C} , but for ease of reading we do not. The above construction ensures that there is a canonical *coCartesian* fibration $N^\otimes(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow N(\Delta^{\text{op}})$, which imbues $N(\mathcal{C})$ with the structure of a *monoidal ∞ -category* in the sense of [Lurie, 2007, 1.1.2]. Given that [Lurie, 2007] exists only in preprint form, we also refer the reader to [Gepner & Haugseng, 2015, §3.1] for a published (and more general than we will need) account of the operadic nerve of a simplicial multicategory.

Our paper is motivated by the following: if \mathcal{C} is a monoidal fibrant simplicial category, then so is its opposite \mathcal{C}^{op} . We thus get a monoidal ∞ -category $N^\otimes(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})$. However, we could also have started with $N^\otimes(\mathcal{C})$ and arrived at another monoidal ∞ -category $N^\otimes(\mathcal{C})_{\text{op}}$ by taking ‘fiberwise opposites’.

Received by the editors 2018-10-20 and, in final form, 2019-04-19.

Transmitted by Julie Bergner. Published on 2019-04-22.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 55U40, 55U10, 18D20, 18D30.

Key words and phrases: simplicial categories, Grothendieck construction, higher category theory, operads.

© Jonathan Beardsley and Liang Ze Wong, 2019. Permission to copy for private use granted.

In §4, we show that the above constructions interact well with taking opposites, in that the following diagram ‘commutes:’

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \text{Mon}(\mathbf{sCat}) & \xrightarrow{(-)^\bullet} & \mathbf{sCat}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}} & \xrightarrow{\text{Gr}} & \mathbf{opFib}_{/\Delta^{\text{op}}} & \xrightarrow{\text{N}} & \mathbf{coCart}_{/\text{N}(\Delta^{\text{op}})} \\
 \downarrow \text{op} & & \downarrow \text{op} & & & & \downarrow \text{op} \\
 \text{Mon}(\mathbf{sCat}) & \xrightarrow{(-)^\bullet} & \mathbf{sCat}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}} & \xrightarrow{\text{Gr}} & \mathbf{opFib}_{/\Delta^{\text{op}}} & \xrightarrow{\text{N}} & \mathbf{coCart}_{/\text{N}(\Delta^{\text{op}})}
 \end{array}$$

We write ‘commutes’ because we only check it *on objects*, and only *up to equivalence* in the quasicategory $\mathbf{coCart}_{/\text{N}(\Delta^{\text{op}})}$. We conclude that $\text{N}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})$ and the fiberwise opposite $\text{N}^\otimes(\mathcal{C})_{\text{op}}$ are equivalent in the ∞ -category of monoidal ∞ -categories.

1.2. TERMINOLOGY. In large part, our notation and terminology follows that of Lurie’s seminal works in higher category theory [Lurie, 2012, Lurie, 2009]. However, here we point out certain notational conventions we have used that may not be immediately obvious to the reader. Some of these conventions may be non-standard, but we adhere to them for the sake of precision.

1. We will mostly avoid using the term “ ∞ -category” in any situation where a more precise term (e.g. quasicategory or simplicially enriched category) is applicable. We make one exception when we discuss the “ ∞ -categorical” Grothendieck construction of [Lurie, 2009].
2. A special class of simplicially enriched categories are those in which all mapping objects are not just simplicial sets, but Kan complexes. We will refer to a simplicially enriched category with this property as “locally Kan.”
3. We will often use the term “simplicial category” to refer to a simplicially enriched category. There is no chance for confusion here because at no point do we consider simplicial objects in the category of categories.

2. The relative nerve and the Grothendieck construction

The ∞ -categorical Grothendieck construction is the equivalence

$$\text{Gr}_\infty : (\mathbf{Cat}_\infty)^S \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{coCart}_{/S}$$

induced by the unstraightening functor $\text{Un}_S^+ : (\mathbf{sSet}^+)^{\mathfrak{e}[S]} \rightarrow (\mathbf{sSet}^+)_{/S}$ of [Lurie, 2009, 3.2.1.6]. Here, \mathbf{Cat}_∞ is the quasicategory of small quasicategories, and $\mathbf{coCart}_{/S}$ is the quasicategory of coCartesian fibrations over $S \in \mathbf{sSet}$, and these are defined as nerves of certain simplicial categories. (See A.1 and A.8, or [Lurie, 2009, Ch. 3] for details.)

In general, it is not easy to describe $\text{Gr}_\infty \varphi$ for an arbitrary morphism $\varphi : S \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_\infty$. However, when S is the nerve of a small category \mathcal{D} , and φ is the nerve of a functor

$f: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}$ such that each fd is a quasicategory, the *relative nerve* $N_f(\mathcal{D})$ of [Lurie, 2009, 3.2.5.2] yields a coCartesian fibration equivalent to $\mathbf{Gr}_\infty N(f)$.

If f further factors as $\mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{F} \mathbf{sCat} \xrightarrow{N} \mathbf{sSet}$, where each Fd is a locally Kan simplicial category, we may instead form the simplicially-enriched Grothendieck construction $\mathbf{Gr}F$ and take its nerve. The purpose of this section is to show that we have an isomorphism of coCartesian fibrations

$$N(\mathbf{Gr}F) \cong N_f(\mathcal{D}),$$

thus yielding an alternative description of $\mathbf{Gr}_\infty N(f)$.

2.1. THE RELATIVE NERVE $N_f(\mathcal{D})$.

2.2. DEFINITION. [Lurie, 2009, 3.2.5.2] *Let \mathcal{D} be a category, and $f: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}$ a functor. The **nerve of \mathcal{D} relative to f** is the simplicial set $N_f(\mathcal{D})$ whose n -simplices are sets consisting of:*

- (i) a functor $d: [n] \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$; write d_i for $d(i)$ and $d_{ij}: d_i \rightarrow d_j$ for the image of the unique map $i \leq j$ in $[n]$,
- (ii) for every nonempty subposet $J \subseteq [n]$ with maximal element j , a map $s^J: \Delta^J \rightarrow fd_j$,
- (iii) such that for nonempty subsets $I \subseteq J \subseteq [n]$ with respective maximal elements $i \leq j$, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^I & \xrightarrow{s^I} & fd_i \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow fd_{ij} \\ \Delta^J & \xrightarrow{s^J} & fd_j \end{array} \quad (1)$$

For any f , there is a canonical map $p: N_f(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow N(\mathcal{D})$ down to the ordinary nerve of \mathcal{D} , induced by the unique map to the terminal object $\Delta^0 \in \mathbf{sSet}$ [Lurie, 2009, 3.2.5.4]. When f takes values in quasicategories, this canonical map is a coCartesian fibration *classified* (Definition A.17) by $N(f)$:

2.3. PROPOSITION. [Lurie, 2009, 3.2.5.21] *Let $f: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}$ be a functor such that each fd is a quasicategory. Then:*

- (i) $p: N_f(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow N(\mathcal{D})$ is a coCartesian fibration of simplicial sets, and
- (ii) p is classified by the functor $N(f): N(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_\infty$, i.e. there is an equivalence of coCartesian fibrations

$$N_f(\mathcal{D}) \simeq \mathbf{Gr}_\infty N(f).$$

2.4. **REMARK.** Note that the version of Proposition 2.3 in [Lurie, 2009] is somewhat ambiguously stated. In particular, it is claimed that, given a functor $f: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}$, the fibration $N_f(\mathcal{D})$ is the one *associated* to the functor $N(f): N(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_\infty$. However, a close reading of the proof given in [Lurie, 2009] makes it clear that, for a functor $f: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}$ with associated $f^\natural: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}^+$, there is an equivalence $N_f(\mathcal{D})^\natural \simeq N_{f^\natural}^+(\mathcal{D}) \simeq \mathbf{Un}_\phi^+ f^\natural$. Here, $N_{f^\natural}^+$ indicates the *marked* analog of the relative nerve described in Definition 2.2. Application of the (large) simplicial nerve functor recovers the form of the proposition given above.

2.5. **THE GROTHENDIECK CONSTRUCTION $\mathbf{Gr}F$.** Suppose instead that we have a functor $F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{sCat}$. We may then take the nerve relative to the composite $f: \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{F} \mathbf{sCat} \xrightarrow{N} \mathbf{sSet}$ to get a coCartesian fibration $N_f(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow N(\mathcal{D})$. We now describe a second way to obtain a coCartesian fibration over $N(\mathcal{D})$ from such an F .

2.6. **DEFINITION.** [Beardsley & Wong, 2019, Definition 4.4] *Let \mathcal{D} be a small category, and let $F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{sCat}$ be a functor. The **Grothendieck construction of F** is the simplicial category $\mathbf{Gr}F$ with objects and morphisms:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{Gr}F) &:= \coprod_{d \in \mathcal{D}} \mathrm{Ob}(Fd) \times \{d\}, \\ \mathbf{Gr}F((x, c), (y, d)) &:= \coprod_{\varphi: c \rightarrow d} Fd(F\varphi x, y) \times \{\varphi\}. \end{aligned}$$

An arrow $(x, c) \rightarrow (y, d)$ (i.e. a 0-simplex in $\mathbf{Gr}F((x, c), (y, d))$) is a pair $(F\varphi x \xrightarrow{\sigma} y, c \xrightarrow{\varphi} d)$, while the composite $(x, c) \xrightarrow{(\sigma, \varphi)} (y, d) \xrightarrow{(\tau, \psi)} (z, e)$ is

$$\left(F(\psi\varphi)x = F\psi F\varphi x \xrightarrow{F\psi\sigma} F\psi y \xrightarrow{\tau} z, \quad c \xrightarrow{\varphi} d \xrightarrow{\psi} e \right).$$

There is a simplicial functor $P: \mathbf{Gr}F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, $(x, c) \mapsto c$, induced by the unique maps $Fd(F\varphi x, y) \rightarrow \Delta^0$. Here, \mathcal{D} is treated as a *discrete* simplicial category with hom-objects

$$\mathcal{D}(c, d) = \coprod_{\varphi: c \rightarrow d} \Delta^0 \times \{\varphi\}.$$

2.7. **DEFINITION.** [Beardsley & Wong, 2019, Definition 3.5, Proposition 3.6] *Let $P: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a simplicial functor. A map $\chi: e \rightarrow e'$ in \mathcal{E} is **P -coCartesian** if*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E}(e', x) & \xrightarrow{-\circ\chi} & \mathcal{E}(e, x) \\ P_{e'x} \downarrow & & \downarrow P_{ex} \\ \mathcal{D}(Pe', Px) & \xrightarrow{-\circ P\chi} & \mathcal{D}(Pe, Px) \end{array} \quad (2)$$

is a (ordinary) pullback in \mathbf{sSet} for every $x \in \mathcal{E}$.

*A simplicial functor $P: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a **simplicial opfibration** if for every $e \in \mathcal{E}, d \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\varphi: Pe \rightarrow d$, there exists a P -coCartesian lift of φ with domain e .*

2.8. PROPOSITION. [Beardsley & Wong, 2019, Proposition 4.11] *The functor $\text{Gr}F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a simplicial opfibration.*

2.9. PROPOSITION. *Let \mathcal{D} be a category (i.e. a discrete simplicial category), and \mathcal{E} be a locally Kan simplicial category. If $P: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a simplicial opfibration, then $N(P): N(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow N(\mathcal{D})$ is a coCartesian fibration.*

PROOF. It suffices to show that any P -coCartesian arrow in \mathcal{E} gives rise to a $N(P)$ -coCartesian arrow in $N(\mathcal{E})$. If $\chi: e \rightarrow e'$ is P -coCartesian, then (2) is an ordinary pullback in \mathbf{sSet} for all $x \in \mathcal{E}$. Since $\mathcal{D}(Pe, Px)$ is discrete and $\mathcal{E}(e, x)$ is fibrant, P_{ex} is a fibration¹; since $\mathcal{D}(Pe', Px)$ is also fibrant, this ordinary pullback is in fact a *homotopy* pullback [Lurie, 2009, A.2.4.4]. Thus, by [Lurie, 2009, 2.4.1.10], χ gives rise to a $N(P)$ -coCartesian arrow in $N(\mathcal{E})$. ■

2.10. REMARK. The discreteness of \mathcal{D} and fibrancy of \mathcal{E} are critical here. An arbitrary \mathbf{sSet} -enriched opfibration $P: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is unlikely to give rise to a coCartesian fibration $N(P): N(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow N(\mathcal{D})$. Essentially, we require the ordinary pullback in (2) to be a homotopy pullback.

2.11. COROLLARY. *Let \mathcal{D} be a small category and $F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{sCat}$ be such that each Fd is locally Kan. Then $N(\text{Gr}F) \rightarrow N(\mathcal{D})$ is a coCartesian fibration.*

2.12. COMPARING $N(\text{Gr}F)$ AND $N_f(\mathcal{D})$.

2.13. THEOREM. *Let $F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{sCat}$ be a functor, and $f = NF$. Then there is an isomorphism of coCartesian fibrations*

$$N(\text{Gr}F) \cong N_f(\mathcal{D}).$$

PROOF. We will only explicitly describe the n -simplices of $N(\text{Gr}F)$ and $N_f(\mathcal{D})$ and show that they are isomorphic. From the description, it should be clear that we do indeed have an isomorphism of simplicial sets that is compatible with their projections down to $N(\mathcal{D})$, hence an isomorphism of coCartesian fibrations (by [Riehl & Verity, 2017, 5.1.7], for example).

Description of $N(\text{Gr}F)_n$. An n -simplex of $N(\text{Gr}F)$ is a simplicial functor $S: \mathfrak{C}[\Delta^n] \rightarrow \text{Gr}F$. By Lemma A.21, this is the data of:

- for each $i \in [n]$, an object $S_i = (x_i, d_i) \in \text{Gr}F$, (so $d_i \in \mathcal{D}, x_i \in Fd_i$)
- for each r -dimensional bead shape $\langle I_0 | \dots | I_r \rangle$ of $\{i_0 < \dots < i_m\} \subseteq [n]$ where $m \geq 1$, an r -simplex

$$S_{\langle I_0 | \dots | I_r \rangle} \in \text{Gr}F(S_{i_0}, S_{i_m}) = \coprod_{\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(d_{i_0}, d_{i_m})} Fd_{i_m}(F\varphi x_{i_0}, x_{i_m})$$

¹Any map into a coproduct of simplicial sets induces a coproduct decomposition on its domain (by taking fibers over each component of the codomain). Since all horns Λ_k^n are connected, any commuting square from a horn inclusion to P_{ex} necessarily factors through one of the components of $\mathcal{E}(e, x)$, and may thus be lifted because $\mathcal{E}(e, x)$ is fibrant.

whose boundary is compatible with lower-dimensional data.

Description of $N_f(\mathcal{D})_n$. An n -simplex of $N_f(\mathcal{D})$ consists of a functor $d: [n] \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, picking out objects and arrows $d_i \xrightarrow{d_{ij}} d_j$ for all $0 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ such that d_{ii} are identities and

$$d_{jk}d_{ij} = d_{ik}, \quad i \leq j \leq k,$$

and a family of maps $s^J: \Delta^J \rightarrow fd_j$ for every $J \subseteq [n]$ with maximal element j , satisfying (1). Since $f = NF$, such maps $s^J: \Delta^J \rightarrow NFd_j$ correspond, under the $\mathfrak{C} \dashv N$ adjunction, to maps $S^J: \mathfrak{C}[\Delta^J] \rightarrow Fd_j$ satisfying:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{C}[\Delta^I] & \xrightarrow{S^I} & Fd_i \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow Fd_{ij} \\ \mathfrak{C}[\Delta^J] & \xrightarrow{S^J} & Fd_j \end{array} \tag{3}$$

By Lemma A.21, each S^J is the data of:

- for each $i \in J$, an object $S_i^J \in Fd_j$
- for each r -dimensional bead shape $\langle I_0 | \dots | I_r \rangle$ of $\{i_0 < \dots < i_m\} \subseteq J$ where $m \geq 1$, an r -simplex

$$S_{\langle I_0 | \dots | I_r \rangle}^J \in Fd_j(S_{i_0}^J, S_{i_m}^J)$$

whose boundary is compatible with lower-dimensional data.

The condition (3) is equivalent to

$$Fd_{ij} S_k^I = S_k^J, \quad \text{and} \quad Fd_{ij} S_{\langle I_0 | \dots | I_r \rangle}^I = S_{\langle I_0 | \dots | I_r \rangle}^J. \tag{4}$$

for any $k \in I$ and bead shape $\langle I_0 | \dots | I_r \rangle$ of $I \subseteq J$.

From $N(\text{Gr}F)_n$ to $N_f(\mathcal{D})_n$. Given $S: \mathfrak{C}[\Delta^n] \rightarrow \text{Gr}F$, we first produce a functor $d: [n] \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$. For any $\{i < j\} \subseteq [n]$, we have a 0-simplex

$$S_{\langle ij \rangle} = (Fd_{ij}x_i \xrightarrow{x_{ij}} x_j, d_i \xrightarrow{d_{ij}} d_j) \in \text{Gr}F((x_i, d_i), (x_j, d_j))_0,$$

and for any $\{i < j < k\} \subseteq [n]$, we have a 1-simplex $S_{\langle ik|j \rangle}$ from $S_{\langle ik \rangle}$ to

$$S_{\langle jk \rangle} S_{\langle ij \rangle} = (Fd_{jk}Fd_{ij}x_i \xrightarrow{Fd_{jk}x_{ij}} Fd_{jk}x_j \xrightarrow{x_{jk}} x_k, d_i \xrightarrow{d_{ij}} d_j \xrightarrow{d_{jk}} d_k).$$

But such a 1-simplex includes the data of a 1-simplex from d_{ik} to $d_{jk}d_{ij}$ in the *discrete* simplicial set $\mathcal{D}(x_i, x_k)$. Thus d_{ik} must be *equal* to $d_{jk}d_{ij}$, so the data of $\{d_i \xrightarrow{d_{ij}} d_j\}_{i \leq j}$, where d_{ii} is the identity, assembles into a functor $d: [n] \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ as desired. Note that since F is a functor, we also have

$$Fd_{jk} Fd_{ij} = F(d_{jk}d_{ij}) = Fd_{ik}.$$

Next, for each non-empty subset $J \subseteq [n]$ with maximal element j , we need a simplicial functor $S^J : \mathfrak{C}[\Delta^J] \rightarrow Fd_j$. For each $i \in J$, set

$$S_i^J := Fd_{ij} x_i \in Fd_j.$$

For each r -dimensional bead shape $\langle I_0 | \dots | I_r \rangle$ of $\{i_0 < \dots < i_m\} \subseteq J$ with $m \geq 1$, we first note that $S_{\langle I_0 | \dots | I_r \rangle}$ lies in the $d_{i_0 i_m}$ component

$$Fd_{i_m}(Fd_{i_0 i_m} x_{i_0}, x_{i_m}) \subset \text{Gr}F(S_{i_0}, S_{i_m})$$

because its sub-simplices (for instance $S_{\langle i_0 i_m \rangle}$) do too. Define

$$S_{\langle I_0 | \dots | I_r \rangle}^J := Fd_{i_m j} S_{\langle I_0 | \dots | I_r \rangle}.$$

We verify that this lives in the correct simplicial set

$$\begin{aligned} Fd_j(Fd_{i_m j} Fd_{i_0 i_m} x_{i_0}, Fd_{i_m j} x_{i_m}) &= Fd_j(Fd_{i_0 j} x_{i_0}, Fd_{i_m j} x_{i_m}) \\ &= Fd_j(S_{i_0}^J, S_{i_m}^J). \end{aligned}$$

The boundary of each $S_{\langle I_0 | \dots | I_r \rangle}^J$ is compatible with lower-dimensional data because the boundary of each $S_{\langle I_0 | \dots | I_r \rangle}$ is as well. We thus get a simplicial functor $S^J : \mathfrak{C}[\Delta^J] \rightarrow Fd_j$, and by construction, the functoriality of F and d implies that (4) holds.

From $N_f(\mathcal{D})_n$ to $N(\text{Gr}F)_n$. Conversely, suppose we have $d : [n] \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $S^J : \mathfrak{C}[\Delta^J] \rightarrow Fd_j$ for every non-empty $J \subseteq [n]$ with maximal element j , satisfying (4). For each $i \in [n]$, let $S_i := (S_i^{\{i\}}, d_i)$, and for each r -dimensional bead shape $\langle I_0 | \dots | I_r \rangle$ of $I = \{i_0, \dots, i_m\} \subseteq [n]$ where $m \geq 1$, let

$$S_{\langle I_0 | \dots | I_r \rangle} := S_{\langle I_0 | \dots | I_r \rangle}^I.$$

Then $S_{\langle I_0 | \dots | I_r \rangle}$ is an r -simplex in

$$Fd_{i_m}(S_{i_0}^I, S_{i_m}^I) = Fd_{i_m}(Fd_{i_0 i_m} S_{i_0}^{\{i_0\}}, S_{i_m}^{\{i_m\}}) \subset \text{Gr}F(S_{i_0}, S_{i_m})$$

as desired, where we have used (4) in the first equality, and this data yields a simplicial functor $S : \mathfrak{C}[\Delta^n] \rightarrow \text{Gr}F$.

Mutual inverses. Finally, it is easy to see that the constructions described above are mutual inverses. For instance, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\langle I_0 | \dots | I_r \rangle} &= Fd_{ii} S_{\langle I_0 | \dots | I_r \rangle}, \\ S_{\langle I_0 | \dots | I_r \rangle}^J &= Fd_{ij} S_{\langle I_0 | \dots | I_r \rangle}^I. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $N(\text{Gr}F)_n \cong N_f(\mathcal{D})_n$. ■

In light of Proposition 2.3, we obtain:

2.14. COROLLARY. *Let $F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{sCat}$ be a functor such that each Fd is a quasicategory, and $f = NF$. Then there is an equivalence of coCartesian fibrations*

$$N(\mathrm{Gr}F) \simeq \mathrm{Gr}_\infty N(f).$$

3. Operadic nerves of monoidal simplicial categories

Given a monoidal simplicial category \mathcal{C} , [Lurie, 2007, 1.6] describes the formation of a simplicial category \mathcal{C}^\otimes equipped with an opfibration over Δ^{op} . The nerve of this opfibration is a coCartesian fibration $N(\mathcal{C}^\otimes) \rightarrow N(\Delta^{\mathrm{op}})$ which has the structure of a monoidal quasicategory in the sense of [Lurie, 2007, 1.1.2]. Since this construction is exactly the operadic nerve of [Lurie, 2012, 2.1.1] applied to the underlying simplicial operad of \mathcal{C} , we call $N^\otimes(\mathcal{C}) := N(\mathcal{C}^\otimes)$ the *operadic nerve of a monoidal simplicial category \mathcal{C}* .

In this section, we apply the results of the previous section to further describe the process of obtaining $N^\otimes(\mathcal{C})$ from a *strict* monoidal \mathcal{C} . We show that the opfibration $\mathcal{C}^\otimes \rightarrow \Delta^{\mathrm{op}}$ is the Grothendieck construction $\mathrm{Gr}\mathcal{C}^\bullet$ of a functor $\mathcal{C}^\bullet: \Delta^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{sCat}$, and hence conclude that the operadic nerve $N^\otimes(\mathcal{C})$ is the nerve of Δ^{op} relative to $\Delta^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}^\bullet} \mathbf{sCat} \xrightarrow{N} \mathbf{sSet}$.

Although the operadic nerve may be defined for any monoidal simplicial category \mathcal{C} , we restrict the discussion in this section to *strict* monoidal categories because the results of the previous section require strict functors $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{sCat}$ and $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}$ rather than pseudofunctors.

3.1. \mathcal{C}^\otimes AND \mathcal{C}^\bullet FROM A STRICT MONOIDAL \mathcal{C} . We start by describing the opfibration $\mathcal{C}^\otimes \rightarrow \Delta^{\mathrm{op}}$ and the functor $\mathcal{C}^\bullet: \Delta^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{sCat}$ associated to a strict monoidal simplicial category \mathcal{C} .

3.2. DEFINITION. A **strict monoidal simplicial category \mathcal{C}** is a monoid in $(\mathbf{sCat}, \times, *)$. Let $\otimes: \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ denote the monoidal product of \mathcal{C} and $\mathbf{1}: * \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ denote the monoidal unit, which we identify with an object $\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{C}$. Let $\mathrm{Mon}(\mathbf{sCat})$ denote the category of strict monoidal simplicial categories, which is equivalently the category of monoids in \mathbf{sCat} .

A strict monoidal simplicial category is thus a simplicial category with a strict monoidal structure that is *weakly compatible* in the sense of [Lurie, 2007, 1.6.1]. The strictness of the monoidal structure implies that we have equalities (rather than isomorphisms):

$$(x \otimes y) \otimes z = x \otimes (y \otimes z), \quad \mathbf{1} \otimes x = x = x \otimes \mathbf{1}.$$

3.3. DEFINITION. [Lurie, 2007, 1.1.1] Let $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \mathbf{1})$ be a strict monoidal simplicial category. Then we define a new category \mathcal{C}^\otimes as follows:

1. An object of \mathcal{C}^\otimes is a finite, possibly empty, sequence of objects of \mathcal{C} , denoted $[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

2. The simplicial set of morphisms from $[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ to $[y_1, \dots, y_m]$ in \mathcal{C}^\otimes is defined to be

$$\coprod_{f \in \Delta([m],[n])} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq m} \mathcal{C}(x_{f(i-1)+1} \otimes x_{f(i-1)+2} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{f(i)}, y_i)$$

where $x_{f(i-1)+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{f(i)}$ is taken to be $\mathbf{1}$ if $f(i-1) = f(i)$.

A morphism will be denoted $[f; f_1, \dots, f_m]$, where

$$x_{f(i-1)+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{f(i)} \xrightarrow{f_i} y_i.$$

3. Composition in \mathcal{C}^\otimes is determined by composition in Δ and \mathcal{C} :

$$[g; g_1, \dots, g_\ell] \circ [f; f_1, \dots, f_m] = [f \circ g; h_1, \dots, h_\ell],$$

where $h_i = g_i \circ (f_{g(i-1)+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{g(i)})$.

This is associative and unital due to the associativity and unit constraints of \otimes .

3.4. REMARK. Though we don't make it explicit here, \mathcal{C}^\otimes is the category of operators (in the sense of [May & Thomason, 1978] and [Gepner & Haugseng, 2015, 2.2.1]) of the underlying simplicial multicategory (cf. [Gepner & Haugseng, 2015, 3.1.6]) of \mathcal{C} .

There is a forgetful functor $P: \mathcal{C}^\otimes \rightarrow \Delta^{\text{op}}$ sending $[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ to $[n]$ which is an (unenriched) opfibration of categories [Lurie, 2007, 1.1(M1)]. The proof of that statement can easily be modified to show:

3.5. PROPOSITION. The functor $P: \mathcal{C}^\otimes \rightarrow \Delta^{\text{op}}$ is a simplicial opfibration.

PROOF. Replace all hom-sets by hom-simplicial-sets in [Lurie, 2007, 1.1(M1)]. ■

In fact, we may choose P -coCartesian lifts so that P is a split simplicial opfibration²: given $[x_1, \dots, x_n] \in \mathcal{C}^\otimes$ and a map $f: [m] \rightarrow [n]$, let

$$y_i = x_{f(i-1)+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{f(i)} \tag{5}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then $[f; 1_{y_1}, \dots, 1_{y_m}]$ is a P -coCartesian lift of f .

By the enriched Grothendieck correspondence [Beardsley & Wong, 2019, Theorem 5.6], the split simplicial opfibration $P: \mathcal{C}^\otimes \rightarrow \Delta^{\text{op}}$ with this choice of coCartesian lifts arises from a functor $\mathcal{C}^\bullet: \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{sCat}$ which we now describe.

²This essentially means that \mathcal{C}^\bullet is a functor rather than a pseudofunctor. Note that if \mathcal{C} is not strictly monoidal, then $x_{f(i-1)+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{f(i)}$ is not well-defined: a choice of parentheses needs to be made. Although the various choices are isomorphic, they are not identical, and this obstructs our ability to obtain a split opfibration.

3.6. DEFINITION. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \mathbf{1})$ be a strict monoidal simplicial category in the sense of Definition 3.2, and let $!: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow *$ denote the unique functor to the terminal simplicial category $*$.

For each n and $0 \leq i \leq n$, define the functor $\mathcal{C}^{\delta_i}: \mathcal{C}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{n-1}$ to be:

- (i) the application of \otimes to the i^{th} and $i+1^{\text{st}}$ coordinates of \mathcal{C}^n , and the identity in all other coordinates, in the case that $0 < i < n$;
- (ii) the application of $!$ to the i^{th} coordinate and the identity in all other coordinates in the case that $i \in \{0, n\}$.

In other words,

$$\mathcal{C}^{\delta_i} := \begin{cases} \mathcal{C}^{i-1} \times \otimes \times \mathcal{C}^{n-i-1} & \text{if } 0 < i < n; \\ ! \times \mathcal{C}^{n-1} & \text{if } i = 0; \\ \mathcal{C}^{n-1} \times ! & \text{if } i = n. \end{cases}$$

For each n and $1 \leq i \leq n$, define the functor $\mathcal{C}^{\sigma_i}: \mathcal{C}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^n$ to be the application of the unit $\mathbf{1}: * \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ in the i^{th} coordinate. In other words,

$$\mathcal{C}^{\sigma_i} := \mathcal{C}^{i-1} \times \mathbf{1} \times \mathcal{C}^{n-i}.$$

3.7. LEMMA. Let \mathcal{C} be a strict monoidal simplicial category. Then there is a functor $\Delta^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{sCat}$ that takes $[n]$ to \mathcal{C}^n , the face maps $\delta_i: [n-1] \rightarrow [n]$ to the functors $\mathcal{C}^{\delta_i}: \mathcal{C}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{n-1}$ and the degeneracy maps $\sigma_i: [n] \rightarrow [n-1]$ to the functors $\mathcal{C}^{\sigma_i}: \mathcal{C}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^n$.

PROOF. The fact that \mathcal{C} is a strict monoid in \mathbf{sCat} implies that the functors \mathcal{C}^{δ_i} and \mathcal{C}^{σ_i} satisfy the simplicial identities. This is a routine but tedious calculation that we leave to the interested reader. \blacksquare

3.8. DEFINITION. Let $\mathcal{C}^\bullet: \Delta^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{sCat}$ denote the functor in the previous lemma.

3.9. REMARK. For an arbitrary morphism $f: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ in Δ , we see that $\mathcal{C}^f: \mathcal{C}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^m$ is the functor that sends (x_1, \dots, x_n) to (y_1, \dots, y_m) where y_i is given by (5), and (when restricted to zero simplices) sends $(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)$ to (ψ_1, \dots, ψ_m) where $\psi_i = \varphi_{f(i-1)+1} \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_{f(i)}$.

3.10. LEMMA. For a strict monoidal simplicial category \mathcal{C} , there is an isomorphism of simplicial categories

$$\mathcal{C}^\otimes \cong \mathbf{Gr} \mathcal{C}^\bullet.$$

PROOF. This follows directly from the definitions of \mathcal{C}^\otimes , \mathcal{C}^\bullet and \mathbf{Gr} . Explicitly, first notice that there is a bijection on objects $F: \mathbf{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^\otimes) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ob}(\mathbf{Gr} \mathcal{C}^\bullet)$ given by

$$F([x_1, \dots, x_n]) = ((x_1, \dots, x_n), [n]) \in \coprod_{[m] \in \Delta^{op}} \mathbf{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^n) \times \{[m]\}.$$

The space of morphisms from $((x_1, \dots, x_m), [m])$ to $((y_1, \dots, y_n), [n])$ in $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}^\bullet)$ is, by definition, the coproduct

$$\coprod_{\varphi: [n] \rightarrow [m]} \mathcal{C}^n(\mathcal{C}^\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_m), (y_1, \dots, y_n)) \times \{\varphi\},$$

which is clearly isomorphic to

$$\coprod_{\varphi: [n] \rightarrow [m]} \mathcal{C}^n(\mathcal{C}^\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_m), (y_1, \dots, y_n)).$$

By using Definition 3.8, Remark 3.9 and the fact that the mapping spaces of a product of categories are the product of mapping spaces, it is easy to see that this last expression is equal to

$$\coprod_{\varphi: [n] \rightarrow [m]} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq m} \mathcal{C}(x_{\varphi(i-1)+1} \otimes x_{\varphi(i-1)+2} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{\varphi(i)}, y_i)$$

■

3.11. REMARK. In fact, the results of this subsection hold more generally for monoidal \mathcal{V} -categories, where \mathcal{V} satisfies the hypotheses of [Beardsley & Wong, 2019], but we will not need this level of generality.

3.12. THE OPERADIC NERVE N^\otimes . We now suppose that \mathcal{C} is a strict monoidal *fibrant* (i.e. locally Kan) simplicial category. Then \mathcal{C}^\otimes is a fibrant simplicial category as well, so the simplicial nerves of \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}^\otimes are both quasicategories.

3.13. DEFINITION. *Let (\mathcal{C}, \otimes) be a strict monoidal fibrant simplicial category. The **operadic nerve of \mathcal{C} with respect to \otimes** is the quasicategory*

$$N^\otimes(\mathcal{C}) := N(\mathcal{C}^\otimes).$$

Combining Propositions 2.9 and 3.5 with $p := N(P)$, we obtain:

3.14. COROLLARY. *There is a coCartesian fibration $p: N^\otimes(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow N(\Delta^{\text{op}})$.*

In fact, p defines a monoidal structure on $N(\mathcal{C})$ in the following sense:

3.15. DEFINITION. [Lurie, 2007, 1.1.2] *A **monoidal quasicategory** is a coCartesian fibration of simplicial sets $p: X \rightarrow N(\Delta^{\text{op}})$ such that for each $n \geq 0$, the functors $X_{[n]} \rightarrow X_{\{i, i+1\}}$ induced by $\{i, i+1\} \hookrightarrow [n]$ determine an equivalence of quasicategories*

$$X_{[n]} \xrightarrow{\cong} X_{\{0,1\}} \times \dots \times X_{\{n-1,n\}} \cong (X_{[1]})^n,$$

where $X_{[n]}$ denotes the fiber of p over $[n]$. In this case, we say that p defines a **monoidal structure on $X_{[1]}$** .

3.16. PROPOSITION. [Lurie, 2007, Proposition 1.6.3] *If \mathcal{C} is a strict monoidal fibrant simplicial category then $p: N^\otimes(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow N(\Delta^{\text{op}})$ defines a monoidal structure on the quasicategory $N(\mathcal{C}) \cong (N^\otimes(\mathcal{C}))_{[1]}$.*

3.17. DEFINITION. The **quasicategory of monoidal quasicategories** is the full subquasicategory $\text{MonCat}_\infty \subset \text{coCart}_{/N(\Delta^{\text{op}})}$ containing the monoidal quasicategories.

3.18. DEFINITION. Let \mathcal{C} be a strict monoidal fibrant simplicial category. The **vertex associated to \mathcal{C}** in MonCat_∞ or $\text{coCart}_{/N(\Delta^{\text{op}})}$ is the vertex corresponding to $p: N^\otimes(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow N(\Delta^{\text{op}})$.

3.19. REMARK. By Definition A.13, the vertex associated to \mathcal{C} is equivalently the vertex corresponding to $N^\otimes(\mathcal{C})^\sharp \rightarrow N(\Delta^{\text{op}})^\sharp$ in $N((\mathbf{sSet}^+)_{/S})^\circ$. Note that, by [Lurie, 2009, 3.1.4.1], the assignment $(X \rightarrow S) \mapsto (X^\sharp \rightarrow S^\sharp)$ is injective up to isomorphism.

Finally, we tie together the results of this and the previous sections.

3.20. COROLLARY. Let \mathcal{C} be a strict monoidal fibrant simplicial category, and let ξ be the composite $\Delta^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}^\bullet} \mathbf{sCat} \xrightarrow{N} \mathbf{sSet}$. Then we have the following string of isomorphisms and equivalences:

$$N^\otimes(\mathcal{C}) \cong N(\text{Gr } \mathcal{C}^\bullet) \cong N_\xi(\Delta^{\text{op}}) \simeq \text{Gr}_\infty N(\xi). \tag{6}$$

3.21. REMARK. The preceding Corollary and the ∞ -categorical Grothendieck correspondence (A.14) suggest that we may equivalently define a monoidal quasicategory to be $\xi \in (\text{Cat}_\infty)^{N(\Delta^{\text{op}})}$ such that the maps

$$\xi([n]) \xrightarrow{\xi(\{i, i+1\} \hookrightarrow [n])} \xi(\{i, i+1\})$$

induce an equivalence

$$\xi([n]) \xrightarrow{\cong} \xi(\{0, 1\}) \times \cdots \times \xi(\{n-1, n\}) \cong \xi([1])^n.$$

3.22. REMARK. We have worked entirely on the level of *objects* as we are only interested in understanding the operadic nerve of one monoidal simplicial category at a time. However, we believe it should be possible to show that these constructions and equivalences are *functorial*, so that the following diagram is an actual commuting diagram of functors between appropriately defined categories or quasicategories:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{Mon}(\mathbf{sCat}) & \xrightarrow{(-)^\bullet} & \mathbf{sCat}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}} & \xrightarrow{\text{Gr}} & \text{opFib}_{/\Delta^{\text{op}}} & \xrightarrow{N} & \text{coCart}_{/N(\Delta^{\text{op}})} \\ & & & \searrow^{(-)^\otimes} & & & \\ & & & & & \searrow^{N^\otimes} & \end{array}$$

For an ordinary category \mathcal{D} , we also believe that there is a model structure on $\mathbf{sCat}_{/\mathcal{D}}$ whose fibrant objects are simplicial opfibrations (or the analog for a suitable version of *marked* simplicial categories), along with a Quillen adjunction between $\mathbf{sCat}_{/\mathcal{D}}$ and $(\mathbf{sSet}^+)_{/N(\mathcal{D})}$ whose restriction to fibrant objects picks out the maps arising as nerves of simplicial opfibrations.

4. Opposite functors

Finally, we turn to the question which motivated this paper: how does the operadic nerve interact with taking opposites?

Recall that there is an involution on the category of small categories $\text{op}: \text{Cat} \rightarrow \text{Cat}$ which takes a category to its opposite. There are higher categorical generalizations of this functor to the category of simplicial sets and the category of simplicially enriched categories, which we review in turn.

4.1. OPPOSITES OF (MONOIDAL) SIMPLICIAL CATEGORIES.

4.2. DEFINITION. *Given a simplicial category $\mathcal{C} \in \text{sCat}$, let \mathcal{C}^{op} denote the category with the same objects as \mathcal{C} , and morphisms*

$$\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}(x, y) := \mathcal{C}(y, x).$$

Let $\text{op}_s: \text{sCat} \rightarrow \text{sCat}$ be the functor sending \mathcal{C} to $\text{op}_s(\mathcal{C}) := \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$, and sending a simplicial functor F to the simplicial functor F^{op} given by $F^{\text{op}}x := Fx$ and $F_{x,y}^{\text{op}} := F_{y,x}$.

We note a few immediate properties of opposites.

4.3. LEMMA. *The functor op_s is self-adjoint.*

4.4. LEMMA. *Let \mathcal{C} be a simplicial category. If \mathcal{C} is fibrant, then so is \mathcal{C}^{op} .*

4.5. LEMMA. *Let \mathcal{C} be a strict monoidal simplicial category. Then \mathcal{C}^{op} is canonically a strict monoidal simplicial category as well.*

PROOF. Given $x, y \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$, define their tensor product to be the same object as their tensor in \mathcal{C} . One can check that this extends to a monoidal structure on \mathcal{C}^{op} .

Alternatively, since op_s is self-adjoint, it preserves limits and colimits of simplicial categories. In particular, it preserves the Cartesian product, and is therefore a monoidal functor from (sCat, \times) to itself. It thus preserves monoids in sCat . ■

4.6. REMARK. Since the same object represents the tensor product of x and y in \mathcal{C} or \mathcal{C}^{op} , we will use the same symbol \otimes to denote the tensor product in either category.

The functor $\text{op}_s: \text{sCat} \rightarrow \text{sCat}$ induces functors $(-)^{\text{op}}: \text{Mon}(\text{sCat}) \rightarrow \text{Mon}(\text{sCat})$ and $(-)^{\text{op}}: \text{sCat}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}} \rightarrow \text{sCat}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}}$, where the latter is composition with op_s . We wish to show that these functors commute with the construction $\mathcal{C} \mapsto \mathcal{C}^\bullet$ of Definition 3.8.

4.7. LEMMA. *Let \mathcal{C} be a strict monoidal simplicial category. Then*

$$(\mathcal{C}^\bullet)^{\text{op}} = (\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})^\bullet,$$

i.e. the following diagram commutes on objects.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Mon}(\mathbf{sCat}) & \xrightarrow{(-)^\bullet} & \mathbf{sCat}^{\Delta^{op}} \\
 \downarrow \text{op} & & \downarrow \text{op} \\
 \text{Mon}(\mathbf{sCat}) & \xrightarrow{(-)^\bullet} & \mathbf{sCat}^{\Delta^{op}}
 \end{array}$$

PROOF. The objects of both $(\mathcal{C}^n)^{op}$ and $(\mathcal{C}^{op})^n$ are n -tuples (x_1, \dots, x_n) where $x_i \in \mathcal{C}$, while the simplicial set of morphisms from (x_1, \dots, x_n) to (y_1, \dots, y_n) are both $\mathcal{C}(y_1, x_1) \times \dots \times \mathcal{C}(y_n, x_n)$, so $(\mathcal{C}^n)^{op} = (\mathcal{C}^{op})^n$. Therefore $(\mathcal{C}^{op})^\bullet$ and $(\mathcal{C}^\bullet)^{op}$ agree on objects $[n] \in \Delta^{op}$.

Now consider the face and degeneracy morphisms of Δ under the two functors $(\mathcal{C}^\bullet)^{op}: \Delta^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{sCat}$ and $(\mathcal{C}^{op})^\bullet: \Delta^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{sCat}$. In the first case, they are taken to, respectively, an application of the opposite monoidal structure to the i^{th} and $i + 1^{st}$ coordinates $(\mathcal{C}^{\delta_i})^{op}: (\mathcal{C}^n)^{op} \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}^{n-1})^{op}$ and an application of the ‘‘opposite’’ unit in the i^{th} coordinate $(\mathcal{C}^{\sigma_i})^{op}: (\mathcal{C}^{n-1})^{op} \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}^n)^{op}$. Because the monoidal structure of \mathcal{C}^{op} is by definition the opposite of the monoidal structure of \mathcal{C} , and both the identity maps and the unit maps are self-dual under op (and the fact that op is self-adjoint so preserves products up to equality), it is clear that these are equal to $(\mathcal{C}^{op})^{\delta_i}$ and $(\mathcal{C}^{op})^{\sigma_i}$ respectively. ■

4.8. REMARK. The diagram above is an actual commuting diagram of functors, but we will not show this here, since we have not fully described the functorial nature of $(-)^{op}$.

We note also that opposites commute with the simplicially enriched Grothendieck construction, but we will not need this result in the rest of the paper.

4.9. DEFINITION. Let $P: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a simplicial opfibration. The **fiberwise opposite** of P is the simplicial opfibration $P_{op}: \mathcal{E}_{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ given by

$$\text{Gr} \circ \text{op}_s \circ \text{Gr}^{-1}(P).$$

Note that we have deliberately avoided writing P^{op} and \mathcal{E}^{op} , since these mean the direct application of op_s to P and \mathcal{E} , which is not what we want.

4.10. COROLLARY. Let \mathcal{C} be a strict monoidal simplicial category. Then

$$(\mathcal{C}^\otimes)_{op} \cong (\mathcal{C}^{op})^\otimes.$$

PROOF. Apply Gr to Lemma 4.7, and note that $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}^\bullet)^{op} \cong (\mathcal{C}^\otimes)_{op}$. ■

4.11. OPPOSITES OF ∞ -CATEGORIES. We now turn to opposites of simplicial sets and quasicategories, and relate these to opposites of simplicial categories. In this and the next subsection, we will make frequent use of the notation and results of A.1 and A.8, so the reader is encouraged to review them before proceeding.

To avoid unnecessary complexity in our exposition and proofs, we will freely use the fact that Δ , the simplex category, is equivalent to the category floSet of finite, linearly ordered sets and order preserving functions between them. In fact, Δ is a *skeleton* of floSet , so the equivalence is given by the inclusion $\Delta \hookrightarrow \text{floSet}$.

4.12. DEFINITION. Define the functor $\text{rev}: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ to be the functor that takes a finite linearly ordered set to the same set with the reverse ordering. Then given $X \in \mathbf{sSet} = \text{Fun}(\Delta, \mathbf{Set})$, we define $\text{op}_\Delta X$ to be the simplicial set $X \circ \text{rev}$. This defines a functor $\text{op}_\Delta: \mathbf{sSet} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}$. We will often write X^{op} instead of $\text{op}_\Delta X$.

4.13. DEFINITION. Define the functor $\text{op}_\Delta^+: \mathbf{sSet}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}^+$ to be the functor that takes a marked simplicial set (X, W) to $(\text{op}_\Delta X, W)$, where we use the fact that there is a bijection between the 1-simplices of $\text{op}_\Delta X$ and those of X .

4.14. LEMMA. The functors op_Δ and op_Δ^+ are self-adjoint.

4.15. LEMMA. If X is a quasicategory, then so is X^{op} .

The functors $\text{op}_s, \text{op}_\Delta$ and op_Δ^+ are related in the following manner:

4.16. LEMMA. The following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathbf{sCat}^\circ & \xrightarrow{N} & \mathbf{sSet}^\circ & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{h}} & \mathbf{sSet}^+ \\
 \text{op}_s \downarrow & & \text{op}_\Delta \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{op}_\Delta^+ \\
 \mathbf{sCat}^\circ & \xrightarrow{N} & \mathbf{sSet}^\circ & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{h}} & \mathbf{sSet}^+
 \end{array}$$

PROOF. The right hand square of the above diagram obviously commutes, so it only remains to show that $N \circ \text{op}_s \cong \text{op}_\Delta \circ N$. Recall that the nerve of a simplicial category \mathcal{C} is the simplicial set determined by the formula

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{sSet}}(\Delta^n, N\mathcal{C}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{sCat}}(\mathfrak{C}[\Delta^n], \mathcal{C})$$

where $\mathfrak{C}[\Delta^n]$ is the value of the functor $\mathfrak{C}: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{sCat}$ defined in [Lurie, 2009, 1.1.5.1, 1.1.5.3] at the finite linearly ordered set $\{0 < 1 < \dots < n\}$. Moreover, by extending along the Yoneda embedding $\Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}$, we obtain (cf. the discussion following Example 1.1.5.8 of [Lurie, 2009]) a colimit preserving functor $\mathfrak{C}: \mathbf{sSet} \rightarrow \mathbf{sCat}$ which is left adjoint to N . This justifies using the notation $\mathfrak{C}[\Delta^n]$ for the application of \mathfrak{C} to $\{0 < 1 < \dots < n\}$. It is not hard to check from definitions that, for any finite linearly ordered set I the simplicial categories $\mathfrak{C}[I]^{\text{op}}$ and $\mathfrak{C}[I^{\text{op}}]$ are equal and that this identification is natural with respect to the morphisms of Δ . So by using this fact, the fact that $\mathfrak{C} \dashv N$, and liberally applying the self-adjointness of $\text{op}_s, \text{op}_\Delta$ and op_Δ^+ (Lemmas 4.3 and 4.14), we have the following sequence of isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{sSet}}(\Delta^n, N(\mathcal{C})^{\text{op}}) &\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{sSet}}((\Delta^n)^{\text{op}}, N(\mathcal{C})) \\
 &\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{sCat}}(\mathfrak{C}[(\Delta^n)^{\text{op}}], \mathcal{C}) \\
 &\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{sCat}}(\mathfrak{C}[\Delta^n]^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}) \\
 &\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{sCat}}(\mathfrak{C}[\Delta^n], \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}) \\
 &\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{sSet}}(\Delta^n, N(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})).
 \end{aligned}$$

All of our constructions are natural with respect to the morphisms of Δ , so we have the result. ■

4.17. COROLLARY. *Let $F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{sCat}$ be a functor such that each Fd is fibrant, and let $f = NF$. Then*

$$f^{\text{op}} = (NF)^{\text{op}} \cong N(F^{\text{op}}).$$

4.18. COROLLARY. *Let $f: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}$ be a functor such that each fd is a quasicategory. Then*

$$(f^{\text{op}})^{\natural} = (f^{\natural})^{\text{op}}.$$

The preceding Corollary is about functors $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}$ taking values in quasicategories. Taking the nerve of such a functor, we obtain a *vertex* in the quasicategory $(\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty})^{N(\mathcal{D})}$. From now on, we restrict ourselves to the quasicategories \mathbf{Cat}_{∞} , $(\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty})^{N(\mathcal{D})}$ and $\mathbf{coCart}_{/N(\mathcal{D})}$, so that all future statements are about *vertices* in these quasicategories.

By [Barwick & Schommer-Pries, 2011, Theorem 7.2], there is a unique-up-to-homotopy non-identity involution of the quasicategory \mathbf{Cat}_{∞} , as it is a theory of $(\infty, 1)$ -categories. Thus, this involution, which we denote op_{∞} , must be equivalent to the nerve of op_{Δ}^+ . So we have the following lemma:

4.19. LEMMA. *Let $\text{op}_{\infty}: \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}$ denote the above involution on \mathbf{Cat}_{∞} . Then $\text{op}_{\infty} \simeq N(\text{op}_{\Delta}^+)$.*

4.20. COROLLARY. *Let $f: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}$ be a functor such that each fd is a quasicategory, and continue to write f for $f^{\natural}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}^+$. In the quasicategory $(\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty})^{\mathcal{D}}$, we have an equivalence*

$$N(f^{\text{op}}) \simeq N(f)^{\text{op}},$$

where $f^{\text{op}} = \text{op}_{\Delta}^+ \circ f$ and $N(f)^{\text{op}} = \text{op}_{\infty} \circ N(f)$.

PROOF. By the functoriality of the (large) simplicial nerve functor and the previous Lemma, we have $N(f^{\text{op}}) \simeq N(\text{op}_{\Delta}^+) \circ N(f) \simeq \text{op}_{\infty} \circ N(f)$. ■

4.21. OPPOSITES OF FIBRATIONS AND MONOIDAL QUASICATEGORIES. We now define fiberwise opposites of a coCartesian fibration, in a manner similar to Definition 4.9, keeping in mind that we need to work within the quasicategory $\mathbf{coCart}_{/S}$.

4.22. DEFINITION. *Let $p: X \rightarrow S$ be a coCartesian fibration of quasicategories, treated as a vertex of $\mathbf{coCart}_{/S}$. The **fiberwise opposite** of p is the coCartesian fibration corresponding to the vertex*

$$\text{Gr}_{\infty} \circ \text{op}_{\infty} \circ \text{Gr}_{\infty}^{-1}(p) \in \mathbf{coCart}_{/S}.$$

Denote this coCartesian fibration by $p_{\text{op}}: X_{\text{op}} \rightarrow S$. (Again, we do not write p^{op} or X^{op} , since these refer to the direct application of op_{Δ}^+).

4.23. THEOREM. *Let $F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{sCat}$ be a functor such that each Fd is fibrant. In the quasicategory $\mathbf{coCart}_{/N(\mathcal{D})}$, there is an equivalence of vertices*

$$\text{NGr}(F^{\text{op}}) \simeq \text{NGr}(F)_{\text{op}},$$

i.e. the following diagram commutes on objects, and up to equivalence in $\mathbf{coCart}_{/N(\mathcal{D})}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathbf{sCat}^{\mathcal{D}} & \xrightarrow{\text{Gr}} & \mathbf{opFib}_{/D} & \xrightarrow{\text{N}} & \mathbf{coCart}_{/N(\mathcal{D})} \\
 \downarrow \text{op} & & & & \downarrow \text{op} \\
 \mathbf{sCat}^{\mathcal{D}} & \xrightarrow{\text{Gr}} & \mathbf{opFib}_{/D} & \xrightarrow{\text{N}} & \mathbf{coCart}_{/N(\mathcal{D})}
 \end{array}$$

PROOF. We have a string of equivalences:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{NGr}(F)_{\text{op}} &= \text{Gr}_{\infty} \circ \text{op}_{\infty} \circ \text{Gr}_{\infty}^{-1}(\mathbf{NGr}(F)) && \text{(Definition 4.22)} \\
 &\simeq \text{Gr}_{\infty} \circ \text{op}_{\infty} \circ \text{Gr}_{\infty}^{-1} \text{Gr}_{\infty} \mathbf{N}(f) && \text{(Corollary 2.14)} \\
 &\simeq \text{Gr}_{\infty} \circ \text{op}_{\infty} \circ \mathbf{N}(f) && \text{(Definition A.15)} \\
 &\simeq \text{Gr}_{\infty} \mathbf{N}(f^{\text{op}}) && \text{(Corollary 4.20)} \\
 &\simeq \mathbf{NGr}(F^{\text{op}}) && \text{(Corollary 2.14)}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $f = NF$ and $f^{\text{op}} \cong \mathbf{N}(F^{\text{op}})$ by Corollary 4.17. ■

4.24. REMARK. The reader following the above proof closely should be aware of the fact that we implicitly use Proposition 2.3 [Lurie, 2009, 3.2.5.21] several times.

Finally, we turn our attention back to monoidal quasicategories and monoidal simplicial categories.

4.25. LEMMA. *Let $p: X \rightarrow \mathbf{N}(\Delta^{\text{op}})$ define a monoidal structure on $X_{[1]}$. Then $p_{\text{op}}: X_{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}(\Delta^{\text{op}})$ defines a monoidal structure on $(X_{[1]})^{\text{op}}$.*

PROOF. It is easy to check that the coCartesian fibration p_{op} is a monoidal quasicategory, and that $(X_{\text{op}})_{[1]} \simeq (X_{[1]})^{\text{op}}$. ■

4.26. THEOREM. *Let \mathcal{C} be a strict monoidal fibrant simplicial category and equip \mathcal{C}^{op} with its canonical monoidal structure. Then $\mathbf{N}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})$ and $\mathbf{N}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{C})_{\text{op}}$ define equivalent monoidal structures on $\mathbf{N}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}) \simeq \mathbf{N}(\mathcal{C})^{\text{op}}$.*

PROOF. Combine Lemma 4.7 with Theorem 4.23, taking $F = \mathcal{C}^{\bullet}$. ■

A. Appendices

A.1. MODELS FOR ∞ -CATEGORIES, AND THEIR NERVES. In this paper, we pass between simplicially enriched categories, \mathbf{sCat} , and simplicial sets, \mathbf{sSet} . We also often invoke *marked* simplicial sets \mathbf{sSet}^+ . In this section, we describe how these categories, equipped with suitable model structures, serve as models for a category of ∞ -categories, and how they are related.

A.2. DEFINITION. We recall the definitions of the three categories above with certain model category structures:

1. Let \mathbf{sCat} denote the category of simplicially enriched categories in the sense of [Kelly, 1982], with the Bergner model structure described in [Bergner, 2007]. In particular, the fibrant objects are the categories enriched in Kan complexes and the weak equivalences are the so-called Dwyer-Kan (or DK) equivalences of simplicial categories.
2. Let \mathbf{sSet} denote the category of simplicial sets with the Joyal model structure as described in [Joyal, 2008] and [Lurie, 2009]. The fibrant objects are the quasicategories, and the weak equivalences are the categorical equivalences of simplicial sets.
3. Let \mathbf{sSet}^+ denote the category of marked simplicial sets. Its objects are pairs (S, W) where S is a simplicial set and W is a subset of $S[1]$, the collection of 1-simplices of S . The model structure on \mathbf{sSet}^+ is given by [Lurie, 2009, 3.1.3.7]. By [Lurie, 2009, 3.1.4.1], the fibrant objects are the pairs (S, W) for which S is a quasicategory and W is the set of 1-simplices of S that become isomorphisms after passing to the homotopy category (i.e. the equivalences of S). The weak equivalences, by [Lurie, 2009, 3.1.3.5], are precisely the morphisms whose underlying maps of simplicial sets are categorical equivalences.
4. Let \mathbf{RelCat} denote the category of relative categories, whose objects are pairs (\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{W}) , where \mathbf{C} is a category and \mathbf{W} is a subcategory of \mathbf{C} that contains all the objects of \mathbf{C} . In [Barwick & Kan, 2012], it is shown that \mathbf{RelCat} admits a model structure, but we will not need it here. We only point out that any model category \mathbf{C} has an underlying relative category in which \mathbf{W} is the subcategory containing every object of \mathbf{C} with only the weak equivalences as morphisms.

A.3. DEFINITION. Given a model category \mathbf{C} , we will denote by \mathbf{C}° the full subcategory spanned by bifibrant (i.e fibrant and cofibrant) objects.

A.4. DEFINITION. We also introduce several functors which are useful in comparing the above categories as models of ∞ -categories:

1. Let $N: \mathbf{sCat} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}$ be the simplicial nerve functor (first defined by Cordier) of [Lurie, 2009, 1.1.5.5]. Crucially, if \mathbf{C} is a fibrant simplicial category, then $N\mathbf{C}$ is a quasicategory. This nerve has a left adjoint \mathfrak{C} .

$$\mathbf{sSet} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{C}} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{N} \end{array} \mathbf{sCat}$$

2. Let $L^H: \mathbf{RelCat} \rightarrow \mathbf{sCat}$ denote the hammock localization functor, defined in [Dwyer and Kan, 1980].

3. Let $(-)^{\sharp}: \mathbf{sSet}^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}^{+}$ denote the functor, defined in [Lurie, 2009, 3.1.1.9]³, that takes a quasicategory C to the pair (C, W) where W is the collection of weak equivalences⁴ in C .
4. Let $(-)^{\sharp}: \mathbf{sSet} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}^{+}$ denote the functor, defined in [Lurie, 2009, 3.1.0.2] that takes a simplicial set S to the pair $(S, S[1])$, in which every edge of S has been marked.
5. Let $\mathbf{u.q.}: \mathbf{RelCat} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}$ denote the underlying quasicategory functor of [Mazel-Gee, 2015], given by the composition

$$\mathbf{RelCat} \xrightarrow{L^H} \mathbf{sCat} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{sCat} \xrightarrow{N} \mathbf{sSet}$$

where $\mathbb{R}: \mathbf{sCat} \rightarrow \mathbf{sCat}$ is the fibrant replacement functor of simplicial categories defined in [Mazel-Gee, 2015, §1.2]. Note that, because of the fibrant replacement, $\mathbf{u.q.}(C, W)$ is indeed a quasicategory for any relative category (C, W) .

We can now give a definition of the quasicategory of ∞ -categories:

A.5. DEFINITION. Since the fibrant-cofibrant objects in \mathbf{sSet}^{+} correspond to quasicategories, we let the **quasicategory of quasicategories**, or of ∞ -categories, be:

$$\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty} := N(\mathbf{sSet}^{+})^{\circ},$$

where we write $N(\mathbf{sSet}^{+})^{\circ}$ instead of the more cumbersome $N((\mathbf{sSet}^{+})^{\circ})$.

A.6. REMARK. Going forward, we will often write $N(-)^{\circ}$ instead of $N((-)^{\circ})$ to indicate the simplicial nerve applied to the bifibrant subcategory of a simplicial model category.

A.7. THEOREM. The underlying quasicategories of the model categories \mathbf{sCat} , \mathbf{sSet} and \mathbf{sSet}^{+} are all equivalent to \mathbf{Cat}_{∞} .

PROOF. First note that [Proposition 1.5.1][Hinich, 2016] implies that a Quillen equivalence of model categories induces an equivalence of underlying quasicategories. There are Quillen equivalences $\mathbf{sCat} \rightleftharpoons \mathbf{sSet}$ [Bergner, 2010, Theorem 7.8] and $\mathbf{sSet} \rightleftharpoons \mathbf{sSet}^{+}$ [Lurie, 2009, 3.1.5.1 (A0)]. As a result, there are equivalences of quasicategories $\mathbf{u.q.}(\mathbf{sSet}, \mathcal{WE}) \rightarrow \mathbf{u.q.}(\mathbf{sSet}^{+}, \mathcal{WE})$, where \mathcal{WE} denotes the collection of weak equivalences between marked simplicial sets, and $\mathbf{u.q.}(\mathbf{sCat}, \mathcal{DK}) \rightarrow \mathbf{u.q.}(\mathbf{sSet}, \mathcal{WE})$, where \mathcal{DK} denotes the collection of Dwyer-Kan equivalences. It then follows, by [Lurie, 2009, 3.1.3.5], that there are equivalences of marked simplicial sets $\mathbf{u.q.}(\mathbf{sSet}, \mathcal{WE})^{\sharp} \leftarrow \mathbf{u.q.}(\mathbf{sSet}^{+}, \mathcal{WE})^{\sharp}$ and $\mathbf{u.q.}(\mathbf{sCat}, \mathcal{DK})^{\sharp} \rightarrow \mathbf{u.q.}(\mathbf{sSet}, \mathcal{WE})^{\sharp}$.

³This refers to the published version listed in our references. The same definition appears at 3.1.1.8 in the April 2017 version on Lurie's website.

⁴We are using the fact that the unique map $p: C \rightarrow \Delta^0$ is a Cartesian fibration iff C is a quasicategory, and the p -Cartesian edges are precisely the weak equivalences.

Now by [Hinich, 2016, Proposition 1.4.3] and its corollary, we have a (Dwyer-Kan) equivalence of simplicial categories $(\mathbf{sSet}^+)^{\circ} \rightarrow L^H(\mathbf{sSet}^+, \mathbf{WE})$. By definition of fibrant replacement, we also have equivalences $(\mathbf{sSet}^+)^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}(\mathbf{sSet}^+)^{\circ}$. Since the latter morphism is between fibrant objects, and the right Quillen adjoint N preserves equivalences between fibrant objects (by Ken Brown’s Lemma), we have an equivalence of simplicial sets $N(\mathbf{sSet}^+)^{\circ} \rightarrow \text{u.q.}(\mathbf{sSet}^+, \mathbf{WE})$. Thus another application of [Lurie, 2009, 3.1.3.5] gives an equivalence of marked simplicial sets $(N(\mathbf{sSet}^+)^{\circ})^{\natural} \rightarrow \text{u.q.}(\mathbf{sSet}^+, \mathbf{WE})^{\natural}$.

So we have equivalences of marked simplicial sets:

$$(N(\mathbf{sSet}^+)^{\circ})^{\natural} \rightarrow \text{u.q.}(\mathbf{sSet}^+, \mathbf{WE})^{\natural} \rightarrow \text{u.q.}(\mathbf{sSet}, \mathbf{WE})^{\natural} \rightarrow \text{u.q.}(\mathbf{sCat}, \mathbf{DK})^{\natural}$$

These imply the result after applying the (large) nerve to the (large) quasicategory of marked simplicial sets. ■

A.8. STRAIGHTENING, UNSTRAIGHTENING AND Gr_{∞} . This section is a summary of results from [Lurie, 2009, 3.2, 3.3] regarding straightening and unstraightening.

A.9. THEOREM. [Lurie, 2009, 3.2.0.1] *Let S be a simplicial set, \mathcal{D} a simplicial category, and $\phi: \mathfrak{C}[S] \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{D}$ an equivalence of simplicial categories. Then there is a Quillen equivalence*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \xrightarrow{Un_{\phi}^+} & \\
 (\mathbf{sSet}^+)^{\mathcal{D}} & \top & (\mathbf{sSet}^+)_{/S} \\
 & \xleftarrow{St_{\phi}^+} &
 \end{array}$$

where $(\mathbf{sSet}^+)_{/S}$ is the category of marked simplicial sets over S with the coCartesian model structure, and $(\mathbf{sSet}^+)^{\mathcal{D}}$ is the category of \mathcal{D} shaped diagrams in marked simplicial sets with the projective model structure.

A.10. LEMMA. [Lurie, 2009, 3.2.4.1] *Both $(\mathbf{sSet}^+)_{/S}$ and $(\mathbf{sSet}^+)^{\mathcal{D}}$ are simplicial model categories, and Un_{ϕ}^+ is a simplicial functor⁵ which induces an equivalence of simplicial categories*

$$(Un_{\phi}^+)^{\circ}: ((\mathbf{sSet}^+)^{\mathcal{D}})^{\circ} \xrightarrow{\simeq} ((\mathbf{sSet}^+)_{/S})^{\circ}.$$

A.11. COROLLARY. [Lurie, 2009, A.3.1.12] *Taking the nerve of this equivalence, there is an equivalence of quasicategories⁶*

$$N(Un_{\phi}^+)^{\circ}: N((\mathbf{sSet}^+)^{\mathcal{D}})^{\circ} \xrightarrow{\simeq} N((\mathbf{sSet}^+)_{/S})^{\circ}.$$

⁵But St_{ϕ}^+ is not always a simplicial functor.

⁶We use the notational convention in Remark A.6.

A.12. REMARK. Note that, for [Lurie, 2009, A.3.1.12] to apply above, it is essential that all of the objects of $(\mathbf{sSet}^+)_{/S}$ are cofibrant. This follows from [Lurie, 2009, 3.1.3.7] when we set $S = \Delta^0$ and the recollection that every object of \mathbf{sSet} is cofibrant in Joyal model structure.

By [Lurie, 2009, 3.1.1.11]⁷, the vertices of $N((\mathbf{sSet}^+)_{/S})^\circ$ are precisely maps of marked simplicial sets of the form $X^\natural \rightarrow S^\natural$ where $X \rightarrow S$ is a coCartesian fibration. We may thus *identify* $X \rightarrow S$ with $X^\natural \rightarrow S^\natural$ and treat the vertices of $N((\mathbf{sSet}^+)_{/S})^\circ$ as coCartesian fibrations over S . This motivates and justifies the following notation:

A.13. DEFINITION. *The **quasicategory of coCartesian fibrations over S** is*

$$\mathbf{coCart}_{/S} := N((\mathbf{sSet}^+)_{/S})^\circ.$$

A.14. COROLLARY. *There is an equivalence of quasicategories*

$$(\mathbf{Cat}_\infty)^S \simeq \mathbf{coCart}_{/S}.$$

PROOF. By Corollary A.11 with $\mathcal{D} = \mathfrak{C}[S]$ and ϕ the identity, it suffices to show that we have an equivalence of quasicategories

$$N((\mathbf{sSet}^+)^{\mathfrak{C}[S]})^\circ \simeq (\mathbf{Cat}_\infty)^S.$$

But this is precisely [Lurie, 2009, 4.2.4.4], which states that

$$N((\mathbf{sSet}^+)^{\mathfrak{C}[S]})^\circ \simeq (N(\mathbf{sSet}^+)^\circ)^S,$$

together with Definition A.5. ■

A.15. DEFINITION. *Let \mathbf{Gr}_∞ denote the above equivalence of quasicategories,*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbf{Gr}_\infty & \\ & \curvearrowright & \\ (\mathbf{Cat}_\infty)^S & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbf{coCart}_{/S} \\ & \curvearrowleft & \\ & \mathbf{Gr}_\infty^{-1} & \end{array}$$

and let \mathbf{Gr}_∞^{-1} denote its weak inverse (i.e. there are natural equivalences of functors $\mathbf{Id}_{\mathbf{coCart}_{/S}} \simeq \mathbf{Gr}_\infty \circ \mathbf{Gr}_\infty^{-1}$ and $\mathbf{Id}_{(\mathbf{Cat}_\infty)^S} \simeq \mathbf{Gr}_\infty^{-1} \circ \mathbf{Gr}_\infty$).

A.16. REMARK. The existence of a weak inverse \mathbf{Gr}_∞^{-1} is a result of the “fundamental theorem of quasicategory theory” [Rezk, 2016, §30]. By [Lurie, 2009, 5.2.2.8], one can check that \mathbf{Gr}_∞ and \mathbf{Gr}_∞^{-1} are adjoints in the sense of [Lurie, 2009, 5.2.2.1], but we will not need that here.

Note that \mathbf{Gr}_∞^{-1} is *not* the nerve of $(\mathbf{St}_\phi^+)^\circ$ (the latter is not even a simplicial functor). See [Riehl & Verity, 2018, 6.1.13, 6.1.22] for a description of \mathbf{Gr}_∞^{-1} on objects, and [Riehl & Verity, 2018, 6.1.19] for an alternative description of \mathbf{Gr}_∞ .

⁷This is 3.1.1.10 in the April 2017 version on Lurie’s website.

A.17. DEFINITION. [Lurie, 2009, 3.3.2.2] For $p: X \rightarrow S$ a coCartesian fibration, a map $f: S \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_\infty$ **classifies** p if there is an equivalence of coCartesian fibrations $X \simeq \mathbf{Gr}_\infty f$.

A.18. FUNCTORS OUT OF $\mathfrak{C}[\Delta^n]$. We review the characterization of simplicial functors out of $\mathfrak{C}[\Delta^n]$ that will be used in the proof of Theorem 2.13. All material here is from [Riehl & Verity, 2018], with some slight modifications in notation and terminology.

Throughout, $[n]$ denotes the poset $\{0 < 1 < \dots < n\}$.

A.19. DEFINITION. [Riehl & Verity, 2018, 4.4.6] Let $I = \{i_0 < i_1 < \dots < i_m\}$ be a subset of $[n]$ containing at least 2 elements (i.e. $m \geq 1$).

An **r -dimensional bead shape** of I , denoted $\langle I_0 | I_1 | \dots | I_r \rangle$, is a partition of I into non-empty subsets I_0, \dots, I_r such that $I_0 = \{i_0, i_m\}$.

A.20. EXAMPLE. A 2-dimensional bead shape of $I = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 6\}$:

$$I_0 = \{0, 6\}, \quad I_1 = \{3\}, \quad I_2 = \{1, 2, 5\}.$$

We write $S_{\langle I_0 | I_1 | I_2 \rangle}$ to mean the same thing as $S_{\langle 06 | 3 | 125 \rangle}$.

A.21. LEMMA. [Riehl & Verity, 2018, 4.4.9] A simplicial functor $S: \mathfrak{C}[\Delta^n] \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ is precisely the data of:

- For each $i \in [n]$, an object $S_i \in \mathcal{K}$
- For each subset $I = \{i_0 < \dots < i_m\} \subseteq [n]$ where $m \geq 1$, and each r -dimensional bead shape $\langle I_0 | \dots | I_r \rangle$ of I , an r -simplex $S_{\langle I_0 | \dots | I_r \rangle}$ in $\mathcal{K}(S_{i_0}, S_{i_m})$ whose boundary is compatible with lower-dimensional data.

The main benefit of this description is that *no further coherence conditions* need to be checked. Instead of describing what it means for the boundary to be compatible with lower-dimensional data, which can be found in [Riehl & Verity, 2018], we illustrate this with an example. But first, we introduce the abbreviation

$$S_{\langle i_0 i_1 \dots i_m \rangle} := S_{\langle i_{m-1} i_m \rangle} S_{\langle i_{m-2} i_{m-1} \rangle} \dots S_{\langle i_1 i_2 \rangle} S_{\langle i_0 i_1 \rangle}.$$

A.22. EXAMPLE. The bead shape in Example A.20 is 2-dimensional, so $S_{\langle I_0 | I_1 | I_2 \rangle} = S_{\langle 06 | 3 | 125 \rangle}$ should be a 2-simplex in $\mathcal{K}(S_0, S_6)$. The boundary of this 2-simplex is compatible with lower-dimensional data in the sense that it is given by the following:

- The first vertex is always $S_{\langle I_0 \rangle}$, which in this case is $S_{\langle 06 \rangle} \in \mathcal{K}(S_0, S_6)_0$.
- The last vertex is always $S_{\langle I \rangle}$, which in this case is $S_{\langle 012356 \rangle}$. Between the first and last vertex, we have

$$S_{\langle 06 \rangle} \xrightarrow{S_{\langle 06 | 1235 \rangle}} S_{\langle 012356 \rangle} \in \mathcal{K}(S_0, S_6)_1,$$

representing the insertion of $I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \dots \cup I_r$ into I_0 . This is always the starting edge of $S_{\langle I_0 | \dots | I_r \rangle}$.

- The remaining vertices and edges are generated by first inserting I_1 into I_0 , then I_2 into $I_0 \cup I_1$ and so on, up to inserting I_r into $I \setminus I_r$.
- In our case, we first insert $I_1 = \{3\}$ into I_0 . This yields the vertex $S_{\langle I_0 \cup I_1 \rangle} = S_{\langle 036 \rangle} = S_{\langle 36 \rangle} S_{\langle 03 \rangle}$ and the edge

$$S_{\langle 06 \rangle} \xrightarrow{S_{\langle 06|3 \rangle}} S_{\langle 036 \rangle} \in \mathcal{K}(S_0, S_6)_1.$$

- Next, we insert $I_2 = \{1, 2, 5\}$ into $I_0 \cup I_1$. Since this gives all of I and we already have $S_{\langle I \rangle}$, we do not need to add any more vertices. We only add the edge

$$S_{\langle 036 \rangle} \xrightarrow{S_{\langle 36|5 \rangle} S_{\langle 03|12 \rangle}} S_{\langle 01235 \rangle} \in \mathcal{K}(S_0, S_6)_1,$$

where $S_{\langle 36|5 \rangle} \in \mathcal{K}(S_3, S_5)_1$ and $S_{\langle 03|12 \rangle} \in \mathcal{K}(S_0, S_3)_1$. Note that 5, lying between 3 and 6, goes into $S_{\langle 36 \rangle}$, as indicated by $S_{\langle 36|5 \rangle}$; similarly, 1 and 2 go into $S_{\langle 03 \rangle}$, as indicated by $S_{\langle 03|12 \rangle}$. We denote this composite

$$S_{\langle 036|125 \rangle} := S_{\langle 36|5 \rangle} S_{\langle 03|12 \rangle}.$$

- We can then choose $S_{\langle 06|3|125 \rangle}$ to be *any* 2-simplex in $\mathcal{K}(S_0, S_6)$ fitting into the following:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 S_{\langle 06 \rangle} & \xrightarrow{S_{\langle 06|1235 \rangle}} & S_{\langle 012356 \rangle} \\
 & \searrow^{S_{\langle 06|3 \rangle}} & \nearrow^{S_{\langle 036|125 \rangle}} \\
 & & S_{\langle 036 \rangle}
 \end{array}$$

$\Downarrow S_{\langle 06|3|125 \rangle}$

A.23. REMARK. The rule that I_0 must have exactly 2 elements in Definition A.19 allows us to distinguish bead shapes from abbreviations. For instance, $S_{\langle 06|3 \rangle}$ arises from a bead shape, while $S_{\langle 036|125 \rangle}$ is an abbreviation.

Note that we *should not* abbreviate the composite $S_{\langle 036|125 \rangle} S_{\langle 06|3 \rangle}$ as $S_{\langle 06|1235 \rangle}$, since the latter implies that we insert $\{1, 2, 3, 5\}$ all at once into $\{0, 6\}$. Indeed, the point of $S_{\langle 06|3|125 \rangle}$ is to relate $S_{\langle 036|125 \rangle} S_{\langle 06|3 \rangle}$ and $S_{\langle 06|1235 \rangle}$.

We only abbreviate $S_{\langle j_0 \dots j_\ell | \dots \rangle} S_{\langle i_0 \dots i_k | \dots \rangle}$ as $S_{\langle i_0 \dots i_k j_1 \dots j_\ell | \dots \rangle}$ if $i_k = j_0$. The upshot is that *there is an entirely unambiguous process* of converting an abbreviation into a composite of bead shapes, and *not all composites* of bead shapes may be abbreviated. See [Riehl & Verity, 2018] 4.2.4 for details.

References

[Bergner, 2007] J. Bergner, *A model category structure on the category of simplicial categories*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **359** (5), (2007), 2043- 2058.

- [Bergner, 2010] J. Bergner, *A Survey of $(\infty, 1)$ -categories*, Towards Higher Categories, John Baez and J. Peter May eds., Springer, 2010, 69- 83.
- [Barwick & Kan, 2012] C. Barwick and D. M. Kan, *Relative categories: another model for the homotopy theory of homotopy theories*, Indag. Math. N.S., **23**, (1-2), (2012), 42- 68.
- [Barwick & Schommer-Pries, 2011] C. Barwick and C. Schommer-Pries, *On the unicity of the homotopy theory of higher categories*, arXiv:1112.0040 (2011).
- [Beardsley & Wong, 2019] J. Beardsley and L. Z. Wong, *The enriched Grothendieck construction*, Adv. Math., **344**, (2019), 234- 261.
- [Dwyer and Kan, 1980] W. G. Dwyer and D. M. Kan, *Calculating simplicial localizations*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **18** (1), (1980), 17- 35.
- [Gepner & Haugseng, 2015] D. Gepner and R. Haugseng, *Enriched ∞ -categories via non-symmetric ∞ -operads*, Adv. Math., **279**, (2015), 575- 716.
- [Hinich, 2016] V. Hinich, *Dwyer-Kan localization revisited*, Homology Homotopy Appl., **18** (1), (2016), 27- 48.
- [Joyal, 2008] A. Joyal, *The theory of quasi-categories and its applications*, Lecture Notes, CRM Barcelona (2008), available at mat.uab.cat/~kock/crm/hocat/advanced-course/Quadern45-2.pdf
- [Kelly, 1982] G. M. Kelly, *Basic concepts of enriched category theory*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, **64**, Cambridge Univ. Press, (1982).
- [Lurie, 2007] J. Lurie, *Derived algebraic geometry II: Noncommutative algebra*, arXiv:0702299, (2007).
- [Lurie, 2009] J. Lurie, *Higher Topos Theory*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, **170**, Princeton Univ. Press, (2009).
- [Lurie, 2012] J. Lurie, *Higher Algebra*, (2012), available at <http://www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf>.
- [Mazel-Gee, 2015] A. Mazel-Gee, *Quillen adjunctions induce adjunctions of quasicategories*, New York Journal of Mathematics **22**, (2016) 57- 93.
- [May & Thomason, 1978] J. P. May and R. Thomason, *The uniqueness of infinite loop space machines*, Topology, **17** (3), (1978), 205- 224.
- [Rezk, 2016] C. Rezk, *Stuff about quasicategories*, Lecture Notes, Univ. of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, (2016), available at <https://faculty.math.illinois.edu/rezk/595-fal16/quasicats.pdf>.
- [Riehl & Verity, 2018] E. Riehl and D. Verity, *The comprehension construction*, Higher Structures, **2** (1), (2018).

[Riehl & Verity, 2017] E. Riehl and D. Verity, *Fibrations and Yoneda's lemma in an ∞ -cosmos*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **221** (3), (2017), 499- 564.

*Department of Mathematics, Box 354350, University of Washington,
Seattle, WA 98195, USA*

Email: `jbeards1@uw.edu`, `wonglz@uw.edu`

This article may be accessed at <http://www.tac.mta.ca/tac/>

THEORY AND APPLICATIONS OF CATEGORIES will disseminate articles that significantly advance the study of categorical algebra or methods, or that make significant new contributions to mathematical science using categorical methods. The scope of the journal includes: all areas of pure category theory, including higher dimensional categories; applications of category theory to algebra, geometry and topology and other areas of mathematics; applications of category theory to computer science, physics and other mathematical sciences; contributions to scientific knowledge that make use of categorical methods. Articles appearing in the journal have been carefully and critically refereed under the responsibility of members of the Editorial Board. Only papers judged to be both significant and excellent are accepted for publication.

SUBSCRIPTION INFORMATION Individual subscribers receive abstracts of articles by e-mail as they are published. To subscribe, send e-mail to tac@mta.ca including a full name and postal address. Full text of the journal is freely available at <http://www.tac.mta.ca/tac/>.

INFORMATION FOR AUTHORS L^AT_EX₂ε is required. Articles may be submitted in PDF by email directly to a Transmitting Editor following the author instructions at <http://www.tac.mta.ca/tac/authinfo.html>.

MANAGING EDITOR. Robert Rosebrugh, Mount Allison University: rrosebrugh@mta.ca

T_EXNICAL EDITOR. Michael Barr, McGill University: michael.barr@mcgill.ca

ASSISTANT T_EX EDITOR. Gavin Seal, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne: gavin_seal@fastmail.fm

TRANSMITTING EDITORS.

Clemens Berger, Université de Nice-Sophia Antipolis: cberger@math.unice.fr

Julie Bergner, University of Virginia: jeb2md@virginia.edu

Richard Blute, Université d' Ottawa: rblute@uottawa.ca

Gabriella Böhm, Wigner Research Centre for Physics: bohm.gabriella@wigner.mta.hu

Valeria de Paiva, Nuance Communications Inc: valeria.depaiva@gmail.com

Richard Garner, Macquarie University: richard.garner@mq.edu.au

Ezra Getzler, Northwestern University: getzler@northwestern.edu

Kathryn Hess, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne: kathryn.hess@epfl.ch

Dirk Hoffman, Universidade de Aveiro: dirk@ua.pt

Pieter Hofstra, Université d' Ottawa: phofstra@uottawa.ca

Anders Kock, University of Aarhus: kock@math.au.dk

Joachim Kock, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona: kock@mat.uab.cat

Stephen Lack, Macquarie University: steve.lack@mq.edu.au

F. William Lawvere, State University of New York at Buffalo: wlawvere@buffalo.edu

Tom Leinster, University of Edinburgh: Tom.Leinster@ed.ac.uk

Matias Menni, Conicet and Universidad Nacional de La Plata, Argentina: matias.menni@gmail.com

Ieke Moerdijk, Utrecht University: i.moerdijk@uu.nl

Susan Niefield, Union College: niefiels@union.edu

Robert Paré, Dalhousie University: pare@mathstat.dal.ca

Kate Ponto, University of Kentucky: kate.ponto@uky.edu

Jiri Rosicky, Masaryk University: rosicky@math.muni.cz

Giuseppe Rosolini, Università di Genova: rosolini@disi.unige.it

Alex Simpson, University of Ljubljana: Alex.Simpson@fmf.uni-lj.si

James Stasheff, University of North Carolina: jds@math.upenn.edu

Ross Street, Macquarie University: ross.street@mq.edu.au

Tim Van der Linden, Université catholique de Louvain: tim.vanderlinden@uclouvain.be

R. J. Wood, Dalhousie University: rjwood@mathstat.dal.ca