Theory and Applications of Categories, Vol. 7, No. 3, 2000, pp. 38–42.

PURE MORPHISMS OF COMMUTATIVE RINGS ARE EFFECTIVE DESCENT MORPHISMS FOR MODULES – A NEW PROOF

BACHUKI MESABLISHVILI

Transmitted by W. Tholen

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this paper is to give a new proof of the Joyal-Tierney theorem (unpublished), which asserts that a morphism $f : R \to S$ of commutative rings is an effective descent morphism for modules if and only if f is pure as a morphism of R-modules.

Let R be a commutative ring with unit and $R-\mathrm{mod}$ the category of R-modules. Since, for any R-module M, the group $C(M) = \mathrm{Hom}_{Ab}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ (where Ab is the category of abelian groups and $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is the rational circle abelian group) becomes an R-module with the action of R on $C(M)$ by $(rf)(m) = f(rm)$, we can define a functor $C : (R-\mathrm{mod})^{op} \to R-\mathrm{mod}$, given by $C(M) = \mathrm{Hom}_{Ab}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. Since the abelian group $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is an injective cogenerator in the category of abelian groups (see, for example, [1]), the functor C is exact and reflects isomorphisms. We say that a morphism $f : M \to M'$ of R-modules is pure if for any R-module N,

$$1_N \otimes_R f : N \otimes_R M \to N \otimes_R M'$$

is monic. Let $f : M \to M'$ be a morphism of R-modules. The next lemma follows from the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Hom}_R(C(M), C(M')) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Hom}_{R(C(M),C(f))}} & \mathrm{Hom}_R(C(M, C(M))) \\ \downarrow\approx & & \downarrow\approx \\ C(C(M) \otimes_R M') & \xrightarrow[C(1_{C(M)} \otimes_R f)]{} & C(C(M) \otimes_R M), \end{array}$$

where the vertical morphisms are the canonical isomorphisms.

1. LEMMA. *Let $f : M \to M'$ be a morphism of R-modules. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(a) f is a pure morphism of R-modules.

(b) $C(f)$ is a split epimorphism of R-modules.

Let $f : R \to S$ be a morphism of commutative rings. Recall that a descent datum on an object $M \in \mathrm{Ob}(S-\mathrm{mod})$ can be described as an S-module morphism $\theta : M \to S \otimes_R M$ such that θ makes

Received by the editors 1999 August 9 and, in revised form, 2000 February 2.

Published on 2000 March 9.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13C99,18A20,18A30,18A40.

Key words and phrases: Pure morphisms,(effective) Descent morphisms, Split coequalizers.

© Bachuki Mesablishvili, 2000. Permission to copy for private use granted.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\theta} & S \otimes_R M \\ & \searrow^{=} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mu} \\ & & M \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\theta} & S \otimes_R M \\ {\scriptstyle \theta}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1 \otimes_R \theta} \\ S \otimes_R M & \xrightarrow[1 \otimes_R i_M]{} & S \otimes_R S \otimes_R M \end{array}$$

commutative, where μ denotes the S-module structure on M, and $i_M : M \to S \otimes_R M$ is an R-morphism given by $i_M(m) = 1 \otimes_R m$.

Let $\mathrm{Des}(f)$ denote the category of pairs (M, θ), θ descent datum on $M \in \mathrm{Ob}(S-\mathrm{mod})$, in which morphisms $(M, \theta) \to (M', \theta')$ are just morphisms $g : M \to M'$ in $S-\mathrm{mod}$ which commute with descent data in the obvious sense (see, for example, [2]).

Any object $f^*(M) = (S \otimes_R M, i_M)$, $M \in \mathrm{Ob}(R-\mathrm{mod})$ can be equipped with descent data in a canonical way, and this gives rise to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R-\mathrm{mod} & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \mathrm{Des}(f) \\ & {\scriptstyle S \otimes_R -}\searrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle U} \\ & & S-\mathrm{mod}, \end{array}$$

where U is the forgetful functor. f is said to be a descent morphism if f^* is full and faithful, and an effective descent morphism if f^* is an equivalence.

The functor f^* has a right adjoint f_* which is defined by requiring that

$$f_*(M, \theta) \xrightarrow{e} M \underset{i_M}{\overset{\theta}{\rightrightarrows}} S \otimes_R M$$

is an equalizer of S-modules for each $(M, \theta) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathrm{Des}(f))$. The counit of this adjunction is defined by $\delta_M = \mu(1 \otimes_R e)$. The unit $\epsilon_M : M \to f_* f^*(M)$ is obtained from the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M & & & & \\ \vdots & \searrow^{i_M} & & & \\ {\scriptstyle \epsilon_M}\vdots & & S \otimes_R M & \underset{i_{S \otimes_R M}}{\overset{1_S \otimes_R i_M}{\rightrightarrows}} & S \otimes_R S \otimes_R M. \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & & & \\ f_* f^*(M) & & & & \end{array}$$

It does exist because i_M equalizes the two morphisms on the right hand side. From the description of ϵ and δ we obtain immediately the two following propositions.

2. PROPOSITION. *$f : R \to S$ is a descent morphism if and only if f is pure as a morphism of R-modules.*

3. PROPOSITION. *A descent morphism f is effective if and only if $S \otimes_R -$ preserves the equalizer*

$$f_*(M,\theta) \xrightarrow{e} M \underset{i_M}{\overset{\theta}{\rightrightarrows}} S \otimes_R M$$

for each $(M,\theta) \in Ob(Des(f))$.

Let $f : R \to S$ be pure as a morphism of R-modules. Then by Lemma 1 there is an R-module morphism $g : C(R) \to C(S)$ such that $c(f)g = 1_{C(R)}$.

If $(M,\theta) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathrm{Des}(f))$, then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} f_*(M,\theta) & \xrightarrow{e} & M & \underset{i_M}{\overset{\theta}{\rightrightarrows}} & S \otimes_R M \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle i_M} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle i_{S\otimes_R M}} \\ M & \xrightarrow{\theta} & S \otimes_R M & \underset{1_S\otimes_R i_M}{\overset{1_S\otimes_R \theta}{\rightrightarrows}} & S \otimes_R S \otimes_R M, \end{array}$$

in which the rows are equalizer diagrams.

Applying the functor C to this diagram, we obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C(S \otimes_R S \otimes_R M) & \underset{C(1_S\otimes_R i_M)}{\overset{C(1_S\otimes_R \theta)}{\rightrightarrows}} & C(S \otimes_R M) & \xrightarrow{C(\theta)} & C(M) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle C(i_{S\otimes_R M})} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle C(i_M)} & & \\ C(S \otimes_R M) & \underset{C(i_M)}{\overset{C(\theta)}{\rightrightarrows}} & C(M) & \xrightarrow{C(e)} & C(f_*(M,\theta)), \end{array}$$

in which the rows are coequalizer diagrams. Now, since for any R-module P we have the isomorphism of functors $C(P \otimes_R -) \to \mathrm{Hom}_R(-, C(P))$, we obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{Hom}_R(S \otimes_R M, C(S)) & \underset{-\circ i_M}{\overset{-\circ\theta}{\rightrightarrows}} & \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, C(S)) & & \\ {\scriptstyle -\circ g}\uparrow \downarrow{\scriptstyle -\circ C(f)} & & {\scriptstyle -\circ g}\uparrow \downarrow{\scriptstyle -\circ C(f)} & & \\ \mathrm{Hom}_R(S \otimes_R M, C(R)) & \underset{-\circ i_M}{\overset{-\circ\theta}{\rightrightarrows}} & \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, C(R)) & \xrightarrow{-\circ e} & \mathrm{Hom}_R(f_*(M,\theta), C(R)). \end{array}$$

Applying the above isomorphism of functors backwards, we deduce that there are R-morphisms h and h', such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C(S \otimes_R S \otimes_R M) & \underset{C(1_S\otimes_R i_M)}{\overset{C(1_S\otimes_R \theta)}{\rightrightarrows}} & C(S \otimes_R M) & \xrightarrow{C(\theta)} & C(M) \\ {\scriptstyle h'}\uparrow \downarrow{\scriptstyle C(i_{S\otimes_R M})} & & {\scriptstyle h}\uparrow \downarrow{\scriptstyle C(i_M)} & & {\scriptstyle k}\uparrow \\ C(S \otimes_R M) & \underset{C(i_M)}{\overset{C(\theta)}{\rightrightarrows}} & C(M) & \xrightarrow{C(e)} & C(f_*(M,\theta)) \end{array}$$

commutes. Since both left hand side squares commute, there is an R-morphism $k : C(f_*(M,\theta) \to C(M)$ such that $C(\theta)h = kC(e)$. It means that the bottom row becomes a split coequalizer diagram [3] in the category of R-modules,which is split by the morphisms

$$C(f_*(M,\theta)) \xrightarrow{k} C(M) \xrightarrow{h} C(S \otimes_R M).$$

Since split coequalizers are preserved by any functor, its image under the functor $\mathrm{Hom}(S, -)$ is a coequalizer diagram. So

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(S, C(S \otimes_R M)) \underset{-\circ C(i_M)}{\overset{-\circ C(\theta)}{\rightrightarrows}} \mathrm{Hom}_R(S, C(M)) \xrightarrow{-\circ C(e)} \mathrm{Hom}_R(S, f_*(M,\theta))$$

is a coequalizer diagram, and hence so is

$$C(S \otimes_R S \otimes_R M) \underset{C(1_S \otimes_R i_M)}{\overset{C(1_S \otimes_R \theta)}{\rightrightarrows}} C(S \otimes_R M) \xrightarrow{C(1_S \otimes_R e)} C(S \otimes_R f_*(M,\theta)).$$

The functor C is exact and reflects isomorphisms. Therefore it also reflects coequalizers. It follows that

$$S \otimes_R f_*(M,\theta) \xrightarrow{1_S \otimes_R e} S \otimes_R M \underset{1_S \otimes_R i_M}{\overset{1_S \otimes_R \theta}{\rightrightarrows}} S \otimes_R S \otimes_R M$$

is an equalizer. But

$$M \xrightarrow{\theta} S \otimes_R M \underset{1_S \otimes_R i_M}{\overset{1_S \otimes_R \theta}{\rightrightarrows}} S \otimes_R S \otimes_R M$$

is also an equalizer diagram. Thus we have an isomorphism $S \otimes_R f_*(M,\theta) \to M$.

We obtain

4. THEOREM. *$f : R \to S$ is an effective descent morphism for modules if and only if f is pure as a morphism of R-modules.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: The work was partially supported by INTAS-97-31961

References

[1] P. T. Johnstone, *Topos Theory*, Academic Press, New York 1977.

[2] G. Janelidze and W. Tholen, *Facets of Descent* I, Appl. Categorical Structures **2**,245–281(1994)

[3] S. MacLane, *Categories for the Working Mathematician,* Springer, New York 1971.

A. Razmadze Mathematical Institute
Tbilisi 380093
Georgia
Email: bachi@rmi.acnet.ge

This article may be accessed via WWW at http://www.tac.mta.ca/tac/ or by anonymous ftp at ftp://ftp.tac.mta.ca/pub/tac/html/volumes/7/n3/n3.{dvi,ps}

THEORY AND APPLICATIONS OF CATEGORIES (ISSN 1201-561X) will disseminate articles that significantly advance the study of categorical algebra or methods, or that make significant new contributions to mathematical science using categorical methods. The scope of the journal includes: all areas of pure category theory, including higher dimensional categories; applications of category theory to algebra, geometry and topology and other areas of mathematics; applications of category theory to computer science, physics and other mathematical sciences; contributions to scientific knowledge that make use of categorical methods.

Articles appearing in the journal have been carefully and critically refereed under the responsibility of members of the Editorial Board. Only papers judged to be both significant and excellent are accepted for publication.

The method of distribution of the journal is via the Internet tools `WWW/ftp`. The journal is archived electronically and in printed paper format.

SUBSCRIPTION INFORMATION. Individual subscribers receive (by e-mail) abstracts of articles as they are published. Full text of published articles is available in .dvi, Postscript and PDF. Details will be e-mailed to new subscribers. To subscribe, send e-mail to `tac@mta.ca` including a full name and postal address. For institutional subscription, send enquiries to the Managing Editor, Robert Rosebrugh, `rrosebrugh@mta.ca`.

INFORMATION FOR AUTHORS. The typesetting language of the journal is TEX, and LATEX is the preferred flavour. TEX source of articles for publication should be submitted by e-mail directly to an appropriate Editor. They are listed below. Please obtain detailed information on submission format and style files from the journal's WWW server at `http://www.tac.mta.ca/tac/`. You may also write to `tac@mta.ca` to receive details by e-mail.

EDITORIAL BOARD.
John Baez, University of California, Riverside: `baez@math.ucr.edu`
Michael Barr, McGill University: `barr@barrs.org`
Lawrence Breen, Université Paris 13: `breen@math.univ-paris13.fr`
Ronald Brown, University of North Wales: `r.brown@bangor.ac.uk`
Jean-Luc Brylinski, Pennsylvania State University: `jlb@math.psu.edu`
Aurelio Carboni, Università dell Insubria: `carboni@fis.unico.it`
P. T. Johnstone, University of Cambridge: `ptj@dpmms.cam.ac.uk`
G. Max Kelly, University of Sydney: `maxk@maths.usyd.edu.au`
Anders Kock, University of Aarhus: `kock@imf.au.dk`
F. William Lawvere, State University of New York at Buffalo: `wlawvere@acsu.buffalo.edu`
Jean-Louis Loday, Université de Strasbourg: `loday@math.u-strasbg.fr`
Ieke Moerdijk, University of Utrecht: `moerdijk@math.uu.nl`
Susan Niefield, Union College: `niefiels@union.edu`
Robert Paré, Dalhousie University: `pare@mathstat.dal.ca`
Andrew Pitts, University of Cambridge: `Andrew.Pitts@cl.cam.ac.uk`
Robert Rosebrugh, Mount Allison University: `rrosebrugh@mta.ca`
Jiri Rosicky, Masaryk University: `rosicky@math.muni.cz`
James Stasheff, University of North Carolina: `jds@math.unc.edu`
Ross Street, Macquarie University: `street@math.mq.edu.au`
Walter Tholen, York University: `tholen@mathstat.yorku.ca`
Myles Tierney, Rutgers University: `tierney@math.rutgers.edu`
Robert F. C. Walters, University of Insubria: `walters@fis.unico.it`
R. J. Wood, Dalhousie University: `rjwood@mathstat.dal.ca`